## Exhibit 2

Exhibit 2

1	Page 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
3	
4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
5	LATIA ALEXANDER, individually as heir of ISAIAH T. WILLIAMS and in )
	her capacity as Special )
6	Administrator of the Estate ) of ISAIAH T. WILLIAMS, )
7	Plaintiff, )
8	vs. )Case No.
9	)2:24-cv-00074-APG-NJK LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN )
10	POLICE DEPARTMENT, a ) political subdivision of the)
11	State of Nevada, et al., )
12	Defendants. )
13	
14	
15	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF KERRY KUBLA
16	Taken on Friday, October 11, 2024
17	By a Certified Stenographer and Legal Videographer
18	At 10:02 a.m.
19	At 400 South Seventh Street
20	Las Vegas, Nevada
21	
22	
23	Stenographically reported by:
24	Holly Larsen, NV CCR 680, CA CSR 12170
25	Job No. 57950 - Firm No. 116F

	1		Page	2
	2	For the Plaintiff:		
	3	MURPHY'S LAW, PC BY: CORRINE MURPHY, ESQ.		
	4	2620 Regatta Drive Suite 102		
	5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 702.820.5763		
	7	For the Defendants:		
	8	MADOIITC AIIDDACU		
	9	MARQUIS AURBACH BY: CRAIG ANDERSON, ESQ. 10001 Park Run Drive		
		Las Vegas, Nevada 89145		
	. 0	702.382.0711		
	.1	For the Deponent:		
1	.2	COOK & KELESIS		
1	.3	BY: GEORGE KELESIS, ESQ. 517 South Ninth Street		
1	.4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.737.7702		
1	.5			
1	.6	The Legal Videographer:		
1	.7	DAWN BECK		
1	.8			
1	.9			
2	20			
2	21			
2	22			
2	23			
2	24			
2	25			

<u> </u>			
1		INDEX	Page 3
2	WITNESS		PAGE
3	KERRY KUBLA		
4	Examinat	tion by Ms. Murphy	5
5			
6			
7			
8			
9		EXHIBITS	
10	NUMBER		PAGE
11	Exhibit 1	Notice of Deposition	6
12	Exhibit 2	Critical Incident Review	53
13		Team document	
14	Exhibit 3	Diagram	128
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	Page 4 PROCEEDINGS
2	-000-
3	
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are
5	now on the record. This begins the video-recorded
6	deposition of Kerry Kubla.
7	Today's date is October 11, 2024. The
8	time on the video monitor is 10:02 a.m. We are
9	located at 400 South 7th Street in Las Vegas,
10	Nevada.
11	This case is entitled Latia Alexander,
12	et al., versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
13	Department, et al. The case number is
14	2:24-cv-00074-APG-NJK in the United States District
15	Court, District of Nevada.
16	My name is Dawn Beck, legal video
17	specialist. The court reporter is Holly Larsen. We
18	represent Lexitas.
19	Will counselors please state your
20	appearances.
21	MS. MURPHY: Good morning. Corrine
22	Murphy, Bar Number 10410, on behalf of plaintiff.
23	MR. ANDERSON: Craig Anderson on behalf
24	of the defendants.
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 5 1 Will the court reporter please swear in 2 the witness. 3 Whereupon, 4 KERRY KUBLA, 5 having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, 6 was examined, and testified as follows: 7 8 MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this 9 is the time and place for the deposition of Kerry 10 Kubla in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al., 11 versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, 12 et al., Case Number 2:24-cv-00074. 13 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY MS. MURPHY: 16 Q. Mr. Kubla, my name is Corrine Murphy, 17 and I'm an attorney. I represent the plaintiff, 18 Latia Alexander, in this case. 19 Could you please state and spell your 20 full name for the record. 21 It's Kerry Paul Kubla. K-e-r-r-y Α. 22 P-a-u-l K-u-b-l-a. 23 You have been requested to be here today Q. to have your deposition taken. 24 25 Did you have an opportunity -- have you

1	Page 6 reviewed the notice of deposition?
2	A. Yes, ma'am.
3	MS. MURPHY: Okay. Can we mark that as
4	Exhibit 1, please.
5	(Exhibit 1 marked.)
6	BY MS. MURPHY:
7	Q. And you understand that you're here
8	today to discuss the officer-involved shooting of
9	Isaiah Williams?
10	A. Yes, ma'am.
11	Q. Have you ever given your deposition
12	before?
13	A. No, ma'am.
14	Q. Okay. I'm sure that your attorney
15	walked you through the basic instructions. The only
16	one that I'm going to remind you of is that the oath
17	you took at the beginning of this deposition is the
18	same oath that you would take if you were in a court
19	of law. So although we're not in a formal courtroom
20	with a judge, the same penalties of perjury apply.
21	Do you understand that?
22	A. Yes, ma'am.
23	Q. Is there any reason that you would not
24	be able to understand my questions and give truthful
25	and accurate testimony today?

1	Page 7
1	A. No, ma'am.
2	Q. Do you understand that I'm entitled to
3	your best and fullest answer to all of my questions?
4	A. Yes, ma'am.
5	Q. Are you under any medications or
6	anything that would inhibit your ability to provide
7	your best and truthful testimony today?
8	A. No, ma'am.
9	Q. Okay. Can you please state your address
10	for the record?
11	A. 424 Ralph Mosa Street, Las Vegas,
12	Nevada 89138.
13	Q. Can you please tell me everything that
14	you did to prepare for today's deposition?
15	A. Reviewed went over some of the stuff
16	that I had prior, reviewed that.
17	I met with Craig Anderson and just kind
18	of caught up on the case itself a little.
19	Q. Okay. And I'm not entitled to know what
20	you and Craig talked about, but I am entitled to
21	know how many times you met with him and for
22	approximately how long.
23	A. Met once. Maybe one to two hours, I
24	believe.
25	Q. Okay. And when was that?

	Page 8
1	A. Just two days ago.
2	Q. Okay. And you said that you reviewed
3	the prior stuff.
4	Can you tell me what that was?
5	A. I read over my interview, my interview
6	from work that I had given, my CIRT interview, and
7	just went over just the address stuff, different
8	stuff, you know, making sure that it's been two
9	years, so making sure I was up to date on that.
10	Q. And did you review your answers to
11	interrogatories or your request for production of
12	documents?
13	A. I'm sorry. I don't
14	Q. That's okay.
15	A. I'm not sure I understand.
16	Q. We use names for different stuff.
17	So they kind of look like this. These
18	are Brice Clements', but they kind of look like
19	this. Interrogatories, requests for production.
20	If you don't remember something
21	A. I don't remember, ma'am.
22	Q. So that's actually a really good thing.
23	I want to talk about that for a second.
24	I want to go over briefly what the
25	difference is between "I don't remember" and a

	Page 9
1	guess.
2	If you really don't remember anything
3	look, this happened two years ago. It's normal not
4	to remember stuff sometimes. Your honest answer is
5	"I don't remember," don't tell me "no" or "I don't
6	think so" if the answer is actually "I don't
7	remember." Okay?
8	A. Yes, ma'am.
9	Q. You're under oath, and if we go to trial
10	we need to be able to rely on the testimony you give
11	today. So "I don't know" is an appropriate answer,
12	but under no circumstances do I want you guessing.
13	Okay?
14	A. Yes, ma'am.
15	Q. Would you prefer that I call you Kerry
16	or Officer Kubla?
17	A. Kerry is fine, ma'am.
18	Q. Other than your own CIRT statement, did
19	you read the deposition transcript of any other
20	officers?
21	A. No, ma'am.
22	Q. Did you read the CIRT statements of any
23	other officers?
24	A. No, ma'am.
25	Q. Did you review your body-worn camera?

	Page 10
1	A. I have reviewed that before, ma'am, yes.
2	Q. Okay. And did you review any of the
3	other officers' body-worn camera?
4	A. Yes, ma'am.
5	Q. Okay. And I discussed it briefly before
6	we got on the record. Because I don't have my
7	computer system here with me here today we won't be
8	reviewing the body-worn camera, but I will be asking
9	you very specific questions about it.
10	Before we kind of get into everything
11	else, reviewing your body-worn camera when you
12	reviewed your body-worn camera recently, two years
13	past the incident, was there anything that jogged
14	your memory or surprised you when you reviewed the
15	video?
16	A. No, ma'am.
17	Q. Okay. Was reviewing the video
18	consistent with what you remember recounting to the
19	CIRT interview two years ago?
20	A. Yes, ma'am.
21	Q. Okay. Have you discussed this
22	deposition with any of your codefendants?
23	A. No, ma'am.
24	Q. Have you discussed your deposition here
25	today other than with Craig, have you discussed

1	your depos	Page 11 ition with anyone else?
2	A.	No, ma'am.
3	Q.	What's your usual work schedule?
4	Α.	My normal schedule is basically 3
5	o'clock to	12 o'clock or 2 o'clock to 12 o'clock.
6	Q.	That's 2:00 p.m.?
7	А.	Yeah. 1400 hours to 0000.
8	Q.	And what days?
9	Α.	My off days, my RDOs, would be Thursday,
10	Friday, Sa	turday.
11	Q.	So this is one of your off days; is that
12	correct?	
13	Α.	Yes, ma'am.
14	Q.	Okay. Now, Kerry, I'm going to ask you
15	some quest	ions about your professional background.
16		What's your highest level of education?
17	Α.	Graduated high school. I took a couple
18	of classes	in community college, but nothing that
19	I just	got a couple credits here and there.
20	Q.	Okay. And what community college was
21	that?	
22	Α.	Nevada, Clark County Community College.
23	Q.	And what is your current position with
24	Metro?	
25	Α.	I'm a Police Officer 2, and I'm

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 12 currently working the SWAT bureau. 1 2 Ο. What's SWAT bureau? 3 That would be the special weapons and Α. 4 We're in the Homeland Security division. tactics. 5 And how is that different from what you Ο. 6 were working at the time of this incident on January 10, 2022? 7 8 Α. It's the same position, ma'am. 9 Q. Okay. And how long have you been in that position for? 10 11 I've been in that position coming up on Α. 12 six years. 13 And can you kind of walk me through your Q. 14 professional background with Metro, like when you 15 got hired and the different positions you held up to 16 the one that you currently hold? 17 I hired on the department in February Α. 18 of 2008. From there I went through the academy, 19 which is a six-month academy. 20 After that I went to patrol. I worked 21 Northeast Area Command as a patrol officer. 22 Completed my field training there. 23 Then I stayed working over there for the 24 next approximately ten years. I worked primarily on 25 Probably 90 percent of that time was on graveyard.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 13 1 graveyard. 2 While I was over there working, I did go into another squad, which was like a swing-shift 3 4 squad, and that was on a gang enforcement team, kind of like within the detective side of it, where we 5 6 did gang enforcement stuff. 7 And how close in time from when you were Q. 8 working the gang enforcement to your transition over 9 to SWAT? 10 It was a couple of years. I was in a Α. 11 vehicle accident during that time, whenever I was 12 assigned to that position. I left there due to an 13 injury. Went through -- workman's comp-related. 14 went through that, and I transitioned. 15 I was at the fusion center while I was 16 light duty. So I went to the fusion center, and I 17 was up there for approximately -- I would say a year maybe, working up there. And then whenever I left 18 19 there, went back to my position and started testing 20 for SWAT. 21 Okay. And what drew you to SWAT? Ο. 22 Just something I always wanted to do. Α. 23 The comradery, the brotherhood of everybody, the 24 team, working as a team together. That was pretty 25 much what I was looking for position-wise within the

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 14

- 1 department.
- Q. Okay. And so as we sit here today,
- 3 you're still holding the same SWAT position that you
- 4 were at the time of the incident. And so is it fair
- 5 for me to assume that you were familiar with serving
- 6 these type of warrants, given that you -- sorry.
- 7 Hold on. Let me do the math.
- 8 So at the time of this incident, you had
- 9 been with SWAT for what? Four years? Three years?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am, three years.
- 11 Q. Okay. So is it fair for me to assume
- 12 then at the time of this incident you were fairly
- 13 familiar with serving warrants through SWAT?
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am. I would say a few hundred
- 15 at least. I mean, we typically do 3 to 400 warrants
- 16 a year, so it's quite active. Now, I might not do
- 17 all 300 to 400 because we have full-week coverage.
- 18 But we do work a lot of time on our off days as
- 19 well.
- 20 Q. So when you say 3 to 400, do you mean
- 21 that for, like, the whole SWAT unit?
- 22 A. Our SWAT unit is divided into two squads
- 23 which cover the full seven-week coverage. We have
- 24 one day in common.
- 25 Q. So your squad does 3 to 400 of these



1	Page 15 search warrant service a year; correct?
	<del>-</del>
2	A. No, ma'am.
3	Q. Sorry.
4	A. Our division, our section, does
5	basically we're one big team, and we're split into
6	two smaller coverage teams that cover for the
7	seven-week coverage.
8	Q. And why is it a seven-week coverage?
9	A. Just so that we have coverage throughout
10	the whole Valley, throughout the whole Valley for
11	the entire week. We respond to everything, and
12	we're on call 24/7.
13	So within the unit the only time we're
14	off is if we're on vacation and out of town. Other
15	than that, we're responding to different calls.
16	Q. And so at the time of this incident in
17	2022, did you have the same work schedule? The
18	2:00 p.m. to 12:00 p.m. with Thursday, Friday,
19	Saturday off?
20	A. I believe at that time, ma'am, we were
21	3:00 to 1:00 at that time, our hours were.
22	Q. 3:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m.?
23	A. Yes, ma'am.
24	Q. So the service of the warrant was I
25	think roughly what? 4 o'clock in the morning?

1	Page 16 That would have been outside your normal
2	work hours; correct?
3	A. Yeah. It was planned for 5:00 a.m.
4	Q. Sorry, 5:00 a.m.?
5	A. Yes, ma'am.
6	Q. Kerry, can you tell me, what does
7	"knock-and-announce" mean to you?
8	A. A knock-and-announce is a warrant where
9	we are obligated to announce what we're there for,
10	who we are, what we're there for, and make knocks on
11	a door prior to making entry, giving the suspect or
12	giving whoever we're serving, the residents at the
13	warrant, the opportunity to come to the door.
14	Q. Okay. And as we sit here today, was
15	your understanding of what knock-and-announce meant
16	the same as it was in 2022?
17	A. Yes, ma'am.
18	Q. Okay. And so if I understand your
19	testimony correctly, part of the purpose of
20	knock-and-announce is to give the person or people
21	on the other side of the door the opportunity to
22	come answer the door; correct?
23	A. Yes, ma'am. There's different
24	mitigating factors as far as what that timeline
25	would be.

	Page 17
1	Q. If you won't mind, Kerry, if you can
2	walk me through what those mitigating factors are.
3	A. It might be the how do I explain
4	that?
5	So one would be
6	Q. Don't worry about using formal language.
7	Just tell me like we weren't in a deposition. Just
8	walk me through it. Don't worry about saying
9	exactly the right words. Just explain, like, from
10	your point of view this is what it is.
11	A. What the normal times are traffic's been
12	seen in and out of the residence.
13	The time, what time it is, time of day
14	it is.
15	How many people are outside at the time.
16	Officer safety issues as far as where
17	we're located, if we're out in the middle someplace
18	where there's no place to provide cover.
19	Whether the subject is armed or could be
20	armed inside the residence.
21	What the subject's priors are. What the
22	crime is that we're going for.
23	A lot of things mitigate how long we're
24	going to sit out there and announce on a
25	knock-and-announce.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 18 1 It could be different types of tactical 2 plans that we put together as far as how we're going 3 to serve the warrant. 4 To your knowledge, Kerry, is there any 0. 5 amount of time that you must wait following the 6 knock before entering? Like, is there a minimum 7 amount of time? 8 Α. It's a reasonable amount, ma'am. 9 Q. Can you tell me -- I know you walked 10 through some of those parameters for me, Kerry. But 11 in an instance like this, although not exactly --12 I'm just going to do a hypothetical. 13 In an instance similar to this, what 14 would you consider a reasonable amount of time? 15 A reasonable amount of time on this one 16 is going to be a lot shorter than others due to the fact that we were looking for homicide suspects. 17 18 There were firearms involved. There were multiple 19 incidents involving other shootings within the 20 complex where subjects were seen going towards that 21 building, that apartment. 22 It was somewhat known to be a flophouse 23 for gang members that were in and out of there, and 24 they were seen with guns prior. 25 The crime was a homicide that had taken

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 19

- 1 place a couple of months prior to that where they
- 2 shot and killed somebody, for the suspects that we
- 3 were in question -- looking for at that residence.
- 4 As well as other -- you know, there were other
- 5 gang-affiliated that were in and out of that
- 6 residence.
- 7 Q. Okay. And so if I understand correctly,
- 8 a lot of what you would base to be a reasonable
- 9 amount of time -- either this incident or an
- 10 incident similar to it, is because of who you
- 11 believe to be on the other side of the door; is that
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. That is a big part of it.
- 14 The other thing are environmental
- 15 factors. So close proximity to other citizens that
- 16 are in the area. It could be our cover where we
- 17 have to be at as far as for cover.
- 18 On this particular search warrant was a
- 19 controlled entry that we did on there due to the
- 20 fact that we were unable to park armored vehicles in
- 21 a location where we could basically contain the
- 22 entire apartment. That was cut off due to the fact
- 23 that there was no way to get armor back to where
- 24 that apartment was because of the wall that was
- 25 around there.



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 20 1 And there were citizens that were 2 directly above the target's residence. Not only 3 above them, but on the rear side, the two corners of 4 the apartment, where a subject that was in there 5 could very easily -- I've seen it a hundred times, where they could very easily kick through, and now 6 7 we have a suspect in the neighboring apartment with 8 citizens that are in there that it could create a 9 hostage situation. 10 Then there's also the -- right across 11 that wall, which was about approximately a 5-foot block wall, cinderblock wall, with 3 feet of iron on 12 13 top of it that you could see through, and that was a 24-hour Arco gas station. So the only place we 14 15 would be able to park armor would be in that gas 16 station parking lot, and you're going to have 17 citizens in and out of there, in the line of fire, 18 while we were out there attempting to serve that 19 warrant. 20 Along with the other side of that 21 residence was basically on Nellis Boulevard, which 22 was a very thorough street at that time in the 23 There's going to be a lot of traffic out morning. 24 there, a lot of people walking down the sidewalk, 25 which would put them approximately maybe 20 yards --

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 21 15 to 20 yards from the front of that residence and 1 2 the windows of there. 3 So we wouldn't be able to contain that 4 position there. So that obviously would take a lot 5 longer as far as us knocking and announcing. 6 Q. Can you walk me through, what's the 7 difference between -- I know that the acronym for it 8 is "CET." 9 What's the difference between a 10 controlled entry tactic and a surround and callout? 11 So a surround and callout, I'll briefly Α. 12 We're going to surround and contain that 13 structure prior to making announcements. We're 14 going to basically set up containment so we know we 15 have a full perimeter around there. Keeping access 16 from the suspect being able to get out and break our 17 perimeter or, like I said, break into another 18 neighboring apartment. 19 Then once we do that, we're going to 20 start with announcements. We would call the 21 suspects out over our PA system and continue to make 22 announcements to try and get the suspect to come 23 out. 24 If that didn't take place and they 25 weren't responding, then we would use other

Page 22

- 1 de-escalating factors of maybe going to a distract
- 2 plan by dropping noise-flash diversionary devices,
- 3 which might cause a loud noise, to kind of, like,
- 4 provide a little bit more -- without doing any harm
- 5 to them, but put a little more pressure on there to
- 6 get them to answer.
- 7 We would keep doing that until
- 8 eventually we're going to have to go into that
- 9 residence. So we would eventually get to a point in
- 10 time where the team leader and the -- assistant team
- 11 leader and the team leader would make a plan to go
- 12 up and we're either going to have to manually breach
- 13 the door, or we might have to -- in certain
- 14 circumstances that might turn into a semi-barricaded
- 15 subject if we know that they're in there. Then we
- 16 might have to go to different options as far as
- 17 breaching, ballistic breaching.
- 18 Q. Let me loop back for a second, because
- 19 I've heard from a lot of officers the concern about
- 20 kicking through the doors to get to people in the
- 21 apartment.
- Why not just go in through the other
- 23 apartment then?
- 24 A. Into the --
- Q. Why not say to the residents, like, Hey,

Page 23

- 1 we're serving a search warrant and we need to come
- 2 in here and make sure -- is that a dumb -- I really
- 3 don't know. Everyone has talked about this and
- 4 you're, like -- you seem to be familiar with it, so
- 5 I'm going to ask you.
- 6 A. One, you probably wouldn't be
- 7 comfortable with somebody coming in and ripping your
- 8 walls out to go through them to get to a neighboring
- 9 apartment. They're not going to let you just come
- 10 in and give you consent to do that.
- 11 Q. Sorry. That's not what I meant. So
- 12 let's say -- I don't know quite how else to ask it.
- 13 Because I've heard this answer over and over again.
- 14 Part of the basis from your guys' point of view as
- officers in the field about what a reasonable amount
- of time is is also this concern that a suspect, if
- 17 given too much time, can kick through an apartment
- 18 wall and get into the other apartment of the person
- 19 next to them.
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Q. Let me ask the question more artfully.
- Why not when serving the search warrant,
- 23 if it's certain the suspects may kick through the
- 24 wall, go to the other apartment and say, Hey, we're
- 25 serving a search warrant next door. We're just

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 24 1 going to be in here to make sure nobody kicks 2 through your door or does anything like that? 3 Is that a reasonable question? 4 Α. Yes, ma'am. 100 percent. 5 To answer that question, if we're on a 6 barricade, we do do that. We evacuate people in 7 those residences to make sure there isn't a 8 kick-through. 9 On a search warrant it is a little 10 different because the time of day, to be able to 11 contact people. To be honest with you, some 12 citizens just aren't going to answer the door, or they are going to say, Hey, I'm not leaving. I'm 13 14 staying here. We have that sometimes. 15 We do make entry through those on 16 certain calls. Hostage rescues we will do that. 17 We'll go through that wall to get in various entry 18 points to get into that target residence. 19 Just to clarify though, to your Ο. 20 knowledge there's no minimum amount of time you must 21 wait when executing a knock-and-announce warrant; 22 correct? 23 Just a reasonable amount depending on Α. 24 the circumstances that are articulated. 25 Okay. Do you understand it is part of a Q.

1	Page 25 person's constitutional rights to have clear notice
2	of a police officer's intent to enter?
3	MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.
4	Go ahead and answer.
5	THE WITNESS: On a no-knock warrant you
6	would not need that.
7	BY MS. MURPHY:
8	Q. Thank you for making that clarification.
9	On a knock-and-announce, do you
10	understand that it is part of a person's
11	constitutional rights to have clear notice of a
12	police officer's intent to enter?
13	MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form.
14	Go ahead and answer.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
16	BY MS. MURPHY:
17	Q. What is the first and most important
18	constitutional right that any individual has?
19	A. Their
20	Q. In your opinion.
21	A. Well, their freedom.
22	Q. Okay. In performing your job as a
23	police officer, do you agree with me that you have a
24	duty to conduct yourself such that you do not
25	violate the civil or constitutional rights of

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 26 1 members of the public? 2 Α. Yes, ma'am. 3 Do you also agree that if you see other Q. 4 officers violating the civil or constitutional 5 rights of a member of the public, you have a duty to 6 intervene and stop that officer? 7 Α. Yes, ma'am. 8 And we talked about it. You just hit on Ο. 9 it. But what is a no-knock warrant? 10 A no-knock warrant would be applied for Α. 11 by the detectives. They would apply for that. 12 On a no-knock warrant you would need to 13 have circumstances and be able to articulate the 14 reasons for that. 15 And then whenever they would apply for 16 that, basically we would not need to knock and 17 announce prior to making that entry. Now, once we 18 make entry, we will be announcing, This is the 19 police department with a search warrant. 20 But prior to that we could basically 21 breach that door by what means we decided in our 22 tactical plan, whether it be ramming the door, 23 whether it be a ballistic breach, an explosive 24 breach, different tactics that we would use to get 25 into that residence.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 27 1 That would be the difference between a 2 no-knock and a knock-and-announce warrant. 3 Q. Okay. And my understanding, Kerry, and 4 you tell me if I'm right or wrong, for it to be a 5 no-knock there's kind of some rigorous steps that 6 the homicide detectives would have to go through to 7 get that judicial approval; correct? 8 Yes, ma'am. Well, that goes way above Α. 9 me and the detectives. But yes, ma'am. They would have to apply for that, and that would have to go 10 through our department, all the way up the chain. 11 12 So you just kind of clarified that, and Q. 13 I'm glad you did, Kerry. 14 You just get the warrant; right? not involved in deciding whether it's going to be 15 knock or no-knock, and you're not doing what they 16 17 call the IAP? You're not involved in any of that; 18 correct? 19 Α. Correct, ma'am. 20 But in this instance you did do part of Q. 21 the recon; correct? 22 Α. Yes, ma'am. 23 We'll get to that in a minute. Q. 24 Do you have any understanding about when 25 a no-knock warrant would be used versus when a

	Page 28
1	knock-and-announce warrant would be used?
2	A. Do I have an understanding of when?
3	Q. Yes.
4	A. Yes. I would say that the nature of the
5	crime. You know, the suspect's priors, what he's
6	done before. If there's guns involved, what the
7	crime is. There's a lot of mitigating factors that
8	would reach to that for a no-knock.
9	It might be just where the subject is,
10	where the residence is, where we can't physically
11	get, you know, to that area. We're going to be
12	basically in an open area up there, whether it might
13	be I don't know. There could be you know,
14	whether it's a fatal-funnel-type thing, where
15	officers are going to be standing there just in a
16	long hallway with no other access, and if somebody
17	was to start shooting rounds through the walls or
18	come out shooting, we're just stuck in a fatal
19	funnel.
20	So there's a lot of different things
21	that go into that as far as a no-knock.
22	And then for that no-knock warrant you
23	would have to justify all that and then push that
24	up, and then, you know, it would have to be approved
25	all the way up through the chain.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 29 1 Q. Okay. And my understanding of this 2 incident is that there was approval for a CET on a knock-and-announce warrant; correct? 3 Yes, ma'am. 4 Α. 5 As we sit here today, are you aware of Q. 6 any change of policy within LVMPD that you can no 7 longer use a CET on a knock-and-announce warrant? 8 Α. No, ma'am. Not on a -- well, if we No. 9 had a CET, it would be a no-knock warrant. 10 So just to clarify, because things can 0. look a little weird on paper when we read it back. 11 12 Α. Yes. I just want to clarify, as we sit here 13 Q. 14 today you understand that in order to have a CET it 15 would have to be a no-knock warrant; correct? 16 Α. Yes, ma'am. Unless there was, like, an 17 exigent circumstance, like, say, a hostage rescue or 18 something. We're not waiting for that prior to. 19 That's exigent. 20 Of course. But here there was no Q. 21 hostage situation? 22 Α. No, ma'am. 23 In your professional opinion, Q. Okay. 24 somebody who's been working on SWAT for this many 25 years and have served this many warrants, do you

		Page 30
1	believe tha	t there was a conflict between
2	knock-and-a	nnounce and a CET?
3	Α.	During this event?
4	Q.	In general. I'm asking for your
5	professiona	l opinion.
6	Α.	I'm sorry.
7	Q.	No. Is there a conflict?
8	Α.	A conflict?
9	Q.	Yeah.
10	Α.	No. I think we're still we're still
11	doing a due	diligence of announcing ourselves, not
12	only lettin	g whoever's inside, but letting neighbors
13	know that w	e're out there and we're serving a
14	warrant jus	t so they kind of know what's going on.
15		You know, like on this very incident,
16	whenever we	were out there knocking and announcing,
17	somebody el	se from a neighboring apartment stepped
18	out asking,	Hey, you know, we're down here. We're
19	serving a w	arrant down here. Go back inside.
20		So somebody did hear we were out there,
21	which broug	ht them to step out to see what was going
22	on out ther	e.
23	Q.	And that happened in this incident?
24	Α.	As far as I remember, yes, ma'am.
25	Q.	Okay. Can you walk me through that?

	Page 31
1	All I have is the body-worn cameras, so
2	can you walk me through that a little bit more?
3	A. Whenever we were on approach, going to
4	the front door, we started announcements. As we
5	were making announcements, obviously there's a short
6	timeline, but a couple announcements came out.
7	There was a stun stick that was involved, so that
8	went off.
9	Somebody from I remember hearing
10	somebody upstairs poking out, like out on the
11	landing, to see what was going on. And somebody
12	saying, Hey, go back inside. We're downstairs.
13	Q. Okay.
14	A. I couldn't tell you who that was. I
15	just remember hearing that. I was focused on
16	looking towards the door.
17	Q. And did you agree you had no
18	involvement in the IAP; correct?
19	A. No. Other than looking at it. But no
20	involvement with writing it or putting anything in
21	there, no, ma'am.
22	Q. You weren't involved with the kind of
23	multiple versions going in; correct?
24	A. No, ma'am.
25	Q. When you got the IAP and because you

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 32 1 went and did the recon for this? 2 Α. Yes, ma'am. 3 But when you got the IAP, were you aware Ο. 4 of any kind of the background of it being submitted 5 several times and different versions and that kind of thing? 6 7 No, ma'am. We normally don't get Α. 8 something until it's vetted and it's gone through 9 the chain back and forth. So whatever happens on that end, it could take a couple minutes. It could 10 11 take a couple days. It just depends on what goes 12 through. That's above our grade. Whether it's at 13 the sergeant level or the lieutenant level or even 14 the captain level at that time, different things 15 could be requested or asked for and different things 16 done. 17 Once we get it, it's pretty much done, 18 and, you know, we're pretty much ready to serve the 19 warrant, so the recon goes out to conduct the 20 reconnaissance. 21 We talked about the change in policy, Ο. 22 but I just want to confirm. 23 As we sit here today, LVMPD policies 24 would not allow this type of warrant to be served as 25 a CET; correct?

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 33 1 Α. I'm sorry. Can you repeat that? 2 Sure. As we sit here today, based on Ο. 3 the change of policies that we discussed earlier, 4 LVMPD policies would not allow for this type of 5 warrant to be served as a CET; correct? 6 Α. Correct. 7 So now I'm going to actually ask you Q. 8 about the warrant a little bit. 9 Do you remember when you first became aware of the warrant? 10 11 It would have been the night before, Α. 12 which would have been 1/9 of '22. Do you have any -- I mean, I know that 13 Q. 14 you know kind of how these come in and stuff. 15 Do you have any independent recollection 16 of that? How it came in? 17 Α. 18 Q. Yeah. 19 Α. The assistant team leader, who was Jake Werner that evening or that day, notified us that 20 21 there was a warrant that needed to be served. Ιt. 22 was actually -- at first it was going to be a 23 simultaneous warrant where we hit both residences at 24 the same time related to the same crime of homicide. 25 He needed reconnaissance officers to go

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 34

- 1 out, so he assigned myself and Brett /KOZ to 4475
- 2 Jimmy Durante, and then he assigned Kai Hoskins and
- 3 Mark Eshe to the 3050 South Nellis address.
- 4 Due to the fact that this was going to
- 5 be a simultaneous warrant, it ended up changing that
- 6 it wasn't going to be a simultaneous; we were going
- 7 to conduct a search warrant on one residence and
- 8 then immediately go to the following residence.
- 9 Q. Sometimes I'm a bad interrupter. If
- 10 you're not done talking, just tell me.
- 11 A. No. Go ahead. I might ramble.
- 12 Q. That's okay. That's okay.
- I thought that you had done recon on
- 14 this 3550 [sic] South Nellis. That's what I kind of
- 15 took away.
- 16 Did you not do any of the recon on 3550?
- 17 A. Maybe because I was rambling I didn't
- 18 get to that because it took me a while.
- 19 So whenever we went out to do the
- 20 reconnaissance of both residences, we went and got
- 21 one vehicle for us to take so we weren't going --
- 22 because we were going to have a route from our brief
- 23 spot to this location, from this location to the
- 24 next. We have to drive that route. So we all went
- 25 together in one vehicle.



	Page 35
1	So our assistant team leader, which was
2	Jake Werner, Mark Eshe, Kai Hoskins, myself, and
3	Brett Brosnahan all went in the same van, vehicle,
4	drove to that location. We pulled up to the front.
5	Mark Eshe and Kai Hoskins exited the vehicle. They
6	did walk by us through the residence, did what they
7	needed to complete their reconnaissance.
8	After that we drove left that
9	complex, pulled into the gas station real quick that
10	was just across from there so we can kind of see
11	what the front was. Just because where that target
12	residence was, it was very hard unless you were
13	going to that apartment, there was no reason for you
14	to be back there. So it was very hard to get back
15	there unless you were in that bottom apartment or
16	the top apartment. So somebody, if they seen you
17	back there, they would probably wonder why you were
18	back there.
19	So we did drive around to the Arco,
20	stopped at the gas station, got out. I think
21	somebody might have got out, walked inside the gas
22	station, came back out.
23	We stayed in there looking over there
24	just to kind of get a rough look at it real quick.
25	Then we left there and went to the 4475

	Page 36
1	Jimmy Durante residence.
2	Q. Okay. So do you know if any of the
3	other two officers, do you know if they went back
4	and did more recon at 3350 South Nellis, or was that
5	the totality of it?
6	A. At 3050?
7	Q. Yes.
8	A. I do not know if they went back out
9	there or not. I focused on the residence we did a
10	reconnaissance on.
11	Q. That's kind of the that's kind of the
12	takeaway I'm getting from your dialogue about this,
13	and you tell me if I'm right or wrong, because
14	sometimes I misunderstand things.
15	You guys went out there together. You
16	were personally in the Arco, but really it was the
17	other two officers that were walking around. It was
18	their task to kind of do the recon on 3350.
19	Am I mistaken or correct on that?
20	A. Yes, ma'am. I believe 3050, right?
21	Q. Sorry. Am I saying 3350?
22	A. Yeah.
23	Q. Sorry. I'll just call it South Nellis.
24	A. Perfect.
25	Q. That's easier for the court reporter.

1	Page 37
1	So for the Nellis apartment, although
2	you were in the car with them, you weren't actively
3	doing recon on the Nellis apartment, were you?
4	A. No. Personally, I was looking at
5	things. Because we're all going to be serving that
6	warrant together. So in my mind, I'm looking to
7	see, okay, how far is it from here to there, what
8	the outside surrounding areas look like.
9	So I was looking, but I wasn't doing
10	like, I would normally do a thorough reconnaissance.
11	Just because of the fact that it's more
12	than likely, with the high volume of people in and
13	out over there and the people that were conducting
14	business, I would say, on a day-to-day operation, it
15	would look very odd for four or five of us out
16	walking around, strolling through this neighborhood.
17	So we keep it to, depending on the circumstances and
18	where we're at, as minimal as possible footprint.
19	Q. I want to make sure. There was a lot of
20	dialogue. I'm going to cherry-pick some parts, and
21	I do want to make sure I understand.
22	As we sit here you don't know if the
23	other recon officers went back and did more recon.
24	Is that fair? Or you do know?
25	A. I do not know, ma'am.

1	Page 38
1	Q. I'm sorry if I asked this and I didn't
2	catch it if you answered and I didn't catch it.
3	Do you know approximately how long you
4	were there for?
5	A. During the reconnaissance?
6	Q. Yeah.
7	A. I would say it probably took an hour for
8	both residences. Probably approximately around
9	there. I'm not a hundred percent on that.
10	Q. And that's just on that sorry.
11	A. No, go ahead.
12	Q. That's just on that car trip; right?
13	A. Yes, ma'am. Yes.
14	Q. Okay. And having reviewed the CIRT
15	report, it would appear that you guys did some
16	detailed you tell me if I'm right or wrong you
17	guys did some detailed recon on the Jimmy Durante
18	apartment; correct?
19	Like, you have pictures of potential
20	suspects in the parking lot? Like, you were trying
21	to connect people with the Jimmy Durante apartment?
22	Like, is that fair for me to say, or am I wrong?
23	A. We didn't do as thorough, I wouldn't
24	say. I mean, they are both thorough. I won't say
25	they're not thorough.

1	Page 39 We probably spent less time at the Jimmy
2	Durante residence than what we did over there just
3	
	due to the fact we were going to do a surround and
4	callout over there. We didn't need an approach,
5	like to walk it for an approach, to walk through
6	there.
7	But we did have intel. The suspect
8	vehicle was parked out there in the parking lot.
9	Q. I'm sorry. When you say "in the parking
10	lot," you're talking about the Jimmy Durante?
11	A. The Jimmy Durante. It looked like we
12	didn't have eyes on it over there, but I think
13	whenever we talked to it might have been the
14	detectives I can't give you exact name on that
15	they witnessed the vehicle was parked over there in
16	that parking lot and it hadn't moved. It's been
17	seen over there parked in the same lot for quite a
18	while.
19	We were checking that residence because
20	there were known to be a child at that residence.
21	Q. I'm sorry. It gets a little confusing
22	on paper.
23	When you say "that residence," you're
24	talking about Jimmy Durante?
25	A. Yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am. Jimmy Durante.

	Page 40
1	Q. Sorry. Keep going.
2	A. So once we completed that reconnaissance
3	of that residence, whenever we went back, there was
4	a lot more involved with the reconnaissance. We
5	still do a lot of planning. We pull up photos. We
6	pull up different stuff that we use to draw a plan
7	of the residence. So we do more of that after the
8	reconnaissance, like afterwards.
9	So that hour was just doing the drive-by
10	and the walk-through, and then after that it was
11	probably a couple hours at least that we went back
12	and going over the planning phase of that.
13	Q. But you tell me. You were doing that
14	for Jimmy Durante, not Nellis; correct?
15	A. Yes, ma'am.
16	Q. Okay. So in terms of what happened for
17	Nellis and the other two officers, you weren't
18	involved in them doing the follow-up, like, whatever
19	additional information they were collecting; is that
20	correct?
21	A. No, ma'am.
22	Q. That wasn't part of your task; correct?
23	A. No, ma'am.
24	Q. And so do you think I know that you
25	did it for the Jimmy Durante one.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 41 1 Do you think that your recon and your 2 follow-up work to prepare for serving that warrant, 3 do you think that that was sufficient? 4 It would have been nice to find out. Yeah. But other than that, I think it would have 5 6 been, related to where it was and parking the 7 vehicles in there. We had plenty of room to park 8 two armored vehicles in front of there containing 9 it. We'd be able to get close enough because it was an open parking lot, so we could get as close as 10 11 needed to keep suspects from exiting there and 12 getting into a neighborhood apartment. We could 13 contain it on both sides of the building, contain both those sides. 14 15 There was a child in that residence as 16 well, that was known to be in there. We had 17 confirmed that. So doing other things, like, as far 18 as using a stun stick over there probably wouldn't 19 have been involved over there due to that fact, 20 knowing that there could be a child in there. 21 And we could slow that one down a bit and go from there on that residence. It would be 22 23 easy to contain that fully with armor. 24 I'm going to skip around a little bit, 0. 25 and I'll get into the specific -- I will also follow

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

- 1 up later on with the specific conclusion and the
- 2 CIRT report. But I will tell you part of it was
- 3 there was essentially insufficient recon done on the
- 4 Nellis apartment; that there was a lot of unknowns
- 5 surrounding the Nellis apartment.
- 6 But I want to confirm, that would not
- 7 have fallen within your tasks? That wasn't part of
- 8 your duty related to the search warrant; is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. Not on the -- no, ma'am, not on the
- 11 reconnaissance. If that was the case, I would have
- 12 been walking it myself on that.
- So there's a lot of things that I
- 14 wouldn't have picked up on that reconnaissance by
- 15 just being in the car and being from the parking lot
- 16 across the way.
- 17 Q. Okay. So if I ask you you're part of
- 18 the recon team, you're part of the recon team for
- 19 the execution of this warrant but not specifically
- 20 for the Nellis apartment?
- 21 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. What was your understanding of the type
- 23 of warrant this was?
- A. What type of warrant?
- Q. Yeah. Like, what were they looking for?

	Page 43
1	A. Homicide suspects. Related to a
2	homicide.
3	Q. Was this an arrest warrant?
4	A. It was for the homicide suspects in
5	there, under my understanding is that the homicide
6	did not have PC on arresting suspects in there.
7	However, the and I don't want to
8	speak out to which detectives. I think it might
9	have been the gang detectives, but it might not have
10	been. It might have been some other detectives.
11	That they had probably cause due to the fact that
12	the suspects self-incriminated them. I think the
13	stepmother had talked to them, and they incriminated
14	themselves as far as what they had done.
15	And that was done during that
16	whatever investigation that they that whoever it
17	was that we talked to.
18	I'm not a hundred percent, like I said
19	on because it was so long ago and I can't
20	remember who it was we spoke to as far as who the
21	detective was that had that intel and information.
22	So I thought that they had PC for suspects in there.
23	Of course on our plan and everything on
24	there it doesn't say PC on there, on our written
25	plan. So I'm not a hundred percent on that.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 44 1 Now, on our written plans now we do have 2 a PC spot on there, whether they have PC or do not 3 have PC. 4 On the plans, has that changed to Ο. 5 have -- that, like, section about whether they have 6 PC or not have PC, was that changed in the form 7 related to this incident? 8 To be honest with you, I don't know. Α. 9 Q. But there has been a change in the form; 10 is that correct? 11 It's not a form per se. It's -- if Α. 12 you've seen the pictures of it -- so I'm sure you've 13 seen the pictures of it -- we draw that. So some 14 draw better than others. But there's a list down 15 there that we put down there for children, elderly, 16 fortification, cameras, any cameras up there. 17 There's a list we put up there. Pets, dogs, 18 children, that's all listed in there. 19 Now we also add PC on there from the 20 detectives as far as if they have PC or they do not 21 have PC.

bring my computer, so we're not going to be able to

look at the body-worn cameras. But I think maybe I

Okay. And I'm really sorry, I didn't

Q.

have pictures of it.

22

23

24

25

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 45 1 Are you talking about, like, the kind of 2 diagrams that you guys do and the drawing you did 3 and you post up on the side of the car? 4 Yes, ma'am. So the information page, 5 which is the first page -- well, you wouldn't have 6 the first page. But the page that's usually to the 7 left, the information page, is going to have the 8 address of the target residence. In this case it 9 was two residences. It will have an event number. We had an event number for this event. 10 11 pull an event -- I don't remember pulling an event 12 for the other address because we didn't go to that 13 yet. 14 And then it has suspects listed, other 15 occupants listed, and their priors, whether there's 16 guns involved. All that stuff is listed on that 17 information page. 18 And then the next intel sheet would be a 19 draw of the structure, and that would go over the 20 structure, surrounding area, number of windows, 21 number of doors, which way the doors swing, whether 22 there's fortification on there. 23 And then the third page would be our 24 tactical lineup or our entry team depending on which option we're going with, whether it's surround and 25

Page 46 1 callout or it's an entry. It lists operators 2 involved on that one. 3 Okay. Give me just a moment. Q. 4 trying to find -- because I know that I've seen 5 something like that in the CIRT report. I'm just 6 trying to see if we're talking about the same thing. 7 Just to confirm, there's now, for lack 8 of a better term, a little PC check box; correct? 9 Yes, ma'am. We put PC on there. It Α. lets others know there, along with, like, K-9, for 10 If we're going into a structure and we 11 instance. 12 don't have PC for the suspect and suspect gets out 13 and runs, that K-9 doesn't have PC. Unless he can 14 generate his own PC to send his dog on that person, 15 whether it's because he sees a weapon, he sees 16 something else, he's not going to deploy a dog on 17 somebody that he doesn't have PC on. If he has PC 18 on that person, on that subject, and that person is 19 out and on the go, then more than likely, depending 20 on the crime, he's going to be able to utilize that 21 dog as a low-lethal option. 22 Q. Okay. Found it. All right. 23 So, Officer Kubla -- sorry, Kerry, I'm 24 pulling out a couple of pages from the CIRT report. 25 And I believe that these are what you were talking

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 47 1 about in terms of the plan. So if you wouldn't mind 2 just taking a minute and looking those over. 3 Sorry. I should have asked you what 4 page number is that before I handed them to you. 5 MR. ANDERSON: Read the bottom Bates 6 stamps. LVMPD 4378. 7 123 page of 222? THE WITNESS: 8 MR. ANDERSON: Go to this number here on 9 the bottom right. LVMPD --10 THE WITNESS: 4377. 11 BY MS. MURPHY: 12 Kerry, I'll tell you -- you've probably 0. 13 seen it a little bit in this case already --14 oftentimes we end up producing thousands and 15 thousands and thousands of pages of documents, and 16 it gets a little confusing when we refer to the 17 internal page document. So as lawyers what we do is 18 we mark all the documents with what we call Bates 19 numbers. It's that alphanumeric number down at the 20 It's like a page number for the entire

- 20 bottom. It is like a page number for the entire
- 22 produced. That is page 4,378. That's what Craig

case, for every single document that's been

- 23 was referring to. We call it a Bates number.
- 24 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Just to get back on track though, that

21

Page 48 1 is -- when you talked about the briefing -- or the 2 thing that you now add PC to, is that what -- is 3 that what you were talking about? 4 Yes, ma'am. Α. 5 Okay. Q. 6 Α. And to be honest, it's very hard to see 7 on this picture. But on the very bottom left of 8 this picture that was taken, where it's listed on 9 there as far as -- it's listed on there as far as guns, children, elderly, all that stuff is listed 10 11 down there on the bottom left, which would be for --12 they're actually separated on here. One side is for 13 the 3050 South Nellis and the other is Jimmy 14 Durante. So there's a list for each of those 15 because of the fact that there were two on this 16 plan. 17 Just to confirm, that's Bates 4377; Ο. 18 correct? 19 Α. Yes, ma'am. LVMPD 004377. 20 Yeah. Q. Because there's three 21 different -- it's 77, 78, and 79. 22 So the one you're talking about 23 specifically is the chart that's on 4377? 24 Α. Yes, ma'am. 25 And I see exactly what you're talking Q.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 49 1 about. Yeah, down at the bottom there's like --2 there's two stacks where there's the Nellis one 3 and -- it is a little hard to read. But, yeah, it 4 says Nellis and Jimmy Durante. 5 That's what you were pointing out to me; 6 correct? 7 Yes, ma'am. Α. 8 So in terms of, like, kids, dogs, it's, Q. 9 like, all saying no, no, no, no, no, for Nellis; 10 correct? 11 Α. There is a thing on there for Yes. 12 quns --13 Q. Okay. 14 -- listed. But the others were listed Α. 15 as noes. 16 Q. And just -- and I want to -- based on 17 your earlier testimony, the information supplied for 18 all of this would come from the other two officers 19 that were on the recon team because they were tasked 20 with Nellis; correct? 21 Yes, ma'am. I mean, we would all kind Α. of have an understanding of that a little bit from 22 23 reading the warrant and what's going on and talking, 24 hearing from the detectives. So, like, I might have 25 heard the detectives say one thing over another.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 50 1 The gun I knew because of the warrant, 2 reading the warrant, that there was a small-framed 3 handgun that was involved. 4 And then there was also related -- that 5 wasn't just in here, but there was also a related 6 call where the -- I want to say -- sorry. Corvell 7 had priors for the firearms, prohibited person 8 And he also had -- I want to say Rembert? firearm. 9 Is it Rembert? Rembert was stopped prior in a vehicle, and he had what appeared to be -- not a 10 11 hundred percent, but it was either an MP5 or a short-barrel pistol -- rifle, like AR-style rifle, 12 13 and a handgun that he was stopped with on a previous 14 So he was known to have weapons as well. 15 As we sit here today, other than the 16 phone call with the aunt or the grandma -- I can't 17 remember if it was aunt or grandma -- like over a month before, to your awareness, other than that 18 19 comment, were you aware if it was ever confirmed that Corvell or Rembert -- I always get them 20 21 confused --22 Α. It's Fisher and Rembert. 23 Yeah, Fisher and Rembert. Q. 24 To your knowledge, were they ever, like, 25 associated with this apartment?

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

- 1 A. Just the stepmother that had stated that
- 2 they were in and out over here and it was a
- 3 flophouse.
- And to be honest, I can't remember if
- 5 there was anything else in there in the follow-up or
- 6 if the detectives had sighted them over there or
- 7 seen them over there or if there might have been a
- 8 car related over there. I can't go on record to say
- 9 a hundred percent, but I thought that the vehicle
- 10 that he was stopped in came from that area, that he
- 11 was stopped with the firearms in.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. And that was due to a relationship, I
- 14 think, because of the shooting that took place in
- 15 there where multiple subjects were seen running to
- 16 that apartment.
- 17 O. Okay. If I understand your earlier
- 18 testimony, just to clarify, the chart on page 4377,
- 19 now there is a little section that's added, like PC;
- 20 is that correct?
- 21 A. Yes. In that list that we normally put
- 22 on there we'll add PC on there. We will check and
- 23 confirm with the detectives, the detectives that are
- 24 there, just in case something changed from the time
- 25 that the warrant came up or whatever the case was.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

- 1 Or if they had PC, if they're arresting them, not
- 2 arresting them.
- We don't actually do the arresting. We
- 4 detain the suspects or subjects that are inside. We
- 5 detain everybody that's in there, and then we hand
- 6 them off to the detectives and they do their
- 7 investigation as far as what they're going to follow
- 8 up with.
- 9 Q. And so I just want to make sure I
- 10 understand.
- In terms of this incident, your
- 12 understanding was they didn't have PC for the
- 13 homicide they were executing the property search
- 14 warrant for, but they did have PC from other
- 15 investigations to arrest the suspects, had they been
- 16 present?
- 17 A. Yes, ma'am. That was my understanding.
- 18 Q. So was this an arrest warrant, or was it
- 19 a search warrant for property, or both?
- 20 A. The search warrant was for the evidence
- 21 and the property of the --
- 22 Q. But the intent was also to arrest the
- 23 suspects; is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 25 Q. I'm going to ask you to hand that to the



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 53 1 court reporter, because she's actually going to mark 2 that as Exhibit 2. 3 (Exhibit 2 marked.) 4 BY MS. MURPHY: 5 Kerry, turning to page 4378, can you Q. 6 tell me what that's a photo of? 7 This one would be a photo of the Nellis Α. 8 address, 3050 South Nellis. 9 Q. And then the next page, 4379? 10 4379, that would be the -- our entry and Α. 11 lineup team, our officer page, which has all the 12 officers listed in there involved, what the vehicle 13 package is, the entry line formation. All that 14 stuff is listed on that one. 15 And there's -- there might be -- I don't 16 know if there's another picture or if this --17 there's somewhat of a picture of the Jimmy Durante 18 residence just to the right in this picture. Ιt 19 doesn't show the whole thing, but there's partial of 20 that in there. 21 The actual target to that Jimmy Durante would be on the southwest corner of that Building 6 22 23 that's in the bottom of this picture, which is not 24 in this photo. 25 I'll represent to you, I don't think Q.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

- 1 that they took a picture of that one too, because
- 2 that warrant was never served.
- 3 So do you know who makes these drawings?
- 4 A. I don't want to admit to it, but, yeah,
- 5 we make the drawings.
- 6 Q. Listen, the handwriting is much nicer
- 7 than mine.
- 8 On this instance did you do these
- 9 charts, or do you know who did them?
- 10 A. No. The officer lineup will be done by
- 11 Jake Werner, who is assistant team leader. He would
- 12 have done the draw -- the planning phase for that
- and issued all the assignments for all the officers
- 14 involved, between him and the team leader or the
- 15 sergeant that night.
- And the information page and the draw of
- 17 the residence would be done by the reconnaissance
- 18 officers.
- 19 Q. And so you were part of the -- well, you
- 20 were part of the recon, so did you provide the
- 21 information for the -- did you do the Jimmy Durante
- 22 part?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. On the first page.
- 25 But you didn't do the Nellis part;



	Page 55
1	correct?
2	A. No, ma'am.
3	MS. MURPHY: We've been going for a
4	little while, going for about an hour.
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off
6	record at 11:00 a.m.
7	(A break was taken.)
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on record
9	at 11:11 a.m.
10	BY MS. MURPHY:
11	Q. Kerry, we took a brief break, but just
12	to confirm, although we have taken a break, the oath
13	that you took at the beginning of the deposition
14	still applies.
15	Do you understand that?
16	A. Yes, ma'am.
17	Q. Okay. On break I saw you talking to
18	Craig. Did you call anyone or talk to anyone else
19	while on break?
20	A. No, ma'am. My phone was in here,
21	actually.
22	Q. So we've gone over the photos that you
23	had at the briefing.
24	As we sit here today, I know that you've
25	read your own CIRT statement. Have you read the

	Page 56
1	actual CIRT report itself?
2	And it's C-I-R-T.
3	A. I did go over that briefly just to go
4	over it, yes.
5	Q. What parts listen. It's 222 pages.
6	What parts did you go over?
7	A. Yes. I didn't go over the whole page of
8	everything in there, no, ma'am.
9	Q. Did you read the conclusions?
10	A. I went through some of those, yes, I
11	did.
12	Q. And I'll ask you specifically about
13	I'll ask you specifically about some of the
14	conclusions later.
15	When you did go through the conclusions,
16	were there any that you were surprised by or
17	disagreed with?
18	A. Not that I could remember, no.
19	Q. Do you remember that do you remember
20	any of the conclusions addressing that there was
21	actually a series of unknown facts about the Nellis
22	apartment?
23	A. Yes, briefly. And I wasn't sure if that
24	was stuff that wasn't I don't know if it wasn't
25	put in the warrant itself, but it was talked about

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 57 amongst detectives and information from there. 1 2 don't know if that was --3 Sorry, not about the -- not about the Q. 4 But when I say unknowns about the warrant. 5 apartment, like, although we looked over that photo 6 earlier that had all the items listed, like dogs, 7 no; kids, no, in fact, that stuff wasn't -- one of 8 the conclusions that CIRT came to was that that 9 stuff wasn't known; that there was insufficient 10 recon. 11 So I quess I'm asking you, did you 12 review any part of that conclusion in the CIRT 13 report, or were you aware of that? Just that it said about the recon 14 Α. 15 being -- however they worded it, whether it was 16 insufficient or -- yes, I did see that. 17 Okay. And do you have any thoughts on O. 18 that? 19 Some of the stuff in there I don't think Α. 20 you're going to be able to know. If there's not, 21 you know, power set in somebody's name, if there's

24 hours a day, if somebody's in there, not in

somebody's name, I don't think anybody is going to

know, unless you have surveillance on a residence

not different things, you know, utilities in

22

23

24

25

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

- 1 there. Some of the stuff, you know, you can find
- 2 out during a reconnaissance, but other things we
- don't have, you know, days and days to perform this,
- 4 so there's some stuff that's not going to be able to
- 5 get on there. But I'm not sure what all findings
- 6 there were that weren't, you know, found to be in
- 7 there.
- 8 Q. So is it my understanding, based on the
- 9 comments you just made, that you think it's not
- 10 possible to have all that information before serving
- 11 a search warrant?
- 12 A. I think some of the stuff could be done
- 13 by detectives. Yes, they could spend more time out
- 14 there. They could do more follow-up stuff and do
- 15 that prior to applying for the search warrant. So I
- 16 think some of that stuff can be dug up. You can dig
- 17 into that stuff a lot more.
- I don't think that we have the ability
- 19 as SWAT operators conducting a recon of a residence
- 20 to be able to put in that much seat time, which
- 21 would be applicable or more for the detectives to
- 22 do, just due to the fact we have a very limited
- amount of time prior to that and then whenever the
- 24 warrant is going to be served.
- Q. I think I know, but tell me, what does



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 59 "seat time" mean? 1 2 Like being out there, for instance. Α. 3 Being able to go out there and set, you know, 4 surveillance on a residence for hours throughout the 5 night to see patterns of life, different stuff like 6 We don't have that in our unit. 7 Detectives might have that. I'm not 8 saying that they do have that time, but we really 9 don't have time to set on a place and put in that amount of time to basically get a lot of that 10 11 patterns of life and contacting, you know, different 12 areas, whether it be schools to find out if there's 13 kids related to the target residence. 14 So do you think the CIRT conclusion 0. 15 places an unfair burden or unrealistic expectation of what the SWAT recon can know before serving a 16 17 search warrant? 18 Object to form. MR. ANDERSON: 19 Go ahead and answer. 20 I don't know exactly what THE WITNESS: 21 they had issues with. So if it was specifically 22 certain things, there's certain things we can get, 23 certain things that we -- I would say that we can't 24 get. 25 I've been to residences before where,

- 1 for instance, a door, like a target residence, it's
- 2 very hard or we're not going to go up to a target
- 3 residence door to see what that door is made out of.
- We can -- some residences we can see by
- 5 looking at from a distance. We can check other
- 6 doors throughout the complex. Whenever I perform
- 7 reconnaissance, I take a magnet with me and I check
- 8 doorframes and doors to other apartments throughout
- 9 the complex, because I'm not going to get -- I'm not
- 10 going to, you know, be noticed by the suspect or
- 11 whoever is in that target because I'm at a different
- 12 apartment.
- 13 So we can check others to do that. But
- 14 to go up to the actual target residence would be
- 15 very, very hard to do, and you're jeopardizing, you
- 16 know, losing everything as far as the mission and
- 17 compromising that we're out there by doing that.
- 18 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 19 Q. So you kind of alluded to it, and I
- 20 wanted to make sure.
- 21 The door here, you know there was an
- 22 issue with the door here; correct?
- 23 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And what's your understanding of what
- 25 the issue with the door was?



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 61 1 Α. The issue -- well, the main issue with 2 it was there was a brass wrap on the door. The 3 brass wrap, if you were able to get up there and get 4 good eyes on the door, you would see there's a brass 5 wrap on there. Not saying that a brass-wrapped door 6 is impenetrable. However, it is going to be a 7 little bit harder to breach than a door that doesn't 8 have a brass wrap, because it's keeping that locking 9 mechanism from bending or deforming because of that wrap that wraps around the locking mechanism. 10 11 Now, if that was a wooden frame, with a 12 brass wrap on a wood-framed door, more than likely 13 the wood frame -- it is not very hard to snap a wood 14 frame, and that wood frame is going to be 15 compromised prior to that door being compromised. 16 So that would have something that 17 obviously was missed or obviously would be missed in 18 there, so I see that being an issue. Because you 19 should be able to get by that and get a closer look 20 just by walking by, without actually going up to the 21 door and being able to see that. 22 And that would -- I'm not going to say a 23 hundred percent it would change the plan, but you 24 would know that that door is going to be a little 25 bit stouter. So it might take an extra hit on the

- 1 door. It might take a ballistic breach of -- using
- 2 a ballistic round from a shot clock prior to hitting
- 3 the door. It could be using an explosive breach on
- 4 the door. Different options that we would have.
- We would still have to get those,
- 6 basically, options approved by going up through our
- 7 chain, but different options that we would have that
- 8 we could throw out there.
- 9 So not having that information, that
- 10 could have changed things.
- 11 Q. Okay. But it wasn't your responsibility
- 12 to determine something like -- it wasn't part of
- 13 your recon assignment to determine what kind of door
- it was or if there was a brass wrap; correct?
- 15 A. Correct, ma'am.
- 16 Q. Okay. And -- okay. And so let's kind
- 17 of get into the incident itself.
- 18 And so, Kerry, I know that you were the
- 19 first one through the door. If any of this
- 20 testimony is difficult for you to get through and
- 21 you want to take a break, you just tell me. We can
- 22 take a break. If it's fine for you to just power
- 23 through, that's good. But if you feel at any point
- 24 in time like, Hey, this is a little difficult for me
- 25 to talk about. I want to take a break. You just



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 63 1 tell me. Okay? Yes, ma'am. 2 Α. 3 We looked at it briefly. We looked at Q. 4 the photos -- or the drawings from Sam's Town. 5 Can you kind of walk me -- and I know 6 that you -- I'm assuming it's fresh in your memory 7 because you recently watched the video; correct? 8 Α. Yes, ma'am. 9 Q. So if you can kind of walk me through 10 your dialogue of, okay, you arrive at Sam's Town, 11 and then what happens? 12 Α. So I left my house in my work truck, 13 arrived over there at Sam's Town to start putting up 14 the papers and get everything ready. So I got there 15 a little bit early from whenever the actual brief 16 was, due to the fact I was a reconnaissance officer. 17 Got over there for the address 4475 18 Jimmy Durante, but I was a part of that plan. 19 Whenever I was over there, I ended up getting a 20 detective car and driving Officer Adam and Sergeant 21 Clarkson at the time, I drove them both by both 22 residences, because one was going to be a sniper or 23 a Sierra unit, so he was going to need to see that 24 target and see what would be the best location for 25 him, so he went with me. And Sergeant Clarkson was

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

- 1 driving one of the BearCats on the Jimmy Durante
- 2 target, so he was going to be driving one of the
- 3 BearCats over there to that -- actually, he drove
- 4 for the 3050 actually also. So I drove him by so he
- 5 knew the route going in there, so he wasn't confused
- 6 with it, and he's actually seen where he's supposed
- 7 to land at with the vehicle and going over all that
- 8 stuff with them. So I drove them by real quick to
- 9 do that in the morning.
- 10 We conducted the briefing of the ATL.
- 11 Assistant Team Leader Jake Werner conducted the
- 12 briefing. Went over that.
- 13 And I believe that one of the detectives
- 14 was asked to talk about the -- basically the warrant
- 15 a little bit, because some officers that --
- 16 obviously you might have K-9 there. They don't
- 17 know -- they haven't seen the warrant. They don't
- 18 know anything about it. So it gives them an
- 19 opportunity to just briefly go over what this is
- 20 for. It's for a homicide due to the fact that a
- 21 couple months ago, I believe it was in November, two
- 22 suspects shot and killed somebody who ended up dying
- 23 at the bus stop. Well, he left the bus stop, but he
- 24 ended up dying from that.
- They briefly go over that with them, and



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 65 1 then we go over the planning phase as far as the 2 assistant team leader goes over the plan and what 3 everybody's duties are throughout the plan. Once that's done, then we basically 4 5 kitted up, but we got all of our gear on. 6 Q. If it's called "kitted up," that's fine. 7 We put all our gear on, whether it be Α. 8 our vests, our helmets, all the equipment we needed. 9 We do that. We get everything done. 10 Meet back at our location -- not our 11 location, but meet back at the vehicle package that 12 we're driving over there. Then we basically load 13 onto the vehicle the way we're supposed to, because 14 everybody has got a certain position on there. 15 we drive over to the target residence location. 16 Keep going through everything? 17 Yeah. If you're good with keeping 0. 18 going, keep on going. 19 So we get to the target location. Α. 20 unload off the BearCat, and the other vehicles get

in their positions. We get into a double-stacked formation. We had an entry line on one side, who

23 consisted of Jasper Williams -- I'm sorry -- Jasper

24 Washington, who was in front of me. He was on a

25 shield, which was going to lead up. I was going to

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

- 1 be number-one entry behind him. He was going to
- 2 shield going up there. And then the lineup went
- 3 from there back.
- I'd have to look at the list to be a
- 5 hundred percent. I know it was Jasper, myself, it
- 6 was Brice Clements, and then back -- from there back
- 7 it might have got a little bit -- I'm not a hundred
- 8 percent on that. I'd have to look at that.
- 9 On the right side there was two takedown
- 10 officers that were leading up over there, which was
- 11 Brett Brosnahan and Blake Dixon.
- 12 There was also a floor side containment
- 13 that was going over there for the window on the
- other side where the stun stick was being deployed,
- 15 which would have been Officer Rothenburg and Officer
- 16 Bertuccini. Officer Rothenburg had a shield, the
- 17 shield up as well.
- 18 So we make our approach up to the
- 19 apartment. We go down the sidewalk that's going to
- 20 it. We come around the corner, wrap the corner.
- 21 Once we get to that corner wrap, the other guys get
- 22 set over in their position. We're set at that
- 23 point.
- 24 Sergeant Russ Backman called out and
- 25 gave the announcements. The plan was to give out



- 1 two announcements, and then the stun stick was to --
- 2 supposed to give out two full announcements of the
- 3 residence, and after the full two announcements,
- 4 then we were going to -- was going to go off with a
- 5 stun stick, and the breach was going to go off of
- 6 that.
- 7 Q. I understand that's the plan. Tell me
- 8 what actually happened.
- 9 A. So we came over there. As soon as we
- 10 landed in that position, Jasper Washington was there
- 11 with the shield. Announcements came out giving who
- 12 we were, we were there for the search warrant.
- 13 Those announcements came out.
- Jasper Washington moved up to -- he
- 15 shielded the window that was just to the right,
- 16 which would have been to the east of the door that
- 17 was facing west. So he shielded that window. Kai
- 18 Hoskins, who was on the breach team, which was in
- 19 that other stack that was to the right, he moved up
- 20 with the ram. He moved up to basically key the door
- 21 or hit the door with the ram.
- So once he got to the front door, I was
- 23 basically held back, so I'm approximately
- 24 probably -- if I had to guess, probably 6 feet,
- 25 7 feet away from the front door, giving him room to



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 68 1 get up there. He went up there, and the stun stick 2 was inserted. 3 Stun stick went off, which was one --4 the stun stick is a noise-flash diversionary device 5 which was attached to a rake that was inserted 6 through the window. Whenever they inserted that 7 through the window, rendered the area clear in 8 there, then they initiated that. 9 Kai Hoskins stepped up to start 10 breaching the door. He hit the door. The plan 11 was -- in the details before, the plan was going to 12 be he was going to hit the door. If he called for a 13 shock to come up, then Officer Hancock, Levi 14 Hancock, would step up. He had a shot clock, which is like a small shotgun, issues compressed copper. 15 16 He would go up there and hit the locking mechanism 17 to try and soften that up. If that softened it up, 18 he stepped out, he was able to get the door open and 19 we still had time on our side, then we would make 20 entry into the residence. 21 So Kai hit the door a couple times. 22 think he hit it on the third time. It started to --23 I could see from where I was that whenever he hit it 24 I could see, like, a little bit of light through the edge of the door, so I knew it was starting to 25

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 69 1 become compromised. So in my mind, I was thinking 2 he was going to have more likely another hit, one 3 hit at the most, to open up the door. 4 He hit the door. At this time, whenever 5 he was hitting the door, the other, which was -- it 6 was another noise. A flash-bang, basically, that 7 was going off, which is a nine-bang. So those go 8 off for approximately a half second to a second. 9 One that is -- over the stun stick it's delayed approximately a second to a second and a half, 10 11 delayed on whenever it goes off, whenever they 12 deploy it. So you have that second and a half that 13 that goes off. Then about every half to a second 14 after that -- they're not a hundred percent at that 15 time, it could be three-quarters, whatever, but it's 16 a half to a second -- there's nine bangs that go on. 17 So the first one, then there's eight additional. 18 They just randomly keep going consistently. 19 those were going off at the same time as Kai was --20 as Hoskins was attempting to breach the door. 21 So whenever the door finally -- I seen 22 it come open, and then he just -- on the last one 23 just kind of gave it a push to open it because it 24 didn't fling open. 25 Because of the fact that the flash-bangs

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 70 were still going off that were still over there, in 1 2 my mind we had adequate -- we still had somewhat of 3 a diversionary device that was drawing -- anybody in 4 there, it was drawing their attention to what was 5 going on out there. So attempted to make entry. 6 With the attempt, I made entry, 7 seeing -- through the threshold of the door I could 8 see down the hallway, which was dark in there. 9 Obviously I had a light, so I illuminated the light 10 on my rifle going in. 11 As I wrapped into the door, my 12 unknown -- which the door opened up right to left. 13 My unknown corner would have been the corner to the 14 right. 15 So as I stepped in, I started to turn to 16 the right. There was a couch that was up against 17 the wall that protruded out into the room, so that 18 kind of stopped me from going down that wall to 19 clear that corner all the way. So whenever I came 20 in and hit that, I noticed that I was being engaged. 21 I was getting hit with -- hard to explain. 22 couldn't feel, like, pain. I could just -- it was 23 almost like, I would say, a ghost smacking, like, my 24 arms and my rifle, like, out of my hand. So I was

trying to engage, but it was kind of like it was

25

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 71 1 getting moved around. So I couldn't, like, get on 2 target. 3 So I kept pushing just past the couch, which extended probably, if I had to guess, maybe 4 5 6 feet maybe. It continued that way. It was kind 6 of like -- the shape of the couch was kind of like 7 an L-shape-type. 8 So as I pushed to the end of that, I was 9 just -- I could tell that gunshots were going off at 10 this point. 11 Being inside, there's a lot different 12 sound than, like, flash-bangs going on outside. 13 It's a lot different. 14 How is it different? Q. 15 Well, if I dropped a firecracker right Α. 16 here, you're probably going to -- you could probably compare that. It would sound different than if I 17 18 dropped one outside that door. 19 I could tell these were coming from 20 inside the residence. They were basically shots. Ι 21 could also see flashes coming from what -- I 22 couldn't -- I could see somebody curled up on a 23 couch over there facing that way, and I could see 24 flashes, a muzzle flash as the shots were coming 25 off.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

1	Page 72 So once I got over there to the edge of
2	the couch, obviously I was pushed in far enough the
3	other operators behind me, the rest of the team, or
4	partial of the team not everybody, but partial,
5	the rest of the team that were making entry, they
6	realized the same thing, that shots were coming from
7	
	that location, so they started engaging from
8	behind not from behind me, but from towards the
9	opening of the door from where I was, because I kind
10	of paralleled along that couch.
11	Once I got over to the edge of the
12	couch, I came to a stop position, like to a
13	stationary position, and attempted to I was
14	thinking in my mind that I was trying to shoot
15	whenever I was moving across there, but, again, like
16	I said, my mind is telling me to shoot, but I
17	couldn't, like nothing was working, basically.
18	So whenever I got to the edge of the
19	couch, I got a stationary position, same thing, I
20	could see shots going; I can see flashes coming out
21	of the barrel. I was like, Hey, address this. You
22	know, raise my rifle.
23	Well, I couldn't see my rifle wasn't
24	coming up. So I looked down, and my arm was
25	basically it was flopped like a fish, so it was

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 73 1 hanging down. Because a shot had went through 2 there, broke the bone. 3 So I took my forearm and kind of put it 4 under my rifle and lifted my rifle up to shoot, and 5 then right like immediately after that something 6 dropped me to my knees. 7 So I think at that point I took a shot 8 in my leg, which dropped me down. So I dropped down 9 on my hands and knees. 10 Once I dropped down to my hands and my 11 knees, stuff was still going on. And then 12 eventually I heard -- obviously somebody yelled out 13 "Tactical." That was reverb'd a couple of times by other officers yelling out, "Tactical, tactical." 14 15 Which on a tactical call, whenever we're 16 going in, we stop where we're at and hold position 17 and kind of slow everything down and go from there, 18 do what we need to do. 19 So rather than -- typically, if we were 20 making a CET, we would have bodies go to this room, 21 bodies go to this room, bodies go down the hall, go 22 to this room, go to this room. We would flood the 23 apartment, and literally -- an apartment that size, because it was so small, probably in, I would say, 24 25 eight seconds probably you'd have operators in every

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

	Page 74
1	single room in there.
2	So a tactical call was called. I yelled
3	out that I was hit, and somebody started to drag me,
4	because I can't remember who it was it might
5	have been Levi Hancock saying, "Hey, drag him out.
6	Drag him out."
7	So somebody grabbed me from behind me,
8	and I was on my hands well, my knees and my hand.
9	My rifle was, like, laying down, but my hand was
10	it was kind of like my nub was on the ground.
11	Somebody grabbed my vest, started
12	pulling to pull me towards the door. Well, as he's
13	pulling me, I'm trying to, like, hobble, and I'm
14	200 pounds, and with my vest I'm probably 250, so he
15	wasn't very easily dragging me, but I was kind of,
16	like, shuffling along. I was like, "Hey, just stand
17	me up, stand me up."
18	At that point Jiyair Brown was there.
19	He helped lift me, got me back to my feet. Then we
20	walked out through the door, went back to the
21	BearCat.
22	Once we got back to the BearCat, then
23	we got me back there, asked where I was hit. I
24	told him I was hit in my right arm, hit in my left
25	arm. Well, I told him I was hit in my left arm

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

- 1 because I could see it was broke. Told him I could
- 2 feel pressure in my right arm, my right arm was hit,
- 3 and then my leg was hit.
- 4 They basically got back to the BearCat
- 5 where medical was at, started pulling off
- 6 everything, ripping stuff off, applying tourniquets,
- 7 put all the tourniquet stuff on, did all that.
- 8 And then I think whenever they were
- 9 putting the tourniquet on my leg, whenever they were
- 10 applying that, that might have -- either that or the
- 11 difference of going from standing to going down to
- 12 laying down, one or the other, blood issues or
- 13 whatever, but I passed out for a minute there.
- 14 And then basically after that I was back
- 15 up, came to. Medical was already staged in the
- 16 complex because they roll out with us. So they were
- 17 staged just to the south of us, at the front of the
- 18 complex, at the front office. So they backed up,
- 19 AMR backed up, loaded me onto the AMR unit, and then
- 20 we left there, from there, left to UMC.
- 21 O. So I'm going to go back and kind of --
- 22 I'm going to go back and kind of parcel that up a
- 23 little bit.
- 24 A. Uh-huh.
- 25 Q. So you were number one in the stack;



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

	Page 76
1	correct?
2	A. I was number one entry going into the
3	residence.
4	Q. Yeah.
5	A. Jasper was in front of me initially.
6	Yes, ma'am.
7	Q. Correct. Because Jasper was the one
8	that breached the door; correct?
9	A. No. Jasper Washington had a shield. He
10	was going to shield the window to the left of the
11	door, to provide cover for if anybody was looking
12	out that window, to provide cover for the team and
13	then for Kai Hoskins, who was basically breaching
14	the door.
15	So he stepped over to there, which was,
16	in my lineup, like my entry, he was just in front of
17	me. I was right behind him.
18	Then on the other side would have been
19	the first two, Brosnahan and Blake Dixon, would
20	have because they were takedown. Nobody was out
21	there to take down, so they would have stepped out.
22	Then immediately behind them, I
23	believe I'd have to look at the lineup, but I
24	believe Kai Hoskins and Levi Hancock were behind
25	them, and that would leave room for Kai Hoskins to

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 77 1 come up -- after the announcements were given, he 2 would come up and then breach the door. 3 Just to confirm though, you were the Ο. 4 first guy through the door, but you weren't 5 breaching the door; correct? 6 Α. Correct. 7 You understand that -- we talked a Q. 8 little about it before, the brass wrap. 9 Do you remember seeing -- strike that. 10 When you approached the door, the brass 11 wrap was physically -- you could see it; correct? 12 Α. I did not notice it, to be honest with 13 you, whenever I was up there, no. 14 Okay. And so it's talked about quite a Q. 15 bit in the CIRT report. What's a "tactical"? 16 talked about it a little bit in your dialogue, but iust to confirm, what's a "tactical"? 17 18 On a CET entry, the only thing that Α. 19 stopped us from going in there was we have a 20 tactical call. That can be made by anybody. 21 Whether -- anybody in the lineup that's going in, 22 whether it is something is taking too long, whether 23 we have -- overwhelmed with, like, say the structure 24 is too big, we run out of bodies and now we're at a stalemate where we don't have bodies to fill the 25

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 78 rest of the rooms. We might see somebody that comes 1 2 out and runs. If we see anybody running in there, 3 we call a tactical, because we're not going to chase 4 somebody into an unknown room that they're running 5 into, in case they're either going in there to fight 6 or going in there to arm themselves. So we are not 7 going to run in there at that point. We'll call 8 tactical, go back to the front door, use a shield 9 there, and then slow it down at that point into -at that point it would be like a breach and hold. 10 11 So that tactical call can be called by 12 anybody that is in there depending on what they see the need to call it for. Whenever that person calls 13 it, he would give out the reason for it. So it 14 15 might be, "Tactical, Tactical," and, "I seen a guy 16 running down the hallway to a back bedroom." 17 Everybody else relays that and starts saying "Tactical, Tactical" so it's heard throughout 18 19 everybody that's in the lineup, the entry team and 20 also people on the outside, so they're all aware of 21 that. 22 In this case was the entry taking too Q. 23 long? 24 I don't feel -- myself, I don't feel Α. 25 that we lost that -- that, I would say, surprise, or

- 1 maybe that overwhelming action, due to the fact that
- 2 we still had -- those flash-bangs were still going
- 3 out there.
- 4 So to me, I felt the plan was that if we
- 5 went up there and Kai hit the door, if he called for
- 6 a shock and a shock came up, that shock would have
- 7 to come up, introduce a couple of rounds into that
- 8 lock, fall back, and if the door still came open, we
- 9 would still be good to go on a CET, to still make
- 10 that entry.
- In my mind, that extra hit on the door
- 12 was going to be less time than having him step up,
- 13 shock that door, step back out, and then him step up
- 14 to hit the door again.
- 15 Q. But you could have also then called a
- 16 tactical? It wouldn't necessarily have been to do
- 17 an explosive breach through the door; you guys could
- 18 have also just retreated; right? And then retreated
- 19 and then done a surround and callout; correct?
- 20 A. We could have. We would have had to
- 21 pull back all the way off the structure and down the
- 22 side. We couldn't stay up there and have eyes on
- 23 the door. I mean, we could, but ...
- Q. Is the purpose of the flash-bang to
- 25 disorient and confuse?



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

- 1 A. Well, it's a noise-flash diversionary
- 2 device. Basically it's something that's flashing
- 3 on. If something went off outside this window, I
- 4 am -- everybody in here is going to focus their
- 5 attention over here. So if they're focused over
- 6 there and we're coming in over there, that gives a
- 7 perfect distraction. That's one of the things, why
- 8 it's called a distract, is because it's going to
- 9 divert their attention to something else as we're
- 10 coming in over here, which is also going to put that
- 11 back on our side as far as having that going off
- 12 over there.
- We wouldn't necessarily -- we wouldn't
- 14 drop and do a distract outside the front door unless
- 15 it was a surround and callout because we don't want
- 16 to draw attention to where we are making entry at.
- 17 So that's why it is put on another location, to draw
- 18 somebody's attention to that. It might be a back
- 19 bedroom window that's towards the back of the
- 20 residence, to get their attention out there. It
- 21 might be -- just to take their attention away from
- 22 where we're coming in at.
- 23 Q. In this instance it was put through a
- 24 window that was right next to where Mr. Williams
- 25 was; correct?



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 81 1 Α. Ultimately, yes. Unknown that he was 2 going to be in that room. But it ended up, yes, 3 being in that room is where that first one was 4 deployed. Which was the first distract. It's one 5 That's inserted, it's pushed up to the 6 ceiling, so it's against the ceiling up there, and 7 it's flashed off in a high corner of a room. 8 That's where we train putting it is in 9 the high corner of the room where somebody -- the most likelihood, nobody is going to be up in that 10 11 corner, up in a room up there, and it's approximately -- I'd say 8 feet or the height of the 12 13 ceiling is where it is up there. 14 It's also attached to that pole so it 15 doesn't come down, it doesn't land on the ground, it 16 doesn't emit any flash or anything that can cause 17 fire, because it's up away from everything, up by 18 the corner of the wall. 19 And then the additional, the nine-bang 20 flash-bang that was going off outside, was another 21 distraction that was diverting attention outside of 22 that side of the house, which would have been where 23 the sliding door was that might have been related --24 the sliding door and the other window that was out 25 there, that was outside.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 82 1 Q. But the purpose of these devices, the 2 nine-bang or the other one, it's also to disorient 3 whoever's inside the apartment; correct? 4 Yeah. I would say it's going to 5 disorient you, yes. 6 Q. And, Kerry, when you talked a little bit 7 earlier about kind of coming through the residence 8 and, you know, what was going on with your arm and 9 how you felt you were being hit by a ghost, is it 10 fair for me to say that it took you a few seconds to 11 figure out what was going on? 12 Α. It took -- I mean, I would say we're 13 talking like a second to realize. I mean, literally 14 within one to two steps of going through that 15 threshold, the doorway. It wasn't like I entered a 16 room and went all the way across the room. It was 17 literally within one to two steps that I was -- I 18 knew I was getting engaged by something over there. 19 And then I could -- like I said, I could see that 20 the flashes were going. I just couldn't address 21 I was trying to address it, but I couldn't 22 address it at that point. I kept moving across 23 there, across the couch, another probably maybe 24 4 more feet to get to the edge of that, to get to 25 the edge of the couch.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 83 1 Q. And your ability even within one or two 2 steps, which is a few seconds, like, that's also 3 based on your years and years and years of training 4 as a SWAT officer; correct? 5 As far as how far I went, or --Α. 6 O. As far as how long it took you to 7 assess what was going on inside the apartment. 8 Α. Well, whenever we make an entry on a 9 CET, it's a very dynamic entry into the -- into the 10 threshold. So whenever you're making that move in 11 through the threshold, it's very dynamic in nature. 12 We're moving very fast. 13 And then we are moving -- from there 14 we're moving at a pace that we can fire from. 15 train that we can shoot as we're moving. Obviously 16 you can only move so fast whenever you're doing 17 But you're not -- you're not just a walking that. 18 It's a little bit faster than a walking pace pace. 19 going through there. 20 So my question was a little bit 0. 21 different. You kind of hit on it a little bit. But 22 part of your ability to walk in, to assess, to 23 figure out, to respond, that's also based on your 24 years of training as a SWAT officer; correct? 25 Yes, ma'am. Α.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 84 1 Q. And you would agree with me that you 2 have SWAT training and ability to respond that is 3 far in excess of what a normal person would have; 4 correct? 5 Α. Yes. 100 percent. 6 Q. Okay. And just to kind of loop back 7 again, we talked about it a little bit before, but, 8 again, part of the -- you talked about the 9 diversionary element of these devices. But, again, it is also to disorient and confuse; correct? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 So that you can overwhelm them; correct? Q. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Do you think that the delay in getting Q. through the door caused essentially a failure to 15 16 overwhelm and instead just disoriented and confused? I think it would have given us more time 17 Α. 18 to get through the door. Obviously if we didn't 19 have those going off and the door was still getting 20 hit multiple times and there was nothing else, 21 somebody might have been coming to the door at that 22 point. 23 I think it could disorient somebody in 24 I mean, it is -- you know, it's a loud there. 25 audible in there. Outside it's not quite as loud

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 85 1 because it's outside the residence. But that's what they're designed for is 2 to disorient and ... 3 4 Kerry, I'm going to read you a section 5 of the CIRT report. And it says, "If after notice" -- it talks about the legal elements for 6 7 knock-and-announce. And it says, "If after notice 8 of his authority and purpose an officer is refused 9 admittance." It talks about kind of like what the 10 purpose of what knock-and-announce is. 11 Can you tell me what Mr. Williams did to 12 refuse admittance while the door was closed? 13 Α. While the door was closed? 14 Q. Correct. 15 We had no -- we weren't aware that he Α. 16 was in there at that point, so he did nothing. 17 Okay. And do you think there was O. 18 sufficient time between the announcement and when 19 the side window's broken to allow Mr. Williams to 20 get up and walk to the front door to allow the 21 officers admittance, including yourself? 22 Α. It might have. But he could also call 23 out. So it doesn't take very long, if you're laying 24 there, to say, Hey -- you know, to say anything in 25 there. Hey, I'm in here. Who are you?

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 86 1 There was nothing of any of that. 2 And like I said before, somebody else 3 came out and approached before we went in there that 4 got -- they came out and had enough time to come to 5 their door or stick their head out. 6 Q. But they weren't inside the apartment 7 like Mr. Williams was; correct? 8 Α. Yeah. 9 Q. Because you even pointed -- you even pointed out a little bit earlier that how it's going 10 11 to sound and feel inside the apartment is going to 12 be very different from what it is outside the 13 apartment. 14 It wouldn't be as loud in a Α. Yes. 15 neighboring apartment. They are going to hear 16 something going on out there, but they're not going 17 to hear it as much as somebody inside there if we're 18 hitting on that door and the stun stick that was 19 introduced in there going off. 20 And so I'm going to -- I'm going to read 0. 21 to you part of what was quoted in the CIRT report 22 about a reasonable amount of time based on the items 23 sought. 24 Because we've gone over the type of 25 arrest warrant. I just want to make sure I

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 87 1 understand correctly -- sorry, not arrest warrant, 2 search warrant -- that this was a search warrant for 3 items. 4 You looked at the warrant itself; 5 correct? 6 Α. Yes, ma'am. 7 Q. Because you were part of the recon team, 8 so you knew which items were sought out? Yes, ma'am. 9 Α. 10 Based on my understanding of your prior Q. testimony, you thought -- this was also kind of like 11 12 an arrest warrant too; you thought you were going to 13 find suspects and detain them. Correct? 14 Α. Yes. 15 You thought that, based on what the Q. 16 homicide officers had told you, was that they didn't have PC for this incident, but they did have PC for 17 18 other incidents, so they were going to be arrested; 19 correct? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And so let's kind of parcel out that, in Ο. 22 terms of just the warrant itself for the items, 23 there was nothing in the warrant that you would have 24 been concerned about being able to be destroyed or 25 in some other way altered before entry in the unit;

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 88 1 correct? 2 Α. To be honest with you -- step back on 3 there -- one, the detectives, I'm not a hundred 4 percent that it was a homicide detective that said 5 they had PC. Just because I want to be clear on I 6 don't know a hundred percent it was a homicide 7 detective. It could have been a gang detective, 8 because they were involved with the investigation 9 there as well. 10 Q. We can just say "detective" for the 11 record. Okay. The other was the items that were 12 Α. 13 sought to be found, that they were looking for, I'm 14 not a hundred percent, so I'd have to see that again 15 But I believe it was for a small-caliber 16 firearm and for clothing. 17 And so I'm going to read to you some Q. 18 case law that's cited in the CIRT report, and it 19 talks about the standard for what is a reasonable 20 amount of time based on the items sought. 21 What it says is, "After 15 to 20 seconds 22 without a response, officers could fairly have



they remained reticent." That's from page 133 of

suspected that Banks would flush away the cocaine if

the CIRT report.

23

24

25

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 89 1 In this case you guys weren't trying to 2 execute a search warrant for any items that you were 3 concerned could be destroyed or flushed away; 4 correct? 5 Α. Correct. 6 Q. As we sit here today, do you have any 7 knowledge or memory or any position on how long you 8 think was between the first announcement and when 9 entry was made into the unit? How long it was? 10 Α. 11 Uh-huh. Q. 12 I would say six to -- I thought it was Α. 13 nine seconds whenever I viewed something before. 14 But around there. 15 In your professional opinion as a SWAT Q. 16 officer, do you think that that was a reasonable 17 amount of time? 18 Based on the circumstances and what we Α. 19 were going for -- it wasn't that we were -- I 20 understand that we were going for -- the search 21 warrant was for property that could have been, you 22 know, the evidence. The other mitigating factors would have been the fact that there were known 23 24 firearms that were associated to the residence. 25 They were known to be armed. Not only armed, but

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 90 1 they were known to have shot, you know, possibly 2 from that residence in illegal shootings. And, you 3 know, that they used a firearm to basically fire at 4 somebody and commit, you know, a homicide. 5 So those mitigating factors I think is 6 where part of that comes into the effect of how long 7 it takes. 8 I know, like, talking U.S. v. Banks, 9 you're talking about somebody that was in there for selling drugs or whatnot and he was in the shower 10 11 and there's -- yeah, that time might not be. 12 But not knowing that he's in the shower, 13 on a small apartment, to be honest with you, 14 depending on the size of the apartment, it doesn't 15 take very long at all to come and answer the door. 16 We have people that go up -- detectives 17 that go to doors, and whenever they do buys on 18 targets, the person is coming to the door within 19 three to five seconds a lot of times. So that's 20 somebody knocking, them coming to the door, and then 21 bringing them in to sell them narcotics. 22 So depending on the size of the 23 structure, which this wasn't an overwhelmingly large 24 structure. It was a very small apartment. So I 25 guess to what you're saying, I don't know that it

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 91 1 was too short of an amount of time. 2 I think that's subject to the mitigating factors and our articulation as far as what all is 3 4 involved. 5 I know typically the search warrant is -- like you're saying, it's for evidence that 6 7 we're looking for. However, the evidence that's in 8 there is related to subjects that are known to 9 shoot, that have firearms, and they're able to shoot 10 back at us. 11 So this warrant was being served like an Q. 12 arrest warrant; correct? 13 That somebody was in there? Α. 14 Q. Yes. In my mind -- like I said, I don't know 15 Α. 16 a hundred -- my mind, they had probable cause for 17 the two suspects, and we didn't know if those 18 suspects were in there or they were not in there. 19 And then there were other subjects 20 involved that were known to also be affiliated, like 21 gang affiliation, and known to carry firearms. 22 So unknown on who all was going to be in It was just a flophouse for a lot of gang 23 there. 24 members. 25 But this warrant was being served like Q.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

	Page 92
1	an arrest warrant; correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And if I understand your dialogue
4	before, it's your position that six to nine seconds
5	was a reasonable amount of time; correct?
6	A. For that size of a structure, I would
7	say.
8	Q. Okay. So that's a yes?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay. Prior to serving the search
11	warrant, had you received any training about what
12	elements you had to comply with under both U.S. law
13	and Nevada law for a proper knock-and-announce
14	entry?
15	A. What requirements?
16	Q. Yes.
17	A. We give out two full announcements, and
18	it's an articulated amount of time, a reasonable
19	amount of time for somebody to come to the door
20	Q. Okay. And sorry.
21	A or refusal. Unless that person
22	unless somebody basically comes out and they refuse
23	by running back in. You know, they see the door,
24	they open the door, they close the door, and they
25	refuse. At that time it's a refusal.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 93 1 Q. So is it your position that, based on 2 your training leading up to this incident on 3 January 10, 2022, that two what you would call "full announcements" meets the standard for the elements 4 5 for knock-and-announce? 6 Α. The two full announcements and then the 7 amount of time it took after that, after the 8 announcements. 9 Well, what if there's no amount of time? Ο. 10 Do you think that two full announcements is 11 sufficient? 12 If that time was adequate for the size Α. 13 of the structure and everything that was going on, 14 two full announcements and then making entry, 15 there's -- we're also not making -- those 16 announcements continue to go on. So even after 17 those first two announcements, it's not two full announcements and then everything is stopped at that 18 19 point. We're still continuing to make 20 announcements: "Police department, search warrant; 21 police department, search warrant." 22 Everybody even up until that point that 23 that door was opened -- which that's where I think I 24 said it was six seconds to possibly nine seconds. think the door came open, possibly might have 25

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

- 1 been -- and I'd have to review again to see a
- 2 hundred percent, but I believe that whenever the
- 3 door actually opened was around nine seconds,
- 4 because it was six seconds for the starting of that
- 5 and then nine seconds for the door to open, and at
- 6 that time we're still giving announcements. I'm
- 7 giving an announcement before I walk through the
- 8 door. Everybody else in the line is giving
- 9 announcements, the same thing. And as we're going
- in, we're still giving those announcements.
- 11 Q. And so let me ask the question a little
- 12 bit differently then.
- 13 Prior to the search warrant, what
- 14 training had you received about what elements you
- 15 had to comply with under U.S. and Nevada law for
- 16 proper knock-and-announce entry?
- 17 A. To give our attention -- to state who we
- 18 are, what the address was, to give our attention of
- 19 why we're there, serving a search warrant. We
- 20 obviously identify ourselves, and then give a
- 21 reasonable amount of time for somebody to answer the
- 22 door or refuse.
- 23 Q. And so what was your training about what
- 24 a reasonable amount of time was?
- I don't want you to walk me through what



- 1 you consider it based on the circumstances here.
- 2 But what I'm asking more specifically is what
- 3 training were you provided to say this is what a
- 4 reasonable amount of time is?
- 5 A. Just going over the overall factors. If
- 6 the house is very large, it's going to need a little
- 7 bit more time.
- When it is, what time it is, what time
- 9 of the day it is.
- 10 Whether -- I would say the structure,
- 11 the size of the structure, adds a big factor of it,
- 12 where somebody could be deep in a bedroom if it's a
- 13 five-bedroom house, or it might be upstairs. It
- 14 might be a two-story residence. It's going to take
- 15 longer. So you're not going to use that same amount
- of time on that as you are a one-bedroom studio
- 17 Segal Suite or something. That time is going to be
- 18 a lot shorter.
- 19 Q. And how did you receive this training,
- 20 Kerry?
- 21 A. Just through training through our TSD
- 22 section, our training coordinators, which would have
- 23 been senior officers that are in our division.
- O. And as we sit here today, do you think
- 25 that the amount of time that the team waited before



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 96 entering the unit, do you think that was reasonable 1 2 and in compliance with controlling case law? 3 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form. 4 Go ahead and answer. 5 THE WITNESS: I think with what we had 6 as far as the factors, I don't think it was too 7 short -- you know, that it was not long enough or 8 too short of an amount of time, just from my opinion 9 from serving warrants. BY MS. MURPHY: 10 11 So it's your opinion that the amount of Q. 12 time between the announcements and the entry into 13 the unit was reasonable based on controlling law; is 14 that correct? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Actually, before I get into MS. MURPHY: 17 some of the CIRT conclusions, were you placed on 18 administrative leave following this incident? 19 THE WITNESS: I was off that time, yes, 20 ma'am. 21 BY MS. MURPHY: 22 Q. Okay. How long were you off for? 23 I don't know exactly -- I mean, I was Α. 24 off for a year. But I'm not aware -- because 25 administrative leave, I was also off because of

- 1 injuries. So I don't know what the parameter was
- where you'd be off at this time but I wasn't
- 3 because --
- 4 Q. Let me ask the question a little
- 5 differently.
- 6 A. I came back a year after. Right at a
- 7 year after the incident is when I came back to work.
- 8 Q. Okay. And what is -- you kind of talked
- 9 about it when you walked through it.
- 10 Can you walk me through what injuries
- 11 you sustained?
- 12 A. Yes. I took a qunshot wound to my left
- 13 arm, the middle of my forearm, which shattered the
- 14 radius bone on my arm. That came out the top of my
- 15 arm, which tore all the tendons -- dislocated or
- 16 tore all the tendons that were in that arm.
- 17 And then the right arm I was shot, which
- 18 was probably about 6 to 8 inches down from my wrist.
- 19 That round entered my arm, and it traveled up my arm
- 20 to the rear of my tricep, the upper of my tricep.
- 21 That one caused just a lot of -- I know it caused a
- 22 lot of nerve damage going up that far, and the
- 23 swelling was obviously, like, enormous because of it
- 24 going up there. That bullet stayed lodged in the
- 25 back of my arm.



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 98 1 The bullet that was in this arm 2 (indicating), part of it is still lodged in -- it 3 could be all of it. I don't know. Just from an 4 X-ray, you can see that the round is still inside 5 there. 6 I took a round that was through my inner 7 thigh, just -- I don't know how far down that is. 8 But my inner thigh, it entered there, and it came 9 out the outer of that leg, which caused damage to muscle and tendons that were in there. 10 But it went 11 That came out the outside of my leq, through there. 12 which ultimately hit my firearm holster, and it also 13 came -- I think because there was a wallet in my 14 pocket, like a metal money clip, and the bullet 15 went, it hit that and went through it. So whenever 16 it hit that, it basically shrap -- basically the bullet broke up into pieces, so there's a lot of 17 18 pieces that are still inside there in my hip and leg 19 area that are small fragments that they can't go in 20 there -- it would cause more damage to try and go in 21 there and fish them out. 22 Same with the left arm. 23 The right arm they were able to go in 24 through the back of my tricep like six months or so 25 after that and make an incision, because it was

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 99 1 close enough to the surface to make an incision to 2 extract that. 3 I was hit once in the chest, which was 4 probably a couple inches above the middle of my 5 chest, on the left side, but that was stopped by my 6 ballistic vest. 7 I was hit on my left side, where I had a 8 knife that was in a holster that was on there, and 9 that hit off my vest and hit the holster and the knife and broke the knife, which was just in the 10 11 threshold of the door, after -- at least whenever I 12 was walking out, whenever we were going out, I 13 remember seeing it on the ground there in the 14 doorway. And pictures afterwards from the CI that 15 came out there to take all the photographs, I seen 16 it laying there. It was actually hit and broke in 17 half. 18 Then I had a round that hit the front of 19 my rifle, the very tip of my rifle. It hit straight 20 on with that and indented that. Didn't cause it to 21 break, but it indented -- you can see where it indented the front of that from deflecting off the 22 23 very front of my rifle.

24 Those were the ones that I had from

25 there.



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 100 1 So I have a lot of nerve damage. 2 Obviously they connected all the tendons back 3 together on this arm, on the left arm. 4 Bone, there's a rod, titanium rod, 5 that's in there from my wrist to my forearm crease, 6 which is -- I think it's around 9 inches, something 7 like that. So that's in there for the radius. 8 And then the right arm has significant 9 amount of nerve damage. I lost the use of my -- not the use, but my index finger has no -- I would say 10 11 I could stick it on a stove right now, no feeling. 12 and I wouldn't -- I think there's a superhero that had that, or some people have that. But I've burned 13 it multiple times not knowing. Just later in the 14 15 evening I'll find it's got a big huge ball on there 16 because I blistered it because I set it on something 17 too hot. So there's no feeling in this finger 18 (indicating). It's kind of dead. Like, smack it 19 with a hammer, it doesn't -- and it doesn't -- and 20 the way the tendon is, it doesn't operate -- like, I 21 can't make a complete fist with it. So due to that fact, I primarily 22 23 switched hands to my left hand to be able to operate 24 with that hand as my primary firearm with my pistol. 25 I can still shoot with this hand, but I

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

- 1 can't use my index finger. I use my middle finger.
- 2 So I can still shoot my rifle with my middle finger.
- 3 I can shoot with my left hand as well, but I just
- 4 transitioned my primary firearm to my left hand.
- 5 Those are pretty much -- a lot of nerve
- 6 damage.
- 7 Hip area, same thing. A lot of -- I
- 8 don't know if it's like a type of an arthritis or
- 9 nerve injury stuff, but same thing. Like, that -- I
- 10 guess it's -- hard to explain nerve damage, but it's
- 11 like an electric burn. So, like, my leg feels -- my
- 12 thigh just feels sunburnt all the time, like a
- 13 burning -- burning feeling.
- Both arms are the same way.
- 15 These two -- my index finger and my
- 16 middle finger and my thumb, same thing. Those burn
- 17 all the time. There's no -- and there's slight --
- 18 not as much as the middle finger. I can still feel
- 19 on these. But it's very -- it's almost like if you
- 20 wake up and your arm is asleep, it's got that
- 21 feeling on the other fingers. That's always there.
- 22 It never goes away.
- Q. How many times were you shot?
- 24 A. Technically, a total, I guess, six times
- 25 I was hit. Whether it be me, the tip of my rifle,



- 1 that was six times that I was hit.
- 2 Q. How many times was your body hit?
- 3 A. My body was it -- well, three that
- 4 entered. I had one that was in my chest, but that
- 5 didn't enter. But I had three that entered. One
- 6 through this arm, one through this arm, and then one
- 7 through my leg (indicating).
- 8 Q. Okay. And did you -- have you received
- 9 any psychological or mental health treatment related
- 10 to this incident?
- 11 A. Oh, yeah. For the full year or whatever
- 12 I was off, yeah. I was seen --
- 13 Q. Are you still -- sorry.
- 14 A. -- immediately.
- No, go ahead.
- 16 Q. Are you still undergoing any of that?
- 17 A. No. I still have the ability to. I
- 18 just don't really find -- I haven't had anything
- 19 that's came up that I need to see anybody about or
- 20 talk to about. So ...
- 21 O. Okay. And then is it your position that
- 22 you believe that Mr. Williams knew he was firing on
- 23 police officers?
- 24 A. I don't know what his thoughts were.
- I mean, we were -- everybody that was



Page 103 1 there was yelling, "Police department, search 2 warrant." 3 I had -- you know, my ballistic vest has 4 "Police" and "SWAT" patches on the front. It's got 5 a "Police SWAT" patch on the shoulder. We have a 6 helmet. We stand out pretty well, I would say, with 7 the gear that we wear. 8 And, again, like I said, we were --9 everybody that was coming in that door -- I'm sure pretty much everybody, because that's normally how 10 11 we train -- we yell out "Police department, search 12 warrant" before we make entry into the front door or 13 other doors going into other rooms back in the residence. 14 15 To confirm, though -- and I appreciate Q. 16 that you've made that distinction.

- To confirm, as you sit here today,
- 18 you're saying, Hey, I don't know if he knew or not;
- 19 that would be speculation on my part?
- 20 A. I have no idea what his thought process
- 21 was, other than that whenever he was shooting, he
- 22 was -- he didn't stop shooting. He kept shooting
- 23 until, you know, he was stopped.
- Q. Until he was dead; correct?
- 25 A. But he didn't stop shooting. He shot



- 1 rounds through the wall, through the ceiling, to the
- 2 upstairs apartment, through the -- both walls, and
- 3 just continued to shoot. So -- and it was a -- you
- 4 know, continual shots going off. So it wasn't
- 5 something that he stopped.
- 6 Q. He wasn't, like, a marksman; right?
- 7 Like even how you're describing it, it's a spray of
- 8 bullets; correct?
- 9 A. No, he doesn't -- well, obviously, I
- 10 mean, most people in that -- they're not accountable
- 11 for where their rounds are going. So disregard for
- 12 anybody that's in the, you know, apartment that's
- 13 neighboring or the apartment upstairs. Which I know
- 14 rounds went through both walls, that wall, the
- 15 ceiling, up into the neighboring apartment.
- 16 But there was no -- it was just a
- 17 continual shots going off.
- But I can't attest to what his thinking
- 19 was other than, you know, he was -- he might have
- 20 been thinking that he's just going out with a fight,
- 21 or he might have -- I don't know.
- 22 Q. Been confused and not know who was
- 23 coming through the door; correct?
- 24 A. I have no idea of what his capacity was.
- 25 He could be blind or deaf for all I'm aware of. I



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

	Page 105
1	have no idea.
2	Q. And so that's actually an interesting
3	point. I'd like to ask you about that.
4	As we sit here today, do you have any
5	knowledge of who Mr. Williams is, or was?
6	A. Leading up to, no.
7	Q. Not leading up to the incident.
8	Like, so since the incident have you
9	come to learn anything about Mr. Williams?
10	A. No. I don't dig into people. I
11	don't it's not in my you know, I'm not going
12	to look into or dig into anything.
13	I knew what it was at that time. And
14	I don't know. I'm just not there's nothing for
15	me to dig into
16	Q. Okay. Let me ask it a little bit
17	differently.
18	As we sit here today, do you have any
19	knowledge about whether Mr. Williams was or wasn't
20	associated with the crimes that were associated with
21	these search warrants?
22	A. To be honest, I have no idea.
23	Q. So you have no idea at all?
24	A. No.
25	Q. Okay. Have you been involved in any

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

	Page 106
1	other officer-involved shootings?
2	A. Not as a shooter, no, ma'am.
3	Q. How have you been involved?
4	A. Like, witness officer. I mean, we've
5	been in other shootings. As a witness officer,
6	where I was on-scene. I didn't shoot, but I
7	witnessed, you know, whenever somebody was shot.
8	Q. And what were those other incidents?
9	A. We've had a few. We had one that was a
10	hostage rescue, a vehicle hostage rescue, where one
11	of our sniper units ended up shooting. That was
12	I can't give you the exact timeline. It was
13	probably a year or so ago or a couple of years ago.
14	Q. So was it before or after this incident?
15	Because we're what are we? Two years out?
16	A. Yeah. You know, I'm not a hundred
17	percent on that, to be honest with you. I think it
18	was after. I think it was after. I think the last
19	one was after that, after the incident.
20	We had one, same thing, in Laughlin,
21	where, you know, incident officer I didn't shoot,
22	but I was right there, where there was a guy that
23	basically shot at security in Laughlin, robbed a
24	casino, shot at officers, was in a barricade in the
25	vehicle.

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 107 1 We were out there. He came running 2 towards us, and officers shot that were literally, 3 like, right in front of me and to the side of me, 4 and a sniper ultimately took a shot as well that 5 stopped him. 6 But there were officers in front of me. 7 I had no shot because of where they were located at. 8 I don't know. There's multiple times 9 where I witnessed somebody or, you know, been there. Not where I've actually fired, though. 10 11 Have you been named in any other Q. 12 lawsuits? 13 Α. No, ma'am. 14 Okav. Did you receive any retraining Q. 15 following this incident? Related to this incident. 16 I'm sure you've had training since this incident. But related to this incident have you received any 17 retraining? 18 19 Yeah, I received training. We receive Α. training all the time. But I received -- coming 20 21 back I received training. After the interview we go through a training process and we run through 22 23 different scenarios. Some are based somewhat the 24 Like, I don't know what they are. They run 25 me through scenarios before I, you know, come back

- 1 to work basically. So they'd run me through
- 2 scenarios before I came back. And then continuing
- 3 from then, you know, we train all the time.
- 4 So I couldn't tell you exactly this
- 5 training's for this incident or is not for this
- 6 incident. I know that after the incident, whenever
- 7 I came back, those were specifically -- not every
- 8 one of them, but some of them were related the same
- 9 way. You know, related. Kind of like the same.
- 10 Obviously it wasn't completely reenacted, but that
- 11 kind of enactment there is kind of the training we
- 12 do on a day-to-day basis.
- 13 Q. Okay. And I'm going to review some of
- 14 the CIRT conclusions, and I want to ask your
- 15 opinions about them.
- In terms of listing off the unknown
- 17 factors related to the Nellis apartment, they
- included who was actually staying at the apartment;
- if there were children, elderly, or vulnerable
- 20 individuals present inside the apartment.
- 21 Were you able to -- you were able to
- 22 identify those items related to the Jimmy Durante
- 23 apartment; correct?
- A. Who was in there?
- 25 Q. Yeah.



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 109 1 Α. I don't know who was in there. I can't 2 confirm that this is --3 Sorry. Let me ask it a little bit Q. 4 differently then. 5 In terms of your recon -- not the Nellis 6 apartment, but the Jimmy Durante apartment, the one 7 you were tasked with doing recon on -- you were able 8 to identify whether there were children, elderly, or 9 vulnerable individuals within the apartment; 10 correct? 11 I could go off what I seen at that time. Α. 12 So the time that I had out there, yes, I could 13 confirm that there was a child related -- well, confirm that there was a child related, one, because 14 15 there was children-type toy stuff that was directly 16 in front of that, like bicycles and stuff like that, that there would be a child in there. 17 18 As far as the occupants in there, other 19 than what we knew of as far as, like, who was 20 related to that residence, I can't say a hundred 21 percent, like, yeah, I know that this male, this 22 female, is inside this residence or, you know, a 23 hundred percent in there. 24 And I don't think you could at any place really a hundred percent, unless you really had eyes 25

- 1 on, positively identified the suspect, and you know
- 2 they're going in there and you don't lose track of
- 3 that or lose sight of that until an operation was
- 4 performed.
- 5 Q. Give me just one second.
- 6 I'll read you the specific language from
- 7 the CIRT conclusion.
- 8 "While the SWAT section manual contained
- 9 verbiage allowing for SWAT operators to conduct a
- 10 CET for property when there is a threat of an armed
- 11 and dangerous subject, it was not appropriate given
- 12 the amount of unknowns associated with
- 13 Apartment 1125. There were numerous amount of
- 14 unknown factors, to include who was actually staying
- in the apartment and if there were children,
- 16 elderly, or vulnerable individuals present inside
- 17 the apartment. SWAT's decision to serve the 350
- 18 South Nellis Boulevard search warrant as a CET was a
- 19 policy/training failure and not within standardized
- 20 LVMPD tactics, training, and policy."
- 21 And so we looked over a little bit
- 22 earlier the photos that were drawn out of the plan.
- 23 And in fact in terms of elderly, children, who was
- 24 associated with the apartment, those items were all
- 25 written into that -- into the -- what do you call



1	Page 111
2	A. Information page.
3	Q. Information page.
4	A. Yes, ma'am.
5	Q. But, in fact, after having now reviewed
6	everything, those were actually not known. You
7	understand that; right?
8	A. Yes. Hundred percent.
9	Q. Okay. And so do you agree or disagree
10	with CIRT's conclusion that to serve the CET was a
11	policy/training failure related in part to the
12	amount of unknowns actually associated with this
13	apartment?
14	A. It's hard to say that because I don't
15	know where it says that we can't do a controlled
16	entry if there's an elderly person in there or if
17	there's a child in there.
18	Q. Well, and the way that I read this,
19	Kerry, is a little bit different. It wasn't just
20	one of those things. It was a variety of unknown
21	factors, to the point where it becomes, like I
22	don't know if you're familiar with appellate law.
23	They talk about, like, one error by itself, even if
24	it doesn't affect the outcome of the case, isn't a
25	big deal. But when you have a variety of errors,
l	

	Page 112
1	even if they're small errors, after a while the
2	number of small errors itself becomes voluminous and
3	becomes its own error.
4	Do you understand that concept?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. And so here, the way I read this,
7	although I'm not the CIRT conclusion and I really
8	am asking for your opinion it says it says
9	specifically there were numerous amount of unknown
10	factors.
11	So it's not each individual factor on
12	its own; it's that there were all these unknown
13	factors.
14	Do you have a position or an opinion on
15	that?
16	MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form.
17	Go ahead and answer.
18	MS. MURPHY: That was a terrible-worded
19	question. It's a good objection. I don't know how
20	else to frame it.
21	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I don't
22	know like, the CIRT, whenever they're putting out
23	their stuff, I don't know that they have the
24	information or they knew these other factors that
25	were in there as far as, like, the suspects that

- 1 were stopped from there that were coming and going.
- 2 I don't know what all they had prior to that.
- 3 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 4 Q. Kerry, I'll represent to you they had
- 5 everything.
- 6 A. No, I understand that. After the
- 7 incident was -- after the incident took place and
- 8 everything, they had all that.
- 9 But I'm aware that they -- you know, if
- 10 they said that was a policy failure and that is --
- 11 you know, we need more than that, then that's what
- 12 it is. We're going to have to add those.
- Okay. And I guess I'm asking, what's
- 14 your opinion on that? Do you agree or disagree?
- 15 A. I think a lot of factors can change that
- 16 to where you wouldn't need all of those factors
- 17 listed for a controlled entry.
- 18 If we had a homicide suspect or whatever
- 19 the case was, we had that suspect that was in a
- 20 place and we didn't know all this, you know,
- 21 different pertinent information that was related to
- 22 that, I guess that's where it comes down to I think
- 23 that we definitely need more -- like I said
- 24 before -- I don't want to say seat time again, but
- 25 something the detectives probably need to follow up



- 1 more on as far as on their investigation to be able
- 2 to list that stuff to say, Hey, we have this, that.
- Which is kind of what we do now. We
- 4 have -- if they don't have seat time out there, if
- 5 they don't have certain things that are locked in
- 6 place that, Hey, we know that this person comes and
- 7 goes. He's been seen in here -- a lot of times
- 8 we'll know, Hey, we have him in there right now.
- 9 He's in there. We have a positive ID on him. We
- 10 seen him enter. We've had the place, you know,
- 11 under surveillance, and they're doing the search
- 12 warrant at that time. Those I think are the things
- that we've changed as far as, you know, making sure
- 14 that all that stuff is done and not just, you know,
- 15 pushed up on other -- doing a warrant, especially
- 16 due to the fact this was a CET. So that wouldn't be
- 17 done again from that.
- 18 Q. And so how it's done today is different
- 19 than how it was done at this point in time back in
- 20 2022; right?
- 21 A. Yes. I'd say that we're much more
- 22 thorough in vetting that and pushing back on units
- 23 to have that stuff done. If they don't have
- 24 something in there, then we push it back. Hey, you
- 25 need to get this. You need more time out there.



- 1 You need a PID. You might need to do a phone pin.
- 2 There's different things that we might push back.
- Not that I'd push back. That's above my
- 4 pay grade. But I know that we do vet that stuff a
- 5 lot more now.
- 6 Q. And to your knowledge is that change in
- 7 how it's vetted, is that related in part or at all
- 8 to this incident?
- 9 A. I'm sure part of it is, yes.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. That, and I think the overall -- the
- 12 world, the way everything's going now, it's not --
- 13 everything is getting pushed back as far as some of
- 14 that stuff, where we're not doing the same things.
- 15 Q. And I'm going to ask you about
- 16 Conclusion 3.8, just part of it. I'll read it to
- 17 you.
- 18 "CIRT found that under the conditions
- 19 present here, six seconds was insufficient to allow
- 20 occupants time to answer the door, let alone submit
- 21 to the search."
- Do you have any position on that
- 23 statement?
- A. Nah. If that's what they found, that's
- 25 what they're assigned to do, and that's what they



- 1 found in their mind, it was not sufficient. So I
- 2 don't have anything.
- 3 Q. And then it goes on to say,
- 4 "Additionally, deployment of the CET contradicted
- 5 the knock-and-announce principles. The CET is
- 6 intended in part to surprise and overwhelm occupants
- 7 inside the residence. Yet, the intent of
- 8 knock-and-announce is to provide an opportunity to
- 9 comply before a forced entry is made; thus, is a
- 10 policy and training failure and not within LVMPD
- 11 standards."
- 12 I asked you about it a little bit
- 13 before, but I'm going to ask you about it now more
- 14 specifically.
- Do you have an opinion on CIRT's
- 16 conclusion that CET contradicts knock-and-announce
- 17 principles?
- 18 A. I think it -- depending on the timeline
- 19 that's there, I wouldn't say that it contradicts it.
- 20 But depending on the timeline and what the
- 21 articulable facts are there, I think that what
- 22 they're going with is a no-knock warrant -- you
- 23 know, if we did a no-knock warrant on that, then
- 24 that would bypass the whole thing. So --
- Q. Absolutely. But they didn't go through



Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 117 1 the steps of getting a no-knock warrant here, did 2 they? 3 Α. No, they did not. No. 4 Okay. And you agree, though, that CET 0. 5 is intended in part to surprise and overwhelm occupants inside the residence; correct? 6 7 Α. Yes, ma'am. 8 And you agree too that the Okay. Q. 9 purpose, though, of knock-and-announce is to allow 10 somebody the opportunity to comply before forced 11 entry is made; correct? Yes, ma'am. 12 Α. 13 And do you understand and see the Q. 14 contradiction between surprise and overwhelm and 15 allow opportunity to comply? 16 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 17 Go ahead. 18 I see that there's a THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 difference of somebody not coming up to comply. But 20 complying can be different than coming to the door 21 and answering the door. 22 We've done CET warrants. I've done 23 hundreds of them. And I've went into a residence 24 where there's a guy with a gun sitting on his lap 25 and his hands are up, put his hands behind his head.

1	Page 118 He obviously didn't come to the door and
2	answer the door. But he was armed, he was sitting
3	there, and we made a controlled entry tactic on
4	that, and we went in. That's happened numerous
5	times.
6	Sitting on the coffee table there's a
7	firearm. On their lap is a firearm. In the seat
8	cushion next to them. But I've taken people into
9	custody that had a firearm in their hand. They
10	weren't pointing it at us, but they had it in their
11	hand.
12	So I think that they are still at
13	that point they're still complying; they're just
14	caught, overwhelmed. But they're caught there, and
15	they're still complying with us stating we're the
16	police and we're there serving a search warrant.
17	BY MS. MURPHY:
18	Q. I'm going to read you from 3.9, part of
19	it.
20	"Officers immediately lost the elements
21	of a CET of utilizing speed to surprise and
22	overwhelm the suspect per their manual by being
23	stuck at the door and hitting it multiple times
24	prior to entering the residence."
25	Do you think that do you agree or

- 1 disagree with that statement?
- 2 A. Well, it's hard to -- I mean, it's hard
- 3 to -- I don't think that we were stuck there overly
- 4 long or for too long.
- 5 Q. So is it fair for me to say then that
- 6 you disagree with that conclusion?
- 7 A. Well, it kind of goes back and forth.
- 8 Because you have one turn they're saying we're out
- 9 there -- we're not giving enough time, but on the
- 10 other hand we're going too fast. So what is it?
- 11 Are they saying that those knocks on the door, those
- 12 are breaches because we haven't opened the door yet?
- 13 Or are we able to go up there and hit that ram 15
- 14 times on the door and then they're going to say,
- 15 Well, that's too long?
- Well, it took 15 seconds to hit the
- 17 thing 15 times. We didn't open it, but we opened it
- 18 on that 15th time. That would give more time. But
- 19 did that leave us out of there?
- 20 My mind was -- to that fact as far as
- 21 having that time on our side still was because we
- 22 were still -- distracts were still going off at that
- 23 time, and I thought that we were still providing
- 24 that diversion out there.
- Now, if those distracts weren't going



- 1 off and they were just hitting that door and hitting
- 2 the door and hitting the door and there was nothing
- 3 else going on that would have been able to do that,
- 4 I would say, yeah, that might have been a time to
- 5 shut it down at that point.
- 6 Q. Do you think that Mr. Williams'
- 7 constitutional rights were violated?
- 8 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.
- 9 Go ahead and answer.
- 10 THE WITNESS: No, I do not, ma'am. I
- 11 received multiple gunshots before anybody even fired
- 12 on him. So I don't know that we acted in a way --
- 13 that we acted on him other than that.
- 14 BY MS. MURPHY:
- 15 Q. And then in terms of the recommendation,
- 16 we talked about it quite a bit, but I'll just kind
- 17 of -- I'll close the loop.
- 18 From the CIRT report, Conclusion 9.6, it
- 19 was a recommendation that CET to only be used when a
- 20 no-knock search warrant is approved by the LVMPD and
- 21 has judicial preapproval.
- 22 As we sit here today you understand that
- 23 that has been a policy change related to this
- 24 incident; correct?
- 25 A. Yes, ma'am.



	Page 121
1	Q. Okay. Do you think anything could have
2	been done differently here?
3	MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form.
4	Go ahead and answer.
5	THE WITNESS: I mean, a lot of things
6	could have been done differently. I don't disagree
7	with the plan of conducting a controlled entry on
8	this residence due to the factors. So I don't think
9	that could have been changed as far as that.
10	BY MS. MURPHY:
11	Q. Well, to be clear, LVMPD disagrees with
12	you, because they have in fact changed the policy.
13	You understand that; right?
14	MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	BY MS. MURPHY:
17	Q. But as we're sitting here today, it's
18	your testimony that you would not have done anything
19	differently; correct?
20	A. I mean, we could have done a lot of
21	things differently. You know, hindsight, we could
22	have done a lot of things, after the fact.
23	But during that time I mean, we could
24	have tried to do a surround and callout on there,
25	and somebody might have came out, and they might

- 1 have done something. However, somebody could have
- 2 came out and went into a neighboring apartment and
- 3 caused an issue or we could have hurt a citizen by
- 4 doing that.
- 5 Q. Today you would have to do a surround
- 6 and callout; correct?
- 7 A. No. They could have applied for a
- 8 no-knock warrant.
- 9 Q. Sorry. Okay, correct.
- 10 If taking the hypothesis that it was a
- 11 knock-and-announce, you would have to do a surround
- 12 and callout; correct?
- 13 A. I can't speak because I don't make those
- 14 decisions. But I think today, if this warrant came
- in and they had all the seat time and everything was
- in there, that they would go for a no-knock warrant,
- 17 and we would go that route to serve the warrant.
- 18 That's on this circumstance. That's
- 19 what I am --
- 20 Q. No problem. So that's a really fair
- 21 answer. I'm going to close the loop on that as
- 22 well.
- When I asked you if you would do
- 24 anything differently, your answer then is, Hey, I
- 25 think this should have been a no-knock warrant. Is



1	Page 123 that fair to say?
2	MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form.
3	THE WITNESS: At this time, yes.
4	BY MS. MURPHY:
5	Q. Do you consider this operation a
6	failure?
7	A. Yeah, it's a failure.
8	Q. I know it seems like an obvious
9	question, but I need to ask it.
10	A. Yeah.
11	Q. Why do you think it was a failure?
12	A. Well, there's the circumstances. I'm
13	living proof. I got hurt as an officer. Somebody
14	lost their life. Other people that were in that
15	neighborhood could have lost their life. He could
16	have shot other people as well. He was shooting
17	recklessly throughout the complex, other apartments
18	that were occupied at that time. So somebody else
19	could have been hurt as well. So I believe that was
20	a failure.
21	The brass wrap on the door, things
22	could have changed as far as that. Because we might
23	have if we knew that that brass wrap was there,
24	there might have been other plans that we could have
25	pushed up that might have been approved. I can't

- 1 say a hundred percent they would have. But we could
- 2 have pushed up the fact that we could go up there
- 3 quietly, we could have placed a charge on there, we
- 4 could pull back, and then we could make
- 5 announcements. Then if nobody is coming to the
- 6 door, we can give more time there.
- 7 We could also place -- whenever we do
- 8 those with ballistic breaches, we have trackers that
- 9 we put on the wall that they'll light up if somebody
- 10 is on the other side of the door.
- 11 So with those in place, we could have
- 12 effectively placed that charge, pulled back, gave an
- 13 amount of time, and then moved in the door and went
- 14 that route.
- 15 Q. And, Kerry, you talked a little bit
- 16 earlier about when you're actually walking, like,
- 17 the units and you are the one doing the recon that
- 18 it's your habit to bring magnets and to check other
- 19 doors.
- 20 So you think -- I understand that this
- 21 is a hypothetical question, but do you think that if
- 22 you would have been tasked with doing the recon on
- 23 Nellis that you would have been able -- that you
- 24 would have figured out there was a brass wrap on the
- 25 door prior to SWAT showing up to serve the warrant?



	Page 125
1	MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form.
2	THE WITNESS: I can't speculate that I
3	would have. If I didn't get a good look at the
4	door, I'd probably try, if I didn't know a hundred
5	percent, do something to go out there and try and
6	get close enough to where I could identify something
7	that was there.
8	BY MS. MURPHY:
9	Q. Because your earlier testimony was that
10	it's your practice that, if you can't get to the
11	actual door which I understand is not advisable
12	for a variety of reasons that you'd probably go
13	look at another door in the complex; correct?
14	A. Well, I think on every recon I've done
15	I've checked like doors. So I always check the like
16	door. I check the frame, I check the door, just to
17	see. Because nine times out of ten a brass wrap
18	is not the same, because anybody you can install
19	one in your house in, you know, probably 15 minutes.
20	So anybody can install that themselves. It's not
21	something that's standard protocol on apartments.
22	But you have certain things that are.
23	The metal doors and that's not a
24	hundred percent, but a metal door, if it's a metal
25	door and a metal frame, nine out of ten every door

- 1 in there is going to be metal on metal. You're
- 2 going to know that by checking those.
- 3 There might be somebody that put up a
- 4 dead man behind it or they might have put a brass
- 5 wrap on there or did something on their own to
- 6 reinforce that door.
- 7 But that takes a lot of that out of
- 8 there -- you know, I wouldn't say a hundred
- 9 percent -- by not checking the actual apartment
- 10 door, but I would have 90 percent going in knowing,
- okay, these doors are all, you know, metal.
- Now, if every single one that I checked
- had a brass wrap, that would change things as well.
- 14 And I don't believe -- well, I can't
- 15 attest to that. I don't think that there was brass
- 16 wraps on every door in there, but I'm not going to
- 17 say a hundred percent because I didn't go and walk
- 18 it and look at it.
- 19 Q. Okay. But you don't know if the recon
- 20 officers did a check for like doors either; correct?
- 21 A. To be honest, no, I don't.
- MS. MURPHY: Okay. All right. If you
- 23 want to give me just a minute, I'm going to go over
- 24 my notes. I think we might be done.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off



1	Page 127 record at 12:35 p.m.
2	(A break was taken.)
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on record
4	at 12:39 p.m.
5	BY MS. MURPHY:
6	Q. Kerry, this is just to clean up the
7	record a little bit.
8	We talked about your position relative
9	to it, and I was able to find a diagram within the
10	CIRT report which kind of shows the various
11	individuals.
12	And that's
13	A. LVMPD 04389?
14	Q. Correct. And based on how you kind of
15	described that to me before, the diagram within the
16	CIRT report is consistent with how you described it;
17	correct?
18	I think you may have identified some
19	different officers. But in terms of, like, the
20	actual positioning of the officers, irrespective of
21	their names, that is consistent with how you kind of
22	described to me the different positioning of the
23	SWAT officers around the unit and the actual layout
24	of the unit itself; correct?
25	A. Yes, ma'am. There's just Bertuccini

		Page 128
1	is not list	ed, but he's over here.
2	Q.	So I'm going to hand you a pen.
3	Α.	And somebody else is over here.
4	Q.	I'm going to hand you this pen. If you
5	want to jus	t draw in who is missing. And we're
6	going to ma	ke this an exhibit to the report as well,
7	or the tran	script.
8		There's a couple that aren't identified.
9	Α.	That aren't identified?
10	Q.	That are not identified. They don't
11	identify ev	erybody. Like, they've got all the
12	badges to i	ndicate police officers, but they don't
13	identify al	l of them.
14	Α.	Okay. Yeah, that's what I was looking
15	for on here	•
16		I don't a hundred percent know. He's on
17	the lineup	on here. Do you still want me to put
18	him?	
19	Q.	Yeah, go ahead.
20	Α.	Those are the only two I see listed that
21	aren't on t	here.
22		MS. MURPHY: So we'll just mark this as
23	Exhibit 3.	
24		(Exhibit 3 marked.)
25		MS. MURPHY: That will be an exhibit.

	Page 129
1	BY MS. MURPHY:
2	Q. Kerry, thank you so much for your
3	testimony here today. At this time I don't have any
4	other questions except to say did I allow you the
5	opportunity to answer all my questions?
6	A. Yes, ma'am.
7	MS. MURPHY: Excellent.
8	MR. ANDERSON: I have no questions.
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the
10	video-recorded deposition of Kerry Kubla taken on
11	October 11, 2024. We're going off the video record,
12	and the time is 12:43 p.m.
13	THE COURT REPORTER: Do you want a copy?
14	MR. ANDERSON: Yes. No video. Waive.
15	(Proceedings concluded at 12:44 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 130
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF NEVADA ) )SS
3	COUNTY OF CLARK )
4	I, Holly Larsen, a duly certified court reporter licensed in and for the State of Nevada, do hereby
5	certify:
6	That I reported the taking of the deposition of
7	the witness, Kerry Kubla, at the time and place aforesaid;
8	That prior to being examined, the witness was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole
9	truth, and nothing but the truth;
10	That I thereafter transcribed my shorthand notes into typewriting and that the typewritten transcript
11	of said deposition is a complete, true, and accurate record of testimony provided by the witness at said
12	time to the best of my ability.
13	I further certify (1) that I am not a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties; nor a
14	relative or employee of the parties involved in said action; nor a person financially interested in the
15	action; nor do I have any other relationship with any of the parties or with counsel of any of the parties
16	involved in the action that may reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned; and (2) that transcript
17	review pursuant to FRCP 30(e) was waived.
18	IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of
19	October, 2024.
20	
21	
22	
23	Holly Larsen
24	HOLLY LARSEN, CCR NO. 680
25	HOLLI LAKSEN, CCK NO. 000

	12:44	24		l	20:15
0	129:15	57:25	4	7	21:3,16
	133	24-hour			24:10
0000	88:24	20:14	4	7	26:13
11:7			15:25	67:25	41:9
	1400	24/7	82:24		44:23
004377	11:7	15:12		77	46:20
48:19	15	250	4,378	48:21	57:20
04389	21:1	74:14	47:22	78	58:4,20
127:13	88:21	2:00	400	48:21	59:3
	119:13,	11:6	14:15,17,	79	61:3,19,
1	16,17	15:18	20,25	48:21	21 68:18 87:24
	125:19	13.10	424	10.21	91:9
<b>-</b>	15th		7:11		98:23
1	119:18	3		8	100:23
6:4,5			4377		100:23
1/9	1:00	3	47:10	8	109:7
33:12	15:21,22	11:4	48:17,23	81:12	114:1
10		14:15,20,	51:18	97:18	119:13
12:7 93:3	2	25 20:12	4378	89138	120:3
		128:23,24	47:6 53:5	7:12	124:23
100	2	3.8	4379	, , , , ,	127:9
24:4 84:5	11:5,25	115:16	53:9,10		abaut
11	53:2,3			9	<b>about</b> 7:20 8:23
129:11		3.9	4475		10:9
1125	20	118:18	34:1	9	11:15
110:13	20:25	300	35:25	100:6	17:6,8
	21:1	14:17	63:17	9.6	20:11
11:00	88:21	3050		120:18	22:19
55:6	200	34:3	5		23:3,15
11:11	74:14	36:6,20		90	26:8
55:9	2008	48:13	5-foot	12:25	27:24
	12:18	53:8 64:4	20:11	126:10	32:21
12					33:8
11:5	2022	3350	5:00	A	36:12
123	12:7	36:4,18,	16:3,4		39:10,24
47:7	15:17	21		a.m.	44:5 45:1
12:00	16:16 93:3	350	6	15:22	46:6 47:1
15:18	114:20	110:17		16:3,4	48:1,3,22
		3550	6	55:6,9	49:1 55:4
12:35	2024	34:14,16	53:22	ability	56:12,13,
127:1	129:11		67:24	7:6 58:18	21,25
12:39	22	3:00	71:5	83:1,22	57:3,4,14
127:4	33:12	15:21,22	97:18	84:2	62:25 64:14,18
12:43				102:17	69:13
129:12	222				77:8,14,
147.14	47:7 56:5			able	16 82:7
	1	1		6:24 9:10	l +0 02·/

84:7,8	72:15	51:19	affiliati	64:21	82:16
85:6,9	82:16,22,		on	106:13	90:15
86:22	23	additiona	91:21		91:3,22
87:24		1		agree	97:15,16
88:19	acted	40:19	after	25:23	98:3
90:9	120:12,13	69:17	12:20	26:3	99:15
92:11	action	81:19	35:8	31:17	100:2
94:14,23	79:1	Additiona	40:7,10	84:1	101:12,1
97:9,18		lly	67:3	111:9	104:25
102:19,20	active	116:4	69:14	113:14	105:23
105:3,9,	14:16	110.4	73:5	117:4,8	107:20
19 108:15	actively	address	75:14	118:25	108:3
111:23	37:2	7:9 8:7	77:1	ahead	110:24
115:15		34:3	85:5,7	25:4,14	112:12
116:12,13	actual	45:8,12	88:21	34:11	113:2,8,
120:16	53:21	53:8	93:7,16	38:11	16,20
124:16	56:1	63:17	97:6,7	59:19	114:14
127:8	60:14	72:21	98:25	96:4	115:7
	63:15	82:20,21,	99:11	102:15	122:15
bove	125:11	22 94:18	106:14,	112:17	126:11,2
20:2,3	126:9	addressin	18,19	117:17	128:11,1
27:8	127:20,23		107:21	120:9	129:5
32:12	actually	g	108:6	121:4	
99:4	8:22 9:6	56:20	111:5	128:19	allow
115:3	33:7,22	adds	112:1		32:24
bsolutel	48:12	95:11	113:6,7	Alexander	33:4
7	52:3 53:1		121:22	5:18	85:19,20
116:25	55:21	adequate	afterward	all	115:19
	56:21	70:2		7:3 14:17	117:9,15
cademy	61:20	93:12	<b>S</b>	27:11	129:4
12:18,19	64:3,4,6	administr	40:8	28:23,25	allowing
ccess	67:8 94:3	ative	99:14	31:1	110:9
21:15	96:16	96:18,25	again	34:24	
28:16	99:16		23:13	35:3 37:5	alluded
	105:2	admit	72:15	44:18	60:19
ccident	107:10	54:4	79:14	45:16	almost
13:11	108:18	admittanc	84:7,8,9	46:22	70:23
ccountab	110:14		88:14	47:18	101:19
	111:6,12	<b>e</b>	94:1	48:10	
. <b>e</b>	124:16	85:9,12,	103:8	49:9,18,	along
104:10		21	113:24	21 53:11,	20:20
ccurate	Adam	advisable	114:17	13 54:13	46:10
6:25	63:20	125:11		57:6	72:10
	add	affort	against	58:5,10	74:16
cronym	44:19	affect	70:16	64:7	alphanum
21:7	48:2	111:24	81:6	65:5,7,8	ric
cross	51:22	affiliate	ago	70:19	
20:10	113:12	d	8:1 9:3	70:19	47:19
35:10		91:20	10:19	78:20	already
42:16	added		43:19	78:20 79:21	47:13
	i e	i	<b>エン・エ</b> ク	ı /9 <b>:</b> 21	i



Kerry Kubia	Latia		v. Las vegas Mei		
75:15	24:20,23	77:1	answers	64:18	appear
also	58:23	92:17	8:10	81:16	38:15
20:10	59:10	93:4,6,8,	2017	85:24	appeared
23:16	86:22	10,14,16,	any 6:23 7:5	102:18	50:10
26:3	88:20	17,18,20	9:19,22	105:9,12	30.10
41:25	89:17	94:6,9,10	10:2,22	116:2	appellate
44:19	91:1	96:12	18:4 22:4	121:1,18	111:22
50:4,5,8	92:5,18,	124:5	25:18	122:24	applicabl
50:4,5,8	19 93:7,9	announcin		anantmant	
64:4	94:21,24		27:17,24 29:6 32:4	apartment 18:21	<b>e</b>
66:12	95:4,15,	g		19:22,24	58:21
	25 96:8,	21:5	33:13,15		applied
71:21	11 100:9	26:18	34:16	20:4,7	26:10
78:20 79:15,18	110:12,13	30:11,16	36:2	21:18	122:7
=	111:12	another	44:16	22:21,23	٠, .
80:10	112:9	13:3	56:16,20	23:9,17,	applies
81:14	124:13	21:17	57:12,17	18,24	55:14
82:2	AMD	49:25	62:19,23	30:17	apply
83:2,23	AMR	53:16	81:16	35:13,15,	6:20
84:10	75:19	69:2,6	86:1	16 37:1,3	26:11,15
85:22	Anderson	80:17	89:2,6,7	38:18,21	27:10
87:11	7:17	81:20	92:11	41:12	
91:20	25:3,13	82:23	102:9,16	42:4,5,20	applying
93:15	47:5,8	125:13	105:4,18,	50:25	58:15
96:25	59:18		25	51:16	75:6,10
98:12	96:3	answer	107:11,	56:22	appreciat
124:7	112:16	7:3 9:4,	14,17	57:5	e
altered	117:16	6,11	109:24	60:12	103:15
87:25	120:8	16:22	115:22	66:19	
although	121:3,14	22:6	129:3	73:23	approach
although	123:2	23:13	anybody	82:3 83:7	31:3
6:19	125:1	24:5,12	57:23	86:6,11,	39:4,5
18:11	129:8,14	25:4,14	70:3	13,15	66:18
37:1		59:19	76:11	90:13,14,	approache
55:12	announce	90:15	77:20,21	24 104:2,	d
57:5	16:9	94:21	78:2,12	12,13,15	77:10
112:7	17:24	96:4	102:19	108:17,	86:3
always	26:17	112:17	104:12	18,20,23	
13:22	announcem	115:20	120:11	109:6,9	appropria
50:20	ent	118:2	125:18,20	110:13,	te
101:21	85:18	120:9	anyono	15,17,24	9:11
125:15	89:8 94:7	121:4	anyone	111:13	110:11
amongst		122:21,24	11:1 55:18	122:2 126:9	approval
57:1	announcem	129:5		140.9	27:7 29:2
	ents	answered	anything	apartment	
amount	21:13,20,	38:2	7:6 9:2	s	approved
18:5,7,8,	22 31:4,	answering	10:13	60:8	28:24
14,15	5,6 66:25		24:2	123:17	62:6
19:9	67:1,2,3,	117:21	31:20	125:21	120:20
23:15	11,13		51:5		123:25



Kerry Kubia			v. Las vegas iviet		
approxima	19:23	articulab	66:17	123:9	14:5,11
tely	20:15	le	67:9	asked	assuming
7:22	41:23	116:21	69:19,20	32:15	63:6
12:24	armarad		70:11,15	38:1 47:3	03.0
13:17	armored	articulat	71:8,24		at
20:11,25	19:20	e	74:12	64:14	6:17 12:6
38:3,8	41:8	26:13	80:9,11	74:23	13:15
67:23	arms	articulat	83:4,5,6,	116:12	14:4,8,
69:8,10	70:24		15,24	122:23	12,15
81:12	101:14	ed	84:25	asking	15:16,20,
		24:24	86:14,17	10:8	21 16:12
AR-STYLE	around	92:18	88:9	30:4,18	17:15
50:12	19:25	articulat	89:6,15	57:11	19:3,17
Arco	21:15	ion	91:3 94:9	95:2	20:22
20:14	35:19	91:3	95:16,24	112:8	31:19
35:19	36:17		96:6	113:13	32:12,14
36:16	37:16	as	100:24		33:22,23
	38:8	6:3 12:21	101:3,18	asleep	35:20,24
area	41:24	13:24	103:17	101:20	36:4,6
12:21	61:10	14:2,18	105:4,18	assess	37:4,18
19:16	66:20	16:14,16,	106:2,5	83:7,22	39:1,20
28:11,12	71:1	24 17:16	107:4		40:11
45:20	89:14	18:2	109:18,19	assigned	44:24
51:10	94:3	19:4,17	110:18	13:12	47:19
68:7	100:6	21:5	112:25	34:1,2	49:1
98:19	127:23	22:16	114:1,13	115:25	55:6,9,
101:7	arrest	23:14	115:13	assignmen	13,23
areas	43:3	25:22	119:20	t	60:5,11
37:8	52:15,18,	28:21	120:22	62:13	62:23
59:12	22 86:25	29:5,13	121:9,17		63:3,10,
	87:1,12	30:24	122:21	assignmen	13,21
arm	91:12	31:4	123:13,	ts	64:7,23
72:24	92:1	32:23,24	16,19,22	54:13	65:10,11
74:24,25	arrested	33:2,5	126:13	assistant	66:4,8,22
75:2 78:6	87:18	37:18,22	128:6,22	22:10	69:3,4,
82:8	0/.10	38:23		33:19	14,19
97:13,14,	arresting	41:10,15,	ask	35:1	71:9
15,16,17,	43:6	17,18	11:14	54:11	73:7,16
19,25	52:1,2,3	43:14,20	23:5,12,	64:11	74:18
98:1,22,	arrive	44:20	21 33:7	65:2	75:5,17,
23 100:3,	63:10	46:21	42:17		18 76:23
8 101:20		47:17	52:25	associate	77:24
102:6	arrived	48:9	56:12,13	d	78:7,9,10
armed	63:13	49:15	94:11 97:4	50:25	80:16,22
17:19,20	artfully	50:14,15		89:24	82:22
89:25	23:21	52:7 53:2	105:3,16	105:20	83:14
110:10		55:24	108:14	110:12,24	84:21
118:2	arthritis	58:19	109:3	111:12	85:16
2 7cm C 7c	101:8	60:16	115:15	assume	87:4
armor		65:1	116:13		90:3,15
	I	I	I	I	I

Kerry Kubia	Latia 1	Aicxander, et al.	v. Las vegas Mei	ropontan ronce i	Department, et ai.
	I	1	I	I	ı
91:10	attorney	65:10,11	103:3	72:17,25	63:7,22
92:25	5:17 6:14	66:3,6	124:8	75:4,14	64:15
93:18		67:23		76:13	65:13
94:5	audible	74:19,20,	bang	80:2 90:3	69:23,25
97:2,6	84:25	22,23	81:5	92:22	72:9
99:11	aunt	75:4,14,	bangs	98:16	73:1,24
105:13,23	50:16,17	21,22	69:16	106:23	74:4
105:13,23		78:8,16		108:1	75:1,16
100:23,24	authority	79:8,13,	Banks	100.1	76:7,20
107:7	85:8	21 80:11,	88:23	basis	78:3
109:11,24	aware	18,19	90:8	23:14	80:8,15
114:12,19	29:5 32:3	84:6 88:2	barrel	108:12	81:17
114:12,19	33:10	91:10	72:21	Bates	85:1
118:10,	50:19	92:23		47:5,18,	86:9,24
I	57:13		barricade	23 48:17	87:7
12,23 119:22	78:20	97:6,7,25 98:24	24:6	23 40.17	88:5,8
120:5	85:15		106:24	Bearcat	94:4
	96:24	100:2	base	65:20	
123:3,18	104:25	103:13	19:8	74:21,22	96:24,25
125:3,13	113:9	107:21,25		75:4	97:3,23
126:18	113.9	108:2,7	based	Bearcats	98:13,25
127:1,4	awareness	114:19,	33:2	64:1,3	100:16
129:3,15	50:18	22,24	49:16	04.1,3	103:10
ATL	25/25/	115:2,3,	58:8	became	106:15
64:10	<b>away</b> 34:15	13 119:7	83:3,23	33:9	107:7
attached	67:25	124:4,12	86:22	because	109:14
	80:21	127:3	87:10,15	10:6	111:14
68:5	81:17	backed	88:20	14:17	119:8,12,
81:14	88:23	75:18,19	89:18	19:10,24	21 121:12
attempt	89:3	ha al-amoun	93:1 95:1	22:18	122:13
70:6	101:22	backgroun	96:13	23:13	123:22
attempted	101.22	đ	107:23	24:10	125:9,17,
70:5		11:15	127:14	29:10	18 126:17
	В	12:14	basic	31:25	become
72:13		32:4	6:15	34:17,18,	69:1
attemptin	back	Backman		22 35:11	becomes
g	13:19	66:24	basically	36:13	
20:18	19:23		11:4 15:5	37:5,11	111:21
69:20	22:18	bad	19:21	39:19	112:2,3
	29:11	34:9	20:21	41:9	bedroom
attention	30:19	badges	21:14	43:19	78:16
70:4	31:12	128:12	26:16,20	45:12	80:19
80:5,9,	32:9	   ball	28:12	46:4,15	95:12
16,18,20,	35:14,17,	ball	59:10	48:15,20	before
21 81:21	18,22	100:15	62:6	49:19	6:12
94:17,18	36:3,8	ballistic	64:14	50:1	10:1,5,10
attest	37:23	22:17	65:4,12	51:14	18:6 28:6
104:18	40:3,11	26:23	67:20,23	53:1 54:1	33:11
126:15	47:25	62:1,2	69:6	60:9,11	47:4
	55:8	99:6	71:20	61:8,9,18	50:18
				01.0,9,10	20.10



IXCITY IXUUIU					Separtment, et al.
50.10	66.16	110.01	45.5 0 00	10 15 10	B
58:10	66:16	110:21	47:5,9,20	12,17,19	Brown
59:16,25	127:25	111:19	48:7,11	62:21,22,	74:18
68:11	best	116:12	49:1	25 99:21	building
77:8 84:7	7:3,7	120:16	53:23	127:2	18:21
86:2,3	63:24	124:15	Boulevard	Brett	41:13
87:25	1	127:7	20:21	34:1 35:3	53:22
89:13	better	Blake	110:18	66:11	bullet
92:4 94:7	44:14 46:8	66:11	box	Brice	97:24
95:25 96:16	40.0	76:19	46:8	8:18 66:6	98:1,14,
103:12	between	blind	40.0	0.10 00.0	17
	8:25	104:25	brass	brief	
106:14	21:7,9	104.25	61:2,3,4,	34:22	bullets
107:25	27:1 30:1	blistered	8,12	55:11	104:8
108:2	54:14	100:16	62:14	63:15	burden
113:24	85:18	block	77:8,10	briefing	59:15
116:9,13	89:8	20:12	123:21,23	48:1	J∌•±5
117:10	96:12	20.12	124:24	55:23	bureau
120:11	117:14	blood	125:17	64:10,12	12:1,2
127:15	bicycles	75:12	126:4,13,	04.10,12	burn
beginning	109:16	bodies	15	briefly	101:11,16
6:17		73:20,21	brass-	8:24 10:5	1
55:13	big	77:24,25		21:11	burned
behind	15:5		wrapped	56:3,23	100:13
66:1	19:13	body	61:5	63:3	burning
72:3,8	77:24	102:2,3	breach	64:19,25	101:13
74:7	95:11	body-worn	22:12	bring	
76:17,22,	100:15	9:25	26:21,23,	44:23	bus
24 117:25	111:25	10:3,8,	24 61:7	124:18	64:23
126:4	bit	11,12	62:1,3		business
	22:4 31:2	31:1	67:5,18	bringing	37:14
believe	33:8	44:24	69:20	90:21	
7:24	41:21,24		77:2	broke	but
15:20	47:13	bone	78:10	73:2 75:1	7:20 8:18
19:11	49:22	73:2	79:17	98:17	9:12 10:8
30:1	61:7,25	97:14	breached	99:10,16	11:18
36:20	63:15	100:4	76:8		14:18
46:25	64:15	both	70.0	broken	18:10
64:13,21	66:7	33:23	breaches	85:19	20:3 22:5
76:23,24	68:24	34:20	119:12	Brosnahan	26:9,20
88:15	75:23	38:8,24	124:8	35:3	27:9,20
94:2	77:15,16	41:13,14	breaching	66:11	29:20
102:22	82:6	52:19	22:17	76:19	30:12
123:19	83:18,20,	63:21	68:10	hmatha-tha	31:6,19
126:14	21 84:7	92:12	76:13	brotherho	32:3,22
bending	86:10	101:14	77:5	od	36:16
61:9	94:12	104:2,14		13:23	37:9 39:7,12
	95:7	bottom	break	brought	40:13
Bertuccin	105:16	35:15	21:16,17	30:21	41:5
i	109:3	33.13	55:7,11,		41.0
	<u> </u>	I	I	I	l



Kerry Kubia	Lutiu 1	inchanger, et an	<u>Lus</u> , egus 1,100	ropontan ronee r	Jepartinent, et ai.
		<u> </u>	l	l	İ
42:2,6,19	10,19	77:20	78:11	car	23:23
43:9	102:4,5	78:11	79:5,15	37:2	24:16
44:9,14,	103:25	81:17	80:8	38:12	59:22,23
24 45:6	104:16,18	82:9,18		42:15	65:14
48:7	106:6,22	92:23	callout	45:3 51:8	114:5
49:3,14	107:6,17,	96:10,21	21:10,11	63:20	125:22
50:5,11	20 108:8,	99:5	39:4 46:1		
51:9	10 109:6	111:23	79:19	carry	CET
52:14,22	111:5,25	113:3	80:15	91:21	21:8
53:19	113:9,24	118:17,22	121:24	case	29:2,7,9,
54:4,25	115:4	120:14,20	122:6,12	5:18 7:18	14 30:2
55:11	116:13,	121:10,16	calls	42:11	32:25
56:25	20,25	122:3	15:15	45:8	33:5
57:4	117:19	123:4	24:16	47:13,21	73:20
58:2,5,25	118:2,8,	125:8	78:13	51:24,25	77:18
59:8	10,14	126:2,9		78:5,22	79:9 83:9
60:13	119:9,17,	127:5	came	88:18	110:10,18
61:23	18 120:16	129:1	31:6	89:1 96:2	111:10
62:7,11,	121:17,23		33:17	111:24	114:16
23 63:18	122:14	bypass	35:22	113:19	116:4,5,
64:23	123:9	116:24	51:10,25		16 117:4,
65:5,11	124:1,21		57:8	casino	22 118:21
69:15	125:22,24	С	67:9,11,	106:24	120:19
70:25	126:7,10,		13 70:19	catch	chain
72:4,8,	16,19	C-I-R-T	72:12 75:15	38:2	27:11
15,16	127:19	56:2	79:6,8	caught	28:25
74:9,15	128:1,12		86:3,4	7:18	32:9 62:7
75:13	buys	call	93:25	118:14	change
76:23	90:17	9:15	97:6,7,14		29:6
77:4,16	70.17	15:12	98:8,11,	cause	32:21
79:15,23	by	21:20	13 99:15	22:3	33:3 44:9
81:2	5:15 6:6	27:17	102:19	43:11	61:23
82:1,21	22:2	36:23	107:1	81:16	113:15
83:17,21	25:7,16	47:18,23	108:2,7	91:16	115:6
84:7,9	26:11,21	50:6,16	121:25	98:20	120:23
85:2,22	35:6	55:18	122:2,14	99:20	126:13
86:6,16	42:14	73:15	122.2,11	caused	
87:17	47:11	74:2	camera	84:15	changed
88:15	53:4	77:20	9:25	97:21	44:4,6
89:14,25	54:10,17	78:3,7,	10:3,8,	98:9	51:24
90:12	55:10	11,13	11,12	122:3	62:10
91:25	56:16	85:22	cameras		114:13
94:2 95:2	58:13	93:3	31:1	ceiling	121:9,12
96:24	60:4,10,	110:25	44:16,24	81:6,13	123:22
97:2	17,18	called		104:1,15	changing
98:8,10	61:19,20	65:6	capacity	center	34:5
99:5,21	62:6	66:24	104:24	13:15,16	aharas
100:10,	63:21	68:12	captain	certain	charge
13,25	64:4,8	74:2	32:14	22:13	124:3,12
101:3,9,	73:13			22.13	
			1		



chart	cinderblo	citizens	92:24	92:22	completed
48:23	ck	19:15	99:1	113:22	12:22
51:18	20:12	20:1,8,17	120:17	114:6	40:2
charts		24:12	122:21	comfortab	complete:
54:9	circumsta	civil	125:6	le	_
	nce	25:25	closed	23:7	<b>Y</b> 108:10
chase	29:17	26:4	85:12,13		
78:3	122:18			coming	complex
check	circumsta	clarifica	closer	12:11	18:20
46:8	nces	tion	61:19	23:7	35:9
51:22	9:12	25:8	clothing	71:19,21,	60:6,9
60:5,7,13	22:14	clarified	88:16	24 72:6,	75:16,18
124:18	24:24	27:12	cocaine	20,24	123:17
125:15,16	26:13		88:23	80:6,10,	125:13
126:20	37:17	clarify		22 82:7	complian
checked	89:18	24:19	codefenda	84:21	e -
125:15	95:1	29:10,13	nts	90:18,20	96:2
126:12	123:12	51:18	10:22	103:9	
	CIRT	Clark	coffee	104:23	comply
checking	8:6 9:18,	11:22	118:6	107:20 113:1	92:12
39:19	22 10:19	Clarkson		117:19,20	94:15
126:2,9	38:14	63:21,25	collectin	124:5	116:9
cherry-	42:2		g	124.5	117:10,
oick	46:5,24	classes	40:19	Command	15,19
37:20	55:25	11:18	college	12:21	complying
	56:1	clean	11:18,20,	comment	117:20
chest	57:8,12	127:6	22	50:19	118:13,1
99:3,5	59:14		22		compress
102:4	77:15	clear	come	comments	d
child	85:5	25:1,11	16:13,22	58:9	<del></del>
39:20	86:21	68:7	21:22	commit	68:15
41:15,20	88:18,25	70:19	23:1,9	90:4	compromi
109:13,	96:17	88:5	28:18		ed
14,17	108:14	121:11	33:14	common	61:15
111:17	110:7	Clements	49:18	14:24	69:1
children	112:7,22	66:6	66:20	community	domprom:
	115:18	Clements'	68:13	11:18,20,	compromi
44:15,18 48:10	120:18	8:18	69:22	22	ing
108:19	127:10,16		77:1,2	comp-	60:17
108:19	CIRT's	clip	79:7	_	computer
110:15,23	111:10	98:14	81:15	related	10:7
	116:15	clock	86:4	13:13	44:23
children-		62:2	90:15	compare	gommo do
суре	cited	68:14	92:19	71:17	comrader
109:15	88:18		105:9	gomplata	13:23
CI	citizen	close	107:25	complete	concept
99:14	122:3	13:7	118:1	35:7 100:21	112:4
<b>クフ・</b> 14		19:15	comes	100.71	1
	İ	41:9,10	78:1 90:6	I	



concern	confirm	consisten	contradic	38:18	couch
22:19	32:22	tly	tion	40:14,20,	70:16
23:16	42:6 46:7	69:18	117:14	22 42:9	71:3,6,23
	48:17	09.10	11/.14	44:10	72:2,10,
concerned	51:23	constitut	contradic	46:8	12,19
87:24	55:12	ional	ts	48:18	82:23,25
89:3	77:3,17	25:1,11,	116:16,19	49:6,10,	
concluded	103:15,17	18,25		20 51:20	County
129:15	109:2,13,	26:4	controlle	52:23	11:22
	14	120:7	d	55:1	couple
concludes			19:19	60:22	11:17,19
129:9	confirmed	contact	21:10	62:14,15	13:10
conclusio	41:17	24:11	111:15	63:7	19:1 31:6
n	50:19	contactin	113:17	76:1,7,8	32:10,11
42:1	conflict	g	118:3	77:5,6,11	40:11
57:12	30:1,7,8	59:11	121:7	79:19	46:24
59:14			controlli	80:25	64:21
110:7	confuse	contain		82:3	68:21
111:10	79:25	19:21	<b>ng</b> 96:2,13	83:4,24	73:13
112:7	84:10	21:3,12	96.2,13	84:4,10,	79:7 99:4
	confused	41:13,23	coordinat	12 85:14	106:13
115:16	50:21	contained	ors	86:7	128:8
116:16 119:6	64:5	110:8	95:22	87:5,13,	120.0
	84:16			19 88:1	course
120:18	104:22	containin	copper	89:4,5	29:20
conclusio		g	68:15	91:12	43:23
ns	confusing	41:8	сору	92:1,5	court
56:9,14,	39:21	containme	129:13	96:14	6:18
15,20	47:16			103:24	36:25
57 <b>:</b> 8	connect	nt	corner	104:8,23	53:1
96:17	38:21	21:14	53:22	104:8,23	129:13
108:14		66:12	66:20,21	109:10	123.13
	connected	continual	70:13,19	117:6,11	courtroom
condition	100:2	104:4,17	81:7,9,	120:24	6:19
s	consent		11,18	120:24	cover
115:18	23:10	continue	corners	122:6,9,	14:23
conduct		21:21	20:3	12 125:13	15:6
25:24	consider	93:16		126:20	17:18
32:19	18:14	continued	correct	127:14,	19:16,17
34:7	95:1	71:5	11:12	17,24	76:11,12
110:9	123:5	104:3	15:1		
	consisted	continuin	16:2,22	correctly	coverage
conducted	65:23		19:12	16:19	14:17,23
64:10,11		g	24:22	19:7 87:1	15:6,7,8,
conductin	consisten	93:19	27:7,18,	Corrine	9
	t	108:2	19,21	5:16	Craig
<b>g</b> 37:13	10:18	contradic	29:3,15		7:17,20
58:19	127:16,21	ted	31:18,23	Corvell	10:25
		116:4	32:25	50:6,20	47:22
121:7		110.4	33:5,6		55:18
	i	i	36:19	ı	J J - 10



crease	17:13	deforming	16,22	84:9	different
100:5	24:10	61:9	describin	diagram	ly
create	33:20	delay		127:9,15	94:12
20:8	57:25	84:14	<b>g</b>		97:5
	95:9		104:7	diagrams	105:17
credits	day-to-	delayed	designed	45:2	109:4
11:19	day	69:9,11	85:2	dialogue	121:2,6,
crime	37:14	departmen	destroyed	36:12	19,21
17:22	108:12	l t	87:24	37:20	122:24
18:25		12:17	89:3	63:10	difficul
28:5,7	days	14:1		77:16	62:20,24
33:24	8:1 11:8,	26:19	detailed	92:3	
46:20	9,11	27:11	38:16,17	differenc	dig
crimes	14:18	93:20,21	details		58:16
	32:11	103:1,11	68:11	е	105:10,
105:20	58:3			8:25	12,15
curled	de-	depending	detain	21:7,9	diligence
71:22	escalatin	24:23	52:4,5	27:1	30:11
urrent		37:17	87:13	75:11	
11:23	g 22:1	45:24	detective	117:19	directly
	22.1	46:19	13:5	different	20:2
currently	dead	78:12	43:21	8:7,16	109:15
12:1,16	100:18	90:14,22	63:20	12:5,15	disagree
cushion	103:24	116:18,20	88:4,7,10	15:15	111:9
118:8	126:4	depends	detective	16:23	113:14
	deaf	32:11		18:1	119:1,6
custody	104:25	donlar	S	22:16	121:6
118:9		<b>deploy</b> 46:16	26:11	24:10	disagree
cut	deal	69:12	27:6,9	26:24	56:17
19:22	111:25	09.12	39:14	28:20	20.17
	decided	deployed	43:8,9,10	32:5,14,	disagree
	26:21	66:14	44:20	15 40:6	121:11
D		81:4	49:24,25	48:21	discuss
	deciding	deploymen	51:6,23	57:22	6:8
lamage	27:15		52:6 57:1	59:5,11	
97:22	decision	t 116.4	58:13,21	60:11	discussed
98:9,20	110:17	116:4	59:7 64:13	62:4,7	10:5,21,
100:1,9		depositio	88:3	71:11,13,	24,25
101:6,10	decisions	n	90:16	14,17	33:3
dangerous	122:14	5:24 6:1,	113:25	83:21	dislocate
110:11	deep	11,17		86:12	đ
	95:12	7:14 9:19	determine	107:23	97:15
lark	definitel	10:22,24	62:12,13	111:19	
70:8		11:1 17:7	device	113:21	disorien
late	У	55:13	68:4 70:3	114:18	79:25
8:9	113:23	129:10	80:2	115:2	82:2,5
	deflectin	described		117:20	84:10,23
lay	g		devices	127:19,22	85:3
14:24	99:22	127:15,	22:2 82:1		



Kerry Kubia	Latia 1	mexander, et al.	. Lus Vegus Met		Department, et al.
	I _			l <u>.</u> .	
disorient	documents	24:2,12	124:6,10,	downstair	63:21
ed	8:12	26:21,22	13,25	s	64:3,4,8
84:16	47:15,18	31:4,16	125:4,11,	31:12	drugs
diaroand	dog	60:1,3,	13,16,24,	drag	90:10
disregard	46:14,16,	21,22,25	25 126:6,	drag	
104:11	21	61:2,4,5,	10,16	74:3,5,6	due
distance	21	7,12,15,	doorframe	dragging	13:12
60:5	dogs	21,24		74:15	18:16
distincti	44:17	62:1,3,4,	S	d	19:19,22
	49:8 57:6	13,19	60:8	draw	30:11
on	doing	67:16,20,	doors	40:6	34:4 39:3
103:16	22:4,7	21,22,25	22:20	44:13,14	41:19
distract	27:16	68:10,12,	45:21	45:19	43:11
22:1	30:11	18,21,25	60:6,8	54:12,16	51:13
80:8,14	37:3,9	69:3,4,5,	90:17	80:16,17	58:22
81:4		20,21	103:13	128:5	63:16
	40:9,13,	70:7,11,	124:19	drawing	64:20
distracti	18 41:17 60:17	12 71:18	125:15,23	45:2	79:1
on		72:9	126:11,20	70:3,4	100:22
80:7	83:16	74:12,20	·		114:16
81:21	109:7	76:8,11,	doorway	drawings	121:8
diatocata	114:11,15	14 77:2,	82:15	54:3,5	<b>3</b>
distracts	115:14	4,5,10	99:14	63:4	dug
119:22,25	122:4	78 <b>:</b> 8	double-	drawn	58:16
diversion	124:17,22	79:5,8,	stacked	110:22	dumb
119:24	done	11,13,14,	65:21		23:2
diversion	28:6	17,23	03.21	drew	D
	32:16,17	80:14	down	13:21	Durante
ary	34:10,13	81:23,24	20:24	drive	34:2 36:1
22:2 68:4	42:3	84:15,18,	30:18,19	34:24	38:17,21
70:3 80:1	43:14,15	19,21	41:21	35:19	39:2,10,
84:9	54:10,12,	85:12,13,	44:14,15	65:15	11,24,25
divert	17 58:12	20 86:5,	47:19		40:14,25
80:9	65:4,9	18 90:15,	48:11	drive-by	48:14
	79:19	18,20	49:1	40:9	49:4
diverting	114:14,	92:19,23,	66:19	driving	53:17,21
81:21	17,18,19,	24 93:23,	70:8,18	63:20	54:21
divided	23 117:22	25 94:3,	72:24	64:1,2	63:18
14:22	121:2,6,	5,8,22	73:1,8,	65:12	64:1
	18,20,22	99:11	10,17,21		108:22
division	122:1	103:9,12	74:9	drop	109:6
12:4 15:4	125:14	104:23	75:11,12	80:14	during
95:23	126:24	115:20	76:21	dropped	13:11
Dixon		117:20,21	78:9,16	71:15,18	30:3 38:5
66:11	door	118:1,2,	79:21	73:6,8,10	43:15
76:19	16:11,13,	23	81:15		58:2
	21,22	119:11,	97:18	dropping	121:23
document	19:11	12,14	98:7	22:2	
47:17,21	22:13	120:1,2	113:22	drove	duties
	23:25	123:21	120:5	35:4,8	65:3
	1		I	l	l



duty	effective	emit	entire	errors	everybody
13:16	ly	81:16	15:11	111:25	13:23
25:24	124:12	enactment	19:22	112:1,2	52:5
26:5 42:8		108:11	47:20	   Eshe	65:14
lying	eight		entitled	34:3	72:4
64:22,24	69:17	end	7:2,19,20	35:2,5	78:17,19
04.22,24	73:25	32:10	7.2,19,20		80:4
lynamic	either	47:14	entry	especiall	93:22
83:9,11	19:9	71:8	16:11	У	94:8
	22:12	ended	19:19	114:15	102:25
E	50:11	34:5	21:10		103:9,10
	75:10	63:19	24:15,17	essential	128:11
	78:5	1	26:17,18	ly	orrowrhod:
each	126:20	64:22,24 81:2	45:24	42:3	everybody
48:14		106:11	46:1	84:15	'ន
112:11	elderly	100.11	53:10,13	evacuate	65:3
arlier	44:15	enforceme	65:22	24:6	Everyone
33:3	48:10	nt	66:1	21.0	23:3
49:17	108:19	13:4,6,8	68:20	even	
51:17	109:8		70:5,6	32:13	everything
57:6 82:7	110:16,23	engage	72:5	83:1 86:9	g
86:10	111:16	70:25	76:2,16	93:16,22	7:13
110:22	electric	engaged	77:18	104:7	10:10
124:16	101:11	70:20	78:19,22	111:23	15:11
125:9		82:18	79:10	112:1	43:23
125.9	element		80:16	120:11	56:8
arly	84:9	engaging	83:8,9	evening	60:16
63:15	elements	72:7	87:25	33:20	63:14
asier	85:6	enormous	89:9	100:15	65:9,16
36:25	92:12	97:23	92:14	100.13	73:17
30.25	93:4		93:14	event	75:6
asily	94:14	enough	94:16	30:3	81:17
20:5,6	118:20	41:9 72:2	96:12	45:9,10,	93:13,18
74:15		86:4 96:7	103:12	11	111:6
	else	99:1	111:16	eventuall	113:5,8
e <b>ast</b> 67:16	10:11	119:9	113:17		115:13
07.10	11:1	125:6	116:9	У	122:15
asy	23:12	enter	117:11	22:8,9	
41:23	30:17	25:2,12	118:3	73:12	everythi
edge	46:16	102:5	121:7	ever	g's
68:25	51:5	114:10		6:11	115:12
	55:18		environme	50:19,24	evidence
72:1,11,	78:17	entered	ntal		52:20
18 82:24, 25	80:9	82:15	19:14	every	89:22
45	84:20	97:19	equipment	47:21	91:6,7
ducation	86:2 94:8	98:8	65:8	69:13	
11:16	112:20	102:4,5	05.0	73:25	exact
effect	120:3	entering	error	108:7	39:14
	123:18	18:6 96:1	111:23	125:14,25	106:12
90:6	128:3	118:24	112:3	126:12,16	



17:9 18:11	06.00				
18:11	26:23	95:11	111:22	75:2	87:13
	62:3	112:11	<sub>=</sub>	78:24	100:15
48:25	79:17	factors	<b>far</b> 16:24	86:11	102:18
59:20	extended	16:24	16:24	101:18	127:9
96:23				feeling	findings
108:4	71:4	17:2 19:15	18:2 19:17	100:11,17	58:5
EXAMINATI	extra	22:1 28:7	21:5	100:11,17	
	61:25	89:22	22:16	101.13,21	fine
ON	79:11	90:5 91:3	28:21	feels	9:17
5:14	extract	95:5 96:6	30:24	101:11,12	62:22
Excellent	99:2		37:7	feet	65:6
129:7	99.2	108:17 110:14	41:17	20:12	finger
	eyes		43:14,20	67:24,25	100:10,1
except	39:12	111:21		71:5	
129:4	61:4	112:10,	44:20	74:19	101:1,2,
excess	79:22	13,24	48:9 52:7	74:19 81:12	15,16,18
84:3	109:25	113:15,16	60:16		fingers
		121:8	65:1 72:2	82:24	101:21
execute		facts	80:11	felt	fire
89:2	F	56:21	83:5,6	79:4 82:9	
executing		116:21	84:3 91:3	female	20:17
24:21	facing	6-43	96:6		81:17
52:13	67:17	failure	97:22	109:22	83:14
	71:23	84:15	98:7	few	90:3
execution	fact	110:19	109:18,19	14:14	firearm
42:19	18:17	111:11	112:25	82:10	50:8
exhibit	19:20,22	113:10	114:1,13	83:2	88:16
6:4,5	34:4	116:10	115:13	106:9	90:3
53:2,3	37:11	123:6,7,	119:20	E	98:12
128:6,23,	39:3	11,20	121:9	field	100:24
24,25	39.3 41:19	fair	123:22	12:22	101:4
	43:11	14:4,11	fast	23:15	118:7,9
exigent		37:24	83:12,16	fight	
29:17,19	48:15	38:22	119:10	78:5	firearms
exited	57:7 58:22	82:10		104:20	18:18
35:5		119:5	faster	figure	50:7
	63:16	122:20	83:18	figure	51:11
exiting	64:20	123:1	fatal	82:11	89:24
41:11	69:25		28:18	83:23	91:9,21
expectati	79:1	fairly		figured	firecrac
on	89:23	14:12	fatal-	124:24	er
59:15	100:22	88:22	funnel-	fill	71:15
	110:23	fall	type		
explain	111:5	79:8	28:14	77:25	fired
17:3,9	114:16		Fob-series	finally	107:10
21:12	119:20	fallen	February	69:21	120:11
70:21	121:12,22	42:7	12:17		firing
101:10	124:2	familiar	feel	find	102:22
		14:5,13	62:23	41:4 46:4 58:1	102.22



Kerry Kubia		Alexander, et al.	v. Las Vegas Mei		Department, et al
first	flashing	13:17,20,	69:8	112:16	21:1,16
25:17	80:2	25 14:5,	75:13	117:16	22:19
33:9,22		9,11,21	76:11,12,	120:8	23:14
45:5,6	fling	15:6,10	13,25	121:3,14	30:17
54:24	69:24	16:3,9,10	78:13,14	123:2	31:9
62:19	flood	17:22	79:5	125:1	34:22,23
69:17	73:22	18:10,17,	82:10		35:10
76:19	£1	23 19:2,	85:2,6	formal	36:12
77:4	floor	3,17 21:7	87:2,17,	6:19 17:6	37:7
81:3,4	66:12	22:18	22 88:10,	formation	41:11,22
89:8	flophouse	25:8	13,15,16,	53:13	42:15
93:17	18:22	26:10,11,	19 89:2,	65:22	44:19
fish	51:3	14,15	19,20,21	forth	46:24
72:25	91:23	27:4,10	90:9	32:9	49:18,22,
98:21	flopped	28:8,22	91:6,7,	119:7	24 51:10,
	72:25	29:2,18,	16,23		24 52:14
Fisher		24 30:4	92:6,13,	fortifica	57:1 60:5
50:22,23	flush	32:1,15	19 93:4,	tion	61:9 62:2
fist	88:23	33:4	5,12	44:16	63:4,15
100:21	flushed	34:21	94:4,5,	45:22	64:24
	89:3	35:13	15,21	found	66:3,6
five	focus	36:25	96:22,24	46:22	67:25
37:15	80:4	37:1,15	100:7	58:6	68:23
90:19	80.4	38:4,7,22	102:11	88:13	70:18
five-	focused	39:5,17	104:11,25	115:18,24	71:19,21
bedroom	31:15	40:14,16,	105:14	116:1	72:6,7,8,
95:13	36:9 80:5	25 41:2	108:5		9 73:17
£1 - ~l	follow	42:18,20,	110:9,10	four	74:7
<b>flash</b> 71:24	41:25	25 43:4, 22 44:15	112:8	14:9	75:11,20 77:19
	52:7	45:10,12	113:17 119:4,5	37:15	80:21
81:16	113:25	46:7,10,	122:7,16	fragments	81:17
flash-		12 47:20,	125:12	98:19	83:13,14
bang	follow-up	21 48:11,	126:20	frame	86:12
69:6	40:18	12,14	128:15	61:11,13,	88:24
79:24	41:2 51:5	49:9,11,	129:2	14 112:20	90:2
81:20	58:14	17 50:7		125:16,25	96:8,9
flash-	following	52:12,14,	forced		97:18
bangs	18:5 34:8	19,20	116:9	freedom	98:3
69:25	96:18	54:12,13,	117:10	25:21	99:14,22,
71:12	107:15	21 55:3,4	forearm	fresh	24 100:5
79:2	footprint	58:15,21	73:3	63:6	108:3
	37:18	59:2,4	97:13	Friday	110:6
flashed		60:1	100:5	11:10	113:1
81:7	for	62:20,22,	form	15:18	114:17
flashes	5:20	24 63:17,	25:3,13		118:18
71:21,24	7:10,14, 21 8:11,	24 64:4,	44:6,9,11	from	120:18
72:20		20 66:13	59:18	8:6 12:5,	front
82:20	16,19,23 12:10,23	67:12	96:3	18 13:7	21:1 31:4
	12.10,23	68:12		17:9	
				l	

Kerry Kubia	Latia	Alexander, et al.	V. Las Vegas iviet		Separtificiti, et al.
35:4,11	gag.	114:25	giving	119:13	58:4,24
41:8	gas 20:14,15	125:3,6,	16:11,12	120:9	60:2,9,10
			67:11,25	120:9	61:6,14,
65:24	35:9,20,	10		121:4	
67:22,25	21	gets	94:6,7,8,	· ·	20,22,24
75:17,18	gave	39:21	10 119:9	124:2	62:6
76:5,16 78:8	66:25	46:12	glad	125:5,12	63:22,23
	69:23	47:16	27:13	126:17,23 128:19	64:2,5,7 65:16,18,
80:14	124:12	getting		128.19	
85:20	goar	36:12	<b>go</b> 8:24 9:9	goes	25 66:1,
99:18,22,	<b>gear</b> 65:5,7	41:12	13:2	27:8	2,13,19
23 103:4,	103:7	63:19	22:8,11,	32:11,19	67:4,5
12 107:3,	103.7	70:21		65:2	68:11,12
6 109:16	general	70:21	16,22 23:8,24	69:11,13	69:2,7,
full	30:4	82:18	24:17	101:22	18,19
5:20	gonorato			114:7	70:1,5,
14:23	generate 46:14	84:14,19	25:4,14 27:6,10	116:3	10,18
21:15	46.14	115:13		119:7	71:9,12,
67:2,3	get	117:1	28:21 30:19	going	16 72:20
92:17	10:10	ghost	30:19	6:16	73:11,16
93:3,6,	19:23	70:23	33:25	11:14	75:11,21,
10,14,17	21:16,22	82:9		17:22,24	22 76:2,
102:11	22:6,9,20	give	34:8,11 38:11	18:2,12,	10 77:19,
full-week	23:8,18	6:24 9:10	41:22	16,20	21 78:3,
14:17	24:17,18	16:20	45:12,19	20:16,23	5,6,7 79:2,12
14.17	26:24	23:10	46:19	21:12,14,	80:4,8,
fullest	27:7,14,	39:14	47:8 51:8	19 22:1,	10,11
7:3	23 28:11	46:3	56:3,6,7,	8,12	81:2,10,
fully	32:7,17	66:25	15 59:3,	23:5,9	20 82:4,
41:23	34:18	67:2	19 60:2,	24:1,12,	8,11,14,
	35:14,24	78:14	14 64:19,	13 27:15	20 83:7,
funnel	41:9,10,	92:17	25 65:1	28:11,15	19 84:19
28:19	25 47:25	94:17,18,	66:19	30:14,21	85:4
fusion	50:20	20 106:12	67:4,5	31:3,11,	86:10,11,
13:15,16	58:5	110:5	68:16	23 33:7,	15,16,19,
	59:10,22,	119:18	69:7,16	22 34:4,	20 87:12,
	24 60:9	124:6	73:17,20,	6,21,22	18 88:17
G	61:3,19	126:23	21,22	35:13	89:19,20
	62:5,17,		75:21,22	37:5,20	91:22
gang	20 63:14	given	78:8 79:9	39:3	93:13
13:4,6,8	65:9,19,	6:11 8:6	90:16,17	40:1,12	94:9
18:23	20,21	14:6	93:16	41:24	95:5,6,
43:9 88:7	66:21	23:17	96:4	44:23	14,15,17
91:21,23	68:1,18	77:1	98:19,20,	45:7,25	97:22,24
gang-	71:1	84:17	23 102:15	46:11,16,	99:12
gang-	80:20	110:11	107:21	20 49:23	103:13
affiliate	82:24	gives	109:11	52:7,25	104:4,11,
d	84:18	64:18	112:17	53:1	17,20
19:5	85:20	80:6	116:25	55:3,4,5	105:11
	96:16		117:17	57:20,23	108:13
	1	l	l	<u> </u>	



Kerry Kubia	Latia	Alexander, et al.	v. Las vegas Mei		Department, et al.
110:2	grabbed	guys	handgun	102:9	85:25
113:1,12	74:7,11	36:15	50:3,13	hear	89:6
115:12,15	grade	38:15,17	hands	30:20	95:1,24
116:13,22	32:12	45:2	73:9,10	86:15,17	103:17
118:18	115:4	66:21	74:8		105:4,18
119:10,		79:17	100:23	heard	112:6
14,22,25	Graduated	89:1	117:25	22:19	114:7
120:3	11:17	guys'		23:13	115:19
122:21	grandma	23:14	handwriti	49:25	117:1
126:1,2,	50:16,17		ng	73:12	120:22
10,16,23,	1		54:6	78:18	121:2,17
25 128:2,	graveyard	H	hanging	hearing	128:1,3,
4,6	12:25		73:1	31:9,15	15,17
129:11	13:1	habit		49:24	129:3
gone	ground	124:18	happened		Hey
32:8	74:10		9:3 30:23	height	22:25
55:22	81:15	hadn't	40:16	81:12	23:24
86:24	99:13	39:16	67:8	held	24:13
		half	118:4	12:15	30:18
good	guess	69:8,10,	happens	67:23	31:12
8:22 61:4	9:1 57:11	12,13,16	32:9		62:24
62:23	67:24	99:17	63:11	helmet	72:21
65:17	71:4	hall		103:6	74:5,16
79:9	90:25	1	hard	helmets	85:24,25
112:19	101:10,24	73:21	35:12,14	65:8	103:18
125:3	113:13,22	hallway	48:6 49:3	halmad	114:2,6,
got	guessing	28:16	60:2,15	<b>helped</b> 74:19	8,24
10:6	9:12	70:8	61:13	74.19	122:24
11:19	gun	78:16	70:21	here	h - ! ! h
12:15	50:1	hammer	101:10	5:23 6:7	high
31:25	117:24	100:19	111:14	10:7,24	11:17
32:3	117.24		119:2	11:19	37:12
34:20	guns	Hancock	harder	14:2	81:7,9
35:20,21	18:24	68:13,14	61:7	16:14	highest
63:14,17	28:6	74:5	harm	23:2	11:16
65:5,14	45:16	76:24	harm 22:4	24:1,14	hindsight
66:7	48:10	hand		29:5,13,	121:21
67:22	49:12	52:5,25	having	20 30:18,	
72:1,11,	gunshot	70:24	38:14	19 32:23	hip
18,19	97:12	74:8,9	62:9	33:2	98:18
74:19,22,		100:23,	79:12	37:7,22	101:7
23 75:4	gunshots	24,25	80:11	47:8	hired
86:4	71:9	101:3,4	111:5	48:12	12:15,17
100:15	120:11	118:9,11	119:21	50:5,15	
101:20	guy	119:10	head	51:2	hit
103:4	77:4	128:2,4	86:5	55:20,24	26:8
123:13	78:15	handed	117:25	60:21,22	33:23
128:11	106:22	47:4		71:16	61:25
	117:24	4/.4	health	80:4,5,10	67:21
	I	I	I	I	1



Kerry Kubia	Latia A	Alexander, et al.	v. Las Vegas Met	ropolitan Police	Department, et al
68:10,12,	88:4,6	13:7	124:1	9:2,6,9	103:18
	90:4				
16,21,22,		17:3,15,	125:4,24	15:14	108:19
23 69:2,	113:18	23 18:2	126:8,17	16:18	110:15
3,4	honest	23:12	128:16	17:1,17	111:16,
70:20,21	9:4 24:11	33:14,17	hundreds	19:7	22,23
74:3,23,	44:8 48:6	37:7 38:3	117:23	21:24	112:1
24,25	51:4	71:14		22:15	113:9,18
75:2,3	77:12	82:9	hurt	23:16,23	114:4,23
79:5,11,	88:2	83:5,6	122:3	24:5 26:3	115:24
14 82:9	90:13	86:10	123:13,19	27:4	116:23
83:21	105:22	89:7,10	hypothesi	28:6,16	119:25
84:20	106:17	90:6		29:8 34:9	122:10,
98:12,15,		95:19	S	35:16	14,23
16 99:3,	126:21	96:22	122:10	36:2,3,8,	123:23
7,9,16,	Hoskins	98:7	hypotheti	13 37:22	124:5,9,
18,19	34:2	101:23	cal	38:1,2,16	21 125:3,
101:25	35:2,5	102:2	18:12	42:11,17	4,10,24
102:1,2	67:18	103:10		44:11,20	126:12,
119:13,16	68:9	104:7	124:21	46:6,11,	19,22
	69:20	106:3		17 47:1	128:4
hitting	76:13,24,	112:19	l I	50:17,19	
62:2 69:5	25	114:18,19		51:4,6,7,	illegal
86:18	25	115:7		17 52:1	90:2
118:23	hostage		IAP		illuminat
120:1,2	20:9	127:14,	27:17	53:16	
h - h h l -	24:16	16,21	31:18,25	56:23,24	ed
hobble	29:17,21	however	32:3	57:2,20,	70:9
74:13	106:10	43:7	ID	21,25	immediate
hold		57:15	114:9	59:12,21	ly
12:16	hot	61:6 91:7		61:3,11	_
14:7	100:17	122:1	idea	62:14,19,	34:8 73:5
73:16	hour		103:20	22,23	76:22
78:10	38:7 40:9	huge	104:24	63:9	102:14
	55:4	100:15	105:1,22,	65:6,17	118:20
holding	] 33.1	hundred	23	67:24	impenetra
14:3	hours	14:14		68:12,17	ble
holster	7:23 11:7	20:5 38:9	identifie	71:4,15,	61:6
98:12	15:21		d	17 73:19	01.0
	16:2	43:18,25	110:1	76:11	important
99:8,9	40:11	50:11	127:18	78:2	25:17
Homeland	57:25	51:9	128:8,9,	79:4,5,8	ا
12:4	59:4	61:23	10	80:3,5	in
		66:5,7	idontif	84:18	5:18
homicide	house	69:14	identify	85:5,7,23	6:18,19
18:17,25	63:12	88:3,6,14	94:20	86:17	11:18
27:6	81:22	91:16	108:22		12:4,9,
33:24	95:6,13	94:2	109:8	88:23	11,17
43:1,2,4,	125:19	106:16	125:6	91:17	13:7,10
5 52:13	how	109:20,	128:11,13	92:3	14:24
64:20		23,25	lif	93:9,12	15:16,25
87:16	7:21,22	111:8	6:18 8:20	95:5,12	16:16
	12:5,9		0.10 8.70	101:8,19	



Kerry Kuola		inoxumaci, et ui.	·· Bus vegus iviet	aopontan'i once i	Department, et al.
	05 50 6				
17:7,12,	25 58:6,	19,20,23	29:2	indicate	inserted
17 18:11,	20 59:6,9	99:3,8,	30:15,23	128:12	68:2,5,6
13,23	60:11	10,13,16	44:7	indicatin	81:5
19:3,5,	61:17	100:5,7,	52:11	g	inside
16,20	62:24	14,17	62:17	98:2	17:20
20:4,7,8,	63:6,12	102:4	87:17	100:18	30:12,19
15,17,22	64:5,9,21	103:9,13	93:2	102:7	31:12
22:9,13,	65:21,24	104:10,12	96:18		35:21
15,20,22	66:22	105:11,25	97:7	individua	52:4
23:2,7,	67:10,18	106:5,20,	102:10	1	71:11,20
10,15	68:7,11	23,24	105:7,8	25:18	82:3 83:7
24:1,6,17	69:1	107:3,6,	106:14,	112:11	86:6,11,
25:20,22	70:1,3,8,	11	19,21	individua	17 98:4,
26:21	10,15,20	108:16,24	107:15,	ls	18 108:20
27:15,17,	72:2,14	109:1,5,	16,17	108:20	109:22
20,23	73:8,16,	16,17,18,	108:5,6	108:20	110:16
28:12,15,	24,25	23 110:2,	113:7	110:16	116:7
18 29:14,	74:1,24,	15,23	115:8	127:11	117:6
23 30:4, 23 31:18,	25 75:2,	111:5,11,	120:24	12/.11	install
20,23	15,25 76:5,16	16,17 112:25	incidents	informati	125:18,20
32:21	77:15,16,	113:19	18:19	on	123:10,20
33:14,17	19,21	114:5,7,	87:18	40:19	instance
34:25	78:2,5,6,	8,9,19,	106:8	43:21	18:11,13
35:3,15,	7,12,19,	22,24	incision	45:4,7,17	27:20
23 36:16	22 79:11	115:6,7	98:25	49:17	46:11
37:2,6,12	80:4,6,	116:1,6	99:1	54:16,21	54:8 59:2
38:20	10,22,23	117:5		57:1	60:1
39:8,9,	81:2,3,7,	118:4,7,	include	58:10	80:23
15,17	8,10,11	9,10	110:14	62:9	instead
40:16,18	83:10,11,	120:12,15	included	111:2,3	84:16
41:7,8,	22 84:3,	121:12	108:18	112:24	
15,16,20	14,23,25	122:15,16		113:21	instructi
42:15	85:16,24,	123:14	including	inhibit	ons
43:4,6,22	25 86:3,	124:11,13	85:21	7:6	6:15
44:6,9,18	14,19,21	125:13,19	incrimina	3-2-3-11	insuffici
45:8 46:5	87:21,23,	126:1,10,	ted	initially	ent
47:1,13	25 88:18	16 127:19	43:13	76:5	42:3
49:8	89:1,15	128:5	indented	initiated	57:9,16
50:5,9	90:2,9,	inches	99:20,21,	68:8	115:19
51:2,5,	10,12,21	97:18	22	injuries	intel
10,11,14,	91:7,13,	99:4		97:1,10	39:7
21,24	15,18,22	100:6	independe		
52:5,11	92:23		nt	injury	43:21 45:18
53:12,18,	94:8,10	incident	33:15	13:13	
20,23,24	95:12,23	10:13	index	101:9	intended
55:20	96:2	12:6	100:10	inner	116:6
56:8,25	97:16,24	14:4,8,12	101:1,15	98:6,8	117:5
57:7,12,	98:1,2,	15:16			
19,21,22,	10,13,18,	19:9,10			
L					



Kerry Kubia	Latia	Tiexander, et al.	. Las vegas ivieu		Department, et al.
intent	103:12,13	irrespect	17 38:2,	13,14	20
25:2,12	104:15	ive	7,15	79:16	113:12,22
52:22	105:10,	127:20	39:5,11,	80:15,17,	114:19,24
116:7	12,15	127.20	12,13,16,	18,20,23	115:9,16
	110:25	Isaiah	21 40:10,	81:2,8,	116:1,3,
interesti	117:23	6:9	25 41:4,	13,14,15	12,13,18,
ng	118:8	issue	5,6,9,13,	82:9,10,	19
105:2	122:2	60:22,25	22 42:2,	12,15,16,	118:10,
internal		61:1,18	12 43:4,	21,22	19,23
47:17	introduce	122:3	8,9,10,	83:6,21	119:5,7,
47.17	79:7	122.3	16,19,20,	84:7,10,	10,16,17
interroga	introduce	issued	24 44:12,	17,23,24	120:5,16,
tories	d	54:13	13,25	85:5,6,7,	18 122:10
8:11,19	86:19	issues	45:8,9,14	9,22,23	123:8,9,
		17:16	46:1,9,22	86:12,14,	11 126:4,
interrupt	investiga	59:21	47:13,16,	17 88:4,	18 127:9,
er	tion	68:15	23 49:3	6,7,15,	16 127.5,
34:9	43:16	75:12	50:9,11,	18,21	
intervene	52:7 88:8		17,19	89:10,12,	it's
26:6	114:1	it	51:2	19 90:7,	5:21 8:8
		10:5,9	52:18	14,24,25	9:3 12:8
interview	investiga	13:5,10	53:18	91:23	14:16
8:5,6	tions	14:4,11	54:4	93:1,7,24	18:8
10:19	52:15	15:8	56:4,24,	94:4	23:23
107:21	involved	16:3,16	25 57:14,	95:1,8,9,	27:15
into	18:18	17:3,8,	15 58:8	11,13	28:14
10:10	27:15,17	10,13,14	59:12,21	96:6,7	32:8,12,
13:3	28:6	18:1,22	60:19	97:9,19,	17 37:11
14:22	31:7,22	19:10,13,	61:2,6,	21,23	39:16 44:11
15:5	40:4,18	16 20:5,	13,23,25	98:2,3,8,	45:25
21:17	41:19	8,13 21:7	62:1,3,	10,12,15,	
22:8,14,	45:16	23:4,12	11,12,14	16,20,25	46:1,15
24 23:18	46:2 50:3	24:9,25	63:3	99:13,16,	47:19,20
24:18	53:12	25:10	64:6,18,	19,20,21	48:6,8,9, 21 49:8
26:25	54:14	26:8,9,	21 65:7	100:11,	50:22
28:21	88:8	22,23	66:5,7,20	14,16,18,	56:2,5
35:9	91:4,20	27:4 28:9,12,	68:17,22,	19,20,21	58:9 60:1
41:12,25	105:25	24 29:9,	23,25	101:22,25	61:8
46:11	106:3	11,14	69:5,11,	102:3,21	62:22
58:17	involveme	31:19,20	12,15,22,	104:3,4,	63:6
62:17	nt	32:4,10,	23 70:4,	7,16	64:20
65:21	31:18,20	11,17	22,25	105:13,16	65:6
68:20		33:11,17,	71:5,14,	106:12,	69:9,15
70:11,17	involving	21,22	17 72:25	14,17,18	71:13
76:2	18:19	34:5,6,18	73:3,24	108:10	77:14
78:4,5,9 79:7 83:9	iron	35:12,14,	74:4,10	109:3	78:18
89:9 90:6	20:12	24 36:5,	75:1	110:11	80:1,2,8
96:12,16		16,17,23	77:8,11,	111:1,15,	81:4,5,6,
98:17		37:7,14,	12,16,22	19,20,21,	7,11,14,
70.17			78:9,10,	24 112:8,	
	l	l			l

Kerry Kubia	Latia F	Aicxander, et ai.	v. Las vegas Mei	ropontan ronce i	Department, et al.
	1		I	I	ı
17 82:2,4		7:17 8:1,	84:6,16	37:17	49:8 57:7
83:9,11,	J	7 11:19	86:25	40:1	59:13
18 84:24,		13:22	87:22	41:11	
25 85:1	Tale	15:9	88:5,10	65:16,18	killed
86:10	Jake	17:7,9	91:23	69:18	19:2
91:6	33:19	18:12	95:5,21		64:22
92:4,18,	35:2	22:22	96:8	keeping	kind
25 93:17	54:11	23:9,25	97:21	21:15	7:17
95:6,12,	64:11	24:12,19,	98:3,7	61:8	8:17,18
14 96:11	January	23 26:8	99:10	65:17	10:10
100:6,15,	12:7 93:3	27:12,14	100:14	kept	12:13
18 101:8,	Tagnam	28:9,15,	101:3,12	71:3	13:4 22:3
10,19,20	Jasper	18 29:10,	102:18	82:22	27:5,12
103:4	65:23	13 30:14	104:3,16,	103:22	30:14
104:7	66:5	31:15	20 105:14		31:22
105:11	67:10,14	32:11,22	110:5	Kerry	32:4,5
111:14	76:5,7,9	34:10	111:19	5:21	33:14
112:11,	jeopardiz	35:10,11,	114:14	9:15,17	34:14
12,19	ing	24 36:23	115:16	11:14	35:10,24
114:18	60:15	37:11	118:13	16:6 17:1	36:11,18
115:7,12		38:10,12	120:1,16	18:4,10	45:1
119:2	Jimmy	39:2 40:9	125:16	27:3,13	49:21
121:17	34:2 36:1	42:15	126:23	46:23	60:19
123:7	38:17,21	46:3,5,7	127:6,25	47:12	62:13,16
124:18	39:1,10,	47:2,25	128:5,22	53:5	63:5,9
125:10,	11,24,25	48:17		55:11	69:23
20,24	40:14,25	49:16	justify	62:18	70:18,25
	48:13	50:5	28:23	82:6 85:4	71:5,6
items	49:4	51:1,18,		95:20	72:9
57:6	53:17,21	24 52:9	ĸ	111:19	73:3,17
86:22	54:21	53:18		113:4	74:10,15
87:3,8,22	63:18	55:11		124:15	75:21,22
88:12,20	64:1	56:3	K-9	127:6	82:7
89:2	108:22	57:14	46:10,13	129:2,10	83:21
108:22	109:6	58:9,22	64:16	key	84:6 85:9
110:24	Jiyair	61:20	K-E-R-R-Y	67:20	87:11,21
its	74:18	62:21,22,	5:21		97:8
112:3,12		25 64:19		kick	100:18
	job	67:15	K-U-B-L-A	20:6	108:9,11
itself	25:22	69:18,22,	5:22	23:17,23	114:3
7:18	jogged	23 70:22	Kai	kick-	119:7
56:1,25	10:13	71:3,9	34:2	through	120:16
62:17		74:16	35:2,5	24:8	127:10,
87:4,22	judge	75:17	67:17		14,21
111:23	6:20	76:16	68:9,21	kicking	
112:2	judicial	77:3,17	69:19	22:20	kitted
127:24	27:7	79:18	76:13,24,	kicks	65:5,6
	120:21	80:21	25 79:5	24:1	knees
		82:20			73:6,9,11
	just	83:17	keep	kids	74:8
		00-11	22:7		
			I	I	I

Kerry Kubia	Latia	Hiexander, et al.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
knew	22:15	21 107:8,	9:16	92:12,13	48:7,11
50:1 64:5	23:3,12	9,24,25	46:23	94:15	63:12
68:25	28:5,11,	108:3,6,9	129:10	96:2,13	64:23
82:18	13,24	109:1,21,		111:22	70:12
87:8	30:13,14,	22 110:1		lawsuits	74:24,25
102:22	15,18	111:15,22	L		75:20
103:18	32:18	112:19,		107:12	76:10
105:13	33:13,14	22,23	L-SHAPE-	lawyers	97:12
109:19	36:2,3,8	113:2,9,	TYPE	47:17	98:22
112:24	37:22,24,	11,20	71:7	laying	99:5,7
123:23	25 38:3	114:6,8,	lack	74:9	100:3,23
knife	40:24	10,13,14	46:7	75:12	101:3,4
99:8,10	44:8	115:4		85:23	leg
	46:4,10	116:23	land	99:16	73:8
knock	53:16	120:12	64:7		75:3,9
18:6	54:3,9	121:21	81:15	layout	98:9,11,
26:16	55:24	123:8	landed	127:23	18 101:11
27:16	56:24	125:4,19	67:10	lead	102:7
knock-	57:2,20,	126:2,8,		65:25	
and-	21,22,24	11,19	landing	3 3	legal
	58:1,3,6,	128:16	31:11	leader	85:6
announce 16:7,8,	25 59:3,	knowing	language	22:10,11	less
15,20	11,16,20	41:20	17:6	33:19	39:1
17:25	60:10,16,	90:12	110:6	35:1	79:12
24:21	21 61:24	100:14	lan	54:11,14	104
25:9 27:2	62:18	126:10	lap	64:11 65:2	let
28:1	63:5	1	117:24 118:7	05.2	14:7 22:18
29:3,7	64:17,18	knowledge	110.7	leading	
30:2	66:5	18:4 24:20	large	66:10	23:9,21 94:11
85:7,10	72:22 82:8	50:24	90:23	93:2	97:4
92:13	84:24	89:7	95:6	105:6,7	105:16
93:5	85:24	105:5,19	Las	learn	109:3
94:16	88:6	115:6	7:11	105:9	
116:5,8,	89:22	113.6			let alone
16 117:9	90:1,3,4,	known	last	least	115:20
122:11	8,25	18:22	69:22	14:15	let's
	91:5,15,	39:20	106:18	40:11	23:12
knocking	17 92:23	41:16	later	99:11	62:16
21:5	96:7,23	50:14	42:1	leave	87:21
30:16	97:1,21	57:9	56:14	76:25	
90:20	98:3,7	89:23,25	100:14	96:18,25	lets
knocks	101:8	90:1		119:19	46:10
16:10	102:24	91:8,20,	Latia	leaving	letting
	103:3,18,	21 111:6	5:18	24:13	30:12
119:11		KOZ	Laughlin		level
	23 104:4,				ı ievel
know		34:1	106:20,23	left	
<b>know</b> 7:19,21	23 104:4,	34:1		13:12,18	11:16
<b>know</b> 7:19,21 8:8 9:11	23 104:4, 12,13,19,	34:1 Kubla	law	13:12,18 35:8,25	
<b>know</b> 7:19,21	23 104:4, 12,13,19, 21,22	34:1		13:12,18	11:16



Torri	F0.0 F	1417	00:10 15	24.02	1001-03
Levi	59:2,5	likely	82:13,17	34:23	looked
68:13	60:1	37:12	107:2	35:4	39:11
74:5	62:12,24	46:19	little	63:24	57:5 63:3
76:24	68:15,24	61:12	7:18	65:10,11,	72:24
lieutenan	70:22,23,	69:2	22:4,5	15,19	87:4
t	24,25	limited	24:9	72:7	110:21
32:13	71:1,6,12	58:22	29:11	80:17	looking
32.13	72:12,15,		31:2 33:8	lock	13:25
life	17,21,25	line	39:21	79:8	18:17
59:5,11	73:5	20:17	41:24		19:3
123:14,15	74:9,10,	53:13	46:8	locked	31:16,19
7.1.61	13,16	65:22		114:5	35:23
lift	76:16	94:8	47:13,16	locking	
74:19	77:23	1:	49:3,22	_	37:4,6,9
lifted	78:10	lineup	51:19	61:8,10	42:25
73:4	82:13,15,	45:24	55:4	68:16	47:2 60:5
	19 83:2	53:11	61:7,24	lodged	76:11
light	85:9	54:10	62:24	97:24	88:13
13:16	86:2,7	66:2	63:15	98:2	91:7
68:24	87:11	76:16,23	64:15		128:14
70:9	90:8	77:21	66:7	long	loop
124:9	91:6,11,	78:19	68:24	7:22 12:9	22:18
like	15,20,25	128:17	75:23	17:23	84:6
	97:23	list	77:8,16	28:16	120:17
8:17,18		44:14,17	82:6	38:3	120:17
12:14	98:14,24	48:14	83:18,20,	43:19	122.21
13:3,5	100:7,18,		21 84:7	77:22	lose
14:21	20 101:8,	51:21	86:10	78:23	110:2,3
17:7,9	9,11,12,	66:4	94:11	83:6	
18:6,11	19 103:8	114:2	95:6 97:4	85:23	losing
21:17	104:6,7	listed	105:16	89:7,10	60:16
22:3,25	105:3,8	44:18	109:3	90:6,15	lost
23:4 24:2	106:4	45:14,15,	110:21	96:7,22	78:25
29:16,17	107:3,24	16 48:8,	111:19	119:4,15	100:9
30:15	108:9	9,10	116:12		118:20
31:10	109:16,	49:14	124:15	longer	123:14,15
37:8,10	19,21	53:12,14		21:5 29:7	123.14,13
38:19,20,	111:21,23	57:6	127:7	95:15	lot
22 39:5,	112:22,25	113:17	living	look	14:18
11 40:8,	113:23		123:13	8:17,18	17:23
18 41:17	123:8	128:1,20	1003		18:16
42:25	124:16	listen	load	9:3 29:11	19:8
43:18	125:15	54:6 56:5	65:12	35:24	20:16,23,
44:5 45:1	126:20	14-4-4	loaded	37:8,15	24 21:4
46:5,10	127:19	listing	75:19	44:24	22:19
47:20	128:11	108:16		61:19	28:7,20
		lists	located	66:4,8	37:19
49:1,8,9,	likelihoo	46:1	17:17	76:23	38:20
24 50:12,	d		107:7	105:12	39:8,10,
17,24	81:10	literally	location	125:3,13	16,17
51:19		73:23	19:21	126:18	40:4,5
57:5,6	I	I	⊥J・⊿⊥	I	1 40.4,5



Kerry Kubia	Latia	Ticxander, et al.	v. Las vegas mei	ropontan Fonce i	opartificiti, et al.
	 I	 I	 I	 I	I
41:10	13 12:8	77:20	93:14,15	14:5,7,11	16:7
42:4,13,	14:10,14	89:9	114:13	16:6	33:13
15 58:17	15:2,20,	103:16		17:2,7,8	38:24
59:10	23 16:5,	116:9	male	18:9,10	49:21
71:11,13	17,23	117:11	109:21	21:6	59:1
90:19	18:8	118:3	man	22:18	79:23
91:23	23:20		126:4	23:21	82:12,13
95:18	24:4	magnet		25:23	84:24
97:21,22	25:15	60:7	manual	27:4,9	96:23
98:17	26:2,7	magnets	110:8	30:25	102:25
100:1	27:8,9,	124:18	118:22	31:2	104:10
101:5,7	19,22		manually	34:10,18	106:4
113:15	29:4,8,	main	22:12	36:13	119:2
114:7	16,22	61:1		38:16,22	121:5,20,
115:5	30:24	make	many	40:13	23
121:5,20,	31:21,24	16:10	7:21	46:3 49:5	
22 126:7	32:2,7	21:21	17:15	53:6	means
	36:20	22:11	29:24,25	58:25	26:21
loud	37:25	23:2	101:23	60:7	meant
22:3	38:13	24:1,7,15	102:2	62:21,24	16:15
84:24,25	39:25	26:18	mark	63:1,5,9,	23:11
86:14	40:15,21,	37:19,21	6:3 34:3	25 65:24	
low-	23 42:10,	52:9 54:5	35:2,5	67:7	mechanism
lethal	21 45:4	60:20	47:18	70:18	61:9,10
46:21	46:9	66:18	53:1	72:3,8,16	68:16
	47:24	68:19	128:22	73:6,8	medical
LVMPD	48:4,19,	70:5 79:9		74:3,7,	75:5,15
29:6	24 49:7,	83:8	marked	12,13,15,	
32:23	21 52:17,	86:25	6:5 53:3 128:24	17,19,23	medicatio
33:4	24 54:23	93:19	128:24	75:19	ns
47:6,9	55:2,16,	98:25	marksman	76:5,17	7:5
48:19	20 56:8	99:1	104:6	79:4	meet
110:20	60:23	100:21	math	82:10	65:10,11
116:10	62:15	103:12	14:7	84:1	
120:20	63:2,8	122:13		85:11	meets
121:11	76:6	124:4	maybe	94:11,25	93:4
127:13	83:25	128:6	7:23	97:4,10	member
	87:6,9	makes	13:18	101:25	26:5
M	96:20	54:3	20:25	105:15,16	members
	106:2		22:1	107:3,6,	18:23
ma'am	107:13	making	34:17	25 108:1	26:1
6:2,10,	111:4	8:8,9	44:24	109:3	91:24
13,22	117:7,12	16:11	71:4,5	110:5	71.24
7:1,4,8	120:10,25	21:13	79:1	119:5	memory
8:21 9:8,	127:25	25:8	82:23	126:23	10:14
14,17,21,	129:6	26:17	me	127:15,22	63:6 89:7
24 10:1,	made	31:5 72:5	7:13 8:4	128:17	mental
4,16,20,	58:9 60:3	73:20	9:5 10:7	mean	102:9
23 11:2,	70:6	80:16	12:13	14:15,20	_
		83:10			



XCITY IXUUIA		<u> </u>	. Las vegas iviet		
met	128:5	119:18	5:15 6:3,	113:3	98:6,8,
7:17,21,	mission	124:6	6 25:7,16	118:17	11,12,13,
23	60:16	morning	47:11	120:14	18,24
metal	00.10	15:25	53:4	121:10,16	99:4,5,7,
98:14	mistaken	20:23	55:3,10	123:4	9,19,23
125:23,	36:19	64:9	60:18	125:8	100:5,9,
24,25	misunders		96:10,16,	126:22	10,23,24
126:1,11		Mosa	21 112:18	127:5	101:1,2,
120.1,11	tand	7:11	113:3	128:22,25	3,4,11,
Metro	36:14	most	118:17	129:1,7	15,16,25
11:24	mitigate	25:17	120:14	muscle	102:3,4,7
12:14	17:23	69:3	121:10,16	98:10	103:3,19
middle	mitigatin	81:10	123:4		105:11
17:17		104:10	125:8	muzzle	115:3
97:13	<b>g</b>		126:22	71:24	119:20
99:4	16:24	move	127:5	my	126:24
101:1,2,	17:2 28:7	83:10,16	128:22,25	5:16 6:24	129:5
16,18	89:22 90:5 91:2	moved	129:1,7	7:3 8:5,6	myself
	90:5 91:2	39:16	much	10:6	34:1 35:2
mind	moment	67:14,19,	13:25	11:4,9	42:12
17:1 37:6	46:3	20 71:1	23:17	12:22	66:5
47:1 69:1	monore	124:13	32:17,18	13:19	78:24
70:2	money 98:14		54:6	27:3 29:1	, 5 - 2 1
72:14,16	98.14	moving	58:20	37:6 43:5	
79:11	month	72:15	86:17	44:23	N
91:15,16	50:18	82:22	101:5,18	52:17	
116:1	months	83:12,13,	103:10	55:20	Nah
119:20	19:1	14,15	114:21	58:8	115:24
mine	64:21	MP5	129:2	63:12	
54:7	98:24	50:11		69:1	name
minimal	70.24	M=0	multiple	70:2,10,	5:16,20 39:14
	more	<b>Mr</b> 5:16	18:18	11,13,23,	57:21,23
37:18	22:4,5		31:23	24 72:14,	5/.21,23
minimum	23:21	25:3,13	51:15	16,22,23,	named
18:6	31:2 36:4	47:5,8 59:18	84:20	24 73:3,	107:11
24:20	37:11,23	80:24	100:14	4,6,8,9,	names
minute	40:4,7	85:11,19	107:8	10 74:8,	8:16
27:23	46:19	86:7 96:3	118:23	9,10,11,	127:21
47:2	58:13,14,	102:22	120:11	14,19,24,	
75:13	17,21	105:5,9,	Murphy	25 75:2,	narcotics
126:23	61:12	19 112:16	5:15,16	3,9 76:16	90:21
	69:2	117:16	6:3,6	79:11	nature
minutes	82:24	120:6,8	25:7,16	83:20	28:4
32:10	84:17	121:3,14	47:11	87:10	83:11
125:19	95:2,7	123:2	53:4	91:15,16	_
missed	98:20	125:1	55:3,10	96:8	necessari
	113:11,23	129:8,14	60:18	97:12,13,	ly
61:17	· · · / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	96:10,16,	14,18,19,	79:16
61:17	114:1,21,		] ,,,,,,,,	, , _ ,	1 // = 0
61:17 missing	25 115:5 116:13	MS	21 112:18	20,25	80:13



Kerry Kubia	Latia	Tiexander, et al.	v. Las vegas iviet		Department, et al.
need	56:21	no	25:5	25:6,24	105:7,11,
9:10 23:1	108:17	6:13 7:1,	26:9,10,	26:16	14 106:2,
25:6	109:5	8 9:5,12,	12 27:2,	27:15,16,	16 107:10
26:12,16	110:18	21,24	5,16,25	17 29:8,	108:5,7
39:4	124:23	10:16,23	28:8,21,	18 30:11	109:5
63:23	124.23	11:2 15:2	22 29:9,	32:24	110:11,19
73:18	nerve	17:18	15	33:4	111:6
78:13	97:22	19:23	116:22,23	34:10,16	112:7,11
95:6	100:1,9	24:20	117:1	36:8,9	114:14
102:19	101:5,9,	28:16	120:20	37:25	115:3,12,
113:11,	10	29:6,8,	122:8,16,	38:9,25	14 116:1,
16,23,25	Nevada	20,22	25	40:14	10 117:3,
114:25	7:12	30:7,10		42:6,10,	19 119:9
115:1	11:22	31:17,19,	nobody	19 43:6,	120:10
123:9	92:13	21,24	24:1	9,18,25	121:18
	94:15	32:7	76:20	44:2,6,	125:11,
needed		34:11	81:10	11,20,23	18,20,23
33:21,25	never	35:13	124:5	46:16	126:9,16
35:7	54:2	37:4	noes	50:10	128:1,10
41:11	101:22	38:11	49:15	52:1	
65:8	next	40:21,23		53:23	notes
neighborh	12:24	42:10	noise	56:18	126:24
ood	23:19,25	49:9	22:3 69:6	57:3,20,	nothing
37:16	34:24	54:10	noise-	22,25	11:18
41:12	45:18	55:2,20	flash	58:4,5,9	72:17
123:15	53:9	56:8,18	22:2 68:4	59:7	84:20
	80:24	57:7 76:9	80:1	60:2,9	85:16
neighbori	118:8	77:13		61:5,13,	86:1
ng	nice	83:6	normal	22 62:9	87:23
20:7	41:4	85:15	9:3 11:4	65:10	105:14
21:18		93:9	16:1	66:7	120:2
23:8	nicer	100:10,	17:11	69:14	notice
30:17	54:6	11,17	84:3	72:4,8	6:1 25:1,
86:15	night	101:17	normally	77:12	11 77:12
104:13,15	33:11	102:15,17	32:7	78:3,6	85:6,7
122:2	54:15	103:20	37:10	83:17	
neighbors	59:5	104:9,16,	51:21	84:25	noticed
30:12		24 105:1,	103:10	86:16	60:10
	nine	6,10,22,	Northeast	87:1	70:20
Nellis	69:16	23,24	12:21	88:3,14	notified
20:21	89:13	106:2	12.21	89:25	33:20
34:3,14	92:4	107:7,13	not	90:11,12	
36:4,23	93:24	113:6	6:19,23	91:18	November
37:1,3	94:3,5	117:3	7:19 8:15	93:15,17	64:21
40:14,17	125:17,25	120:10	9:3 14:16	95:15	now
42:4,5,20 48:13	nine-bang	122:7,20	18:11	96:7,24	11:14
48:13 49:2,4,9,	69:7	126:21	20:2	100:9,14	14:16
20 53:7,8	81:19	129:8,14	22:22,25	101:18	20:6
54:25	82:2	no-knock	23:9,11,	103:18	26:17
J <b>T</b> •43			22 24:13	104:10,22	



Kerry Kubia	Latia 1	Tickander, et al.	. Las Vegas Med	opontan i once i	Department, et al.
	I	<b>I</b>			I
33:7	96:3	18 12:6,	19,22,23,	76:5,10,	109:5,16,
44:1,19	112:16,19	13,18,25	24 43:23	16 77:24	19 110:2,
46:7 48:2	117:16	13:5,10,	44:12,13,	78:1,20	3,10,12,
51:19	120:8	23 14:4,	25 45:1,	79:7,24	13,22,23
61:11	121:3,14	6,8,12,	3,8,19,	80:7,19	111:12,
77:24	123:2	18,25	20,21	81:7,9,	20,24,25
100:11	125:1	15:14,16,	46:8,24	12,18,21,	112:2,9
111:5		24 16:15,	47:1,7,15	22 82:1,	113:15,16
114:3,8	obligated	19,21	48:7,14,	7,14,24,	114:3,7
115:5,12	16:9	17:10,12,	15 49:8,	25 83:3,	115:9,13,
116:13	obvious	13,23	18,22	21,22,24	16 116:4,
119:25	123:8	18:1,5,7,	50:1	84:3,6,8,	7 117:1,
126:12		10,14,15,	51:14	9 85:5,8,	9,19,23
	obviously	23 19:1,	52:11,21	9,10	118:18,21
nub	21:4 31:5	5,8,9,10,	53:6,7,	86:1,21,	119:7,19
74:10	61:17	11,13,24	17,19,22,	22,24	120:15,17
number	64:16	20:3,12,	23 54:1,	87:7,10,	121:5,7,
45:9,10,	70:9 72:2	13,17,20,	16,19,20	11,21,22	20,22
20,21	73:12	23,24	55:13	88:20,24	124:10,13
47:4,8,	83:15	21:1,2	56:7,10,	89:17	125:12,
19,20,23	84:18	22:1,3,19	13,20,21	90:6,14,	17,25
75:25	94:20	23:14,16,	57:7,12,	19,22	126:7
76:2	97:23	18 24:10,	13,19	91:1,23	127:10,
112:2	100:2	20,25	58:1,12,	92:5,6,	14,19,20,
	104:9	25:2,10,	16,19,23	18,19	21,22,24
number-	108:10	11,25	59:5,10,	93:7,9,13	128:13
one	118:1	26:1,5	11,16	94:4,18,	129:10
66:1	occupants	27:5,12,	60:3,19,	21,24	off
numbers	45:15	17,20	24 61:9	95:4,9,	11:9,11
47:19	109:18	28:2,4,7,	62:1,12,	11,16,25	14:18
	115:20	20 29:1,	13,17,19	96:8,11,	15:14,19
numerous	116:6	5,6,20	63:5,9,	17,25	19:22
110:13	117:6	30:11,14	10,18	97:8,13,	31:8 52:6
112:9	occupied	31:22	64:1,2,	14,20,21,	55:5
118:4	123:18	32:4,6,24	10,13	22,23,25	65:20
		33:3,4,	65:5,23,	98:2,3,9,	67:4,5
0	October	10,12,14,	24 67:2,	11,17,24	68:3
	129:11	16,24	5,16	99:4,11,	69:7,8,
o'clock	odd	34:14,16,	68:24,25	18,19,22,	11,13,19
11:5	37:15	20 35:10,	69:23,25	23 100:1,	70:1
15:25		24 36:2,	70:2,7,	9,18	71:9,25
	of	5,11,18	18,24,25	101:5,7,	75:5,6
oath	6:1,8,16,	37:11,12,	71:6,8	8,25	79:21
6:16,18	17,19,20	15,19	72:1,3,4,	102:16	80:3,11
9:9 55:12	7:3,15,18	38:19	5,9,10,	104:7,24,	81:7,20
Object	8:11,17,	40:3,5,7,	11,18,21	25 105:5	84:19
59:18	18 9:19,	12,16,22	73:3,13,	106:11,13	86:19
	22 10:2,	41:7,8,13	17 74:10,	107:3,6,7	96:19,22,
objection	10,22	42:2,4,7,	15 75:11,	108:8,9,	24,25
25:3,13	11:11,16,	13,17,18,	17,21,22	11,13,16	



ixerry ixaora		ilexalider, et al.		- op 0110011 1 01100 1	- op w
	I			1	1
97:2	54:13,18	16 36:9,	78:12,20	125:14,21	81:3,4
99:9,22	64:15	10,18,19	79:9,11,	126:1,5,	82:2,14,
102:12	66:10	37:3,14,	22 80:3,	16 127:3,	17 83:1
104:4,17	73:14	17 38:9,	11,17	14	88:3
108:16	85:21	10,12,17	81:15	128:15,	97:21
109:11	87:16	39:12,14,	82:8,11	16,17,21	102:4,5,6
119:22	88:22	22 41:13,	83:3,7,8,	129:10	106:9,10,
120:1	95:23	22 42:1,	21,23	on-scene	19,20
126:25	102:23	3,10,12,	86:16,18,	106:6	108:8
129:11	106:24	14 43:6,	22 87:10,	100.0	109:6,14
office	107:2,6	19,23,24,	15 88:2,	once	110:5
	118:20	25 44:1,	5,20	7:23	111:20,23
75:18	126:20	2,4,19	89:7,18	21:19	119:8
officer	127:19,	45:3,16,	90:13,14,	26:17	124:17
9:16	20,23	22,24	17,22	32:17	125:19
11:25	128:12	46:2,9,	91:22	40:2 65:4	126:12
12:21		14,16,17,	93:1,2,	66:21	
17:16	officers'	18,19,20	13,16	67:22	one-
25:23	10:3	47:8,25	95:1,16	72:1,11	bedroom
26:6	oftentime	48:7,8,9,	96:13,17	73:10	95:16
46:23	s	11,12,15,	97:14	74:22	ones
53:11	47:14	23 49:11,	99:5,7,8,	99:3	99:24
54:10		16,19,23	13,20		
63:16,20	on	50:13	100:3,11,	one	only
66:15,16	7:18 8:9	51:8,18,	15,16	6:16 7:23	6:15
68:13	9:10 10:6	22 53:14,	101:19,21	11:11	15:13
83:4,24	12:6,11,	22 54:8,	102:22	12:16	20:2,14
85:8	17,24,25	24 55:8,	103:4,5,	14:24	30:12
89:16	13:4	17,19	19 106:17	15:5 17:5	77:18
106:4,5,	14:7,18	57:17,24	108:12	18:15	83:16
21 123:13	15:12,14	58:5,8	109:7	23:6	89:25
	16:10,21	59:4,9	110:1	34:7,21,	120:19
officer's	17:24	61:2,4,5,	112:11,14	25 40:25	128:20
25:2,12	18:15	12,25	113:14	41:21	onto
officer-	19:11,18,	62:3 64:1	114:1,9,	46:2	65:13
involved	19 20:3,	65:5,7,	15,22	48:12,22	75:19
6:8 106:1	12,21	14,18,22,	115:22	49:2,25	
	22:5	24 66:8,	116:3,15,	53:7,14	open
officers	24:5,9,	9,13	18,20,23	54:1 57:7	28:12
9:20,23	15,23	67:18	117:24	62:19	41:10
22:19	25:5,9	68:19,22	118:3,6,7	63:22	68:18
23:15	26:8,12	69:11,16,	119:9,11,	64:1,2,13	69:3,22,
26:4	29:2,7,8,	22 70:5,	14,18,21	65:22	23,24
28:15	11,24	10 71:1,	120:3,12,	68:3	79:8
33:25	30:14,15,	12,22	13 121:7,	69:2,9,	92:24
36:3,17	22 31:3,	73:9,11,	24	17,22	93:25
37:23	10,11,15	15 74:8,	122:18,21	71:18	94:5
40:17	32:9,11	10 75:7,9	123:21	75:12,25	119:17
49:18	33:2	76:18	124:3,9,	76:2,7	opened
53:12	34:7,13,	77:18	10,22,24	80:7	70:12
		-			
	I	I	I	I	I

Kerry Kubiu		THEXAMOET, Et al.		- F	
93:23	other	123:14,	18,20,21,	24 121:25	22 67:9
94:3	9:18,19,	16,17,24	22 31:6,	122:2	69:9 70:1
119:12,17	23 10:3,	124:10,18	10 32:19	124:24	71:23
	25 15:14	129:4	34:1,19	125:5,17,	72:1,11
opening	16:21		35:20,21,	25 126:7	76:15
72:9	18:19	others	22 36:8,		80:5,6,
operate	19:4,11,	18:16	15 37:13,	outcome	10,12
100:20,23	14,15	44:14	15 39:8	111:24	82:18
	20:20	46:10	41:4 43:8	outer	86:24
operation	21:25	49:14	46:12,19,	98:9	95:5
37:14	22:22	60:13	24 49:5		110:21
110:3	23:18,24	our	51:2	outside	126:23
123:5	26:3	14:18,22	58:2,13	16:1	128:1,3
operators	28:16	15:4,21		17:15	120.1,3
46:1		19:16	59:2,3,12	37:8	overall
58:19	31:19		60:3,17	71:12,18	95:5
	36:3,17	21:16,21	62:8	78:20	115:11
72:3 73:25	37:23	26:21	66:24,25	80:3,14	overly
	40:17	27:11	67:2,11,	81:20,21,	_
110:9	41:5,17	32:12	13 68:18	25 84:25	119:3
opinion	43:10	34:22	70:5,17,	85:1	overwhelm
25:20	45:12,14	35:1	24 72:20	86:12	84:12,16
29:23	48:13	43:23,24	73:12,14	98:11	116:6
30:5	49:18	44:1	74:3,5,6,		117:5,14
89:15	50:15,18	45:23,24	20 75:13,	over	118:22
96:8,11	52:14	53:10,11	16 76:12,	7:15 8:5,	
112:8,14	58:2	59:6 62:6	20,21	7,24	overwhelm
113:14	60:5,8	65:5,7,8,	77:24	12:23	ed
116:15	65:20	10 66:18	78:2,14	13:2,8	77:23
	66:14,21	68:19	79:3,13	21:21	118:14
opinions	67:19	80:11	80:20	23:13	overwhelm
108:15	69:5 72:3	91:3	81:24	35:23	
opportuni	73:14	94:17,18	82:11	37:13	ing
ty	75:12	95:21,22,	83:23	39:2,4,	79:1
_	76:18	23 106:11	85:23	12,15,17	overwhelm
5:25 16:13,21	81:24	119:21	86:3,4,5,	40:12	ingly
64:19	82:2	ourselves	10,16	41:18,19	90:23
	87:18,25	30:11	87:8,21	45:19	30.23
116:8	88:12	94:20	92:17,22	47:2	own
117:10,15	89:22	) J T • 40	97:14	49:25	9:18
129:5	91:19	out	98:9,11,	50:17	46:14
option	101:21	15:14	21 99:12,	51:2,6,7,	55:25
45:25	103:13,21	17:12,17,	15 103:6,	8 55:22	112:3,12
46:21	104:19	24 18:23	11 104:20	56:3,4,6,	126:5
options	106:1,5,8	19:5	106:15	7 57:5	
_	107:11	20:17,18,	107:1	63:13,17,	P
22:16	109:18	23 21:16,	109:12	19 64:3,	
62:4,6,7	112:24	21,23	110:22	7,12,19,	
order	114:15	23:8	112:22	25 65:1,	P-A-U-L
29:14	119:10	28:18	114:4,25	2,12,15	5:22
	120:13	30:13,16,	119:8,19,	66:10,13,	
	<u> </u>	I		I	I



	I	ı	Ī	İ	I
p.m.	87:21	parts	78:20	16:20	picked
11:6	park	37:20	90:16	23:18	42:14
15:18,22	19:20	56:5,6	100:13	46:14,18	picture
127:1,4	20:15	passed	104:10	50:7	48:7,8
129:12,15	41:7	75:13	105:10	78:13	
D3	41.7	/5.13	118:8	84:3	53:16,17,
PA 01.01	parked	past	123:14,16	90:18	18,23
21:21	39:8,15,	10:13		92:21	54:1
pace	17	71:3	per	111:16	pictures
83:14,18	parking	patch	44:11	114:6	38:19
package	20:16	103:5	118:22	person's	44:12,13,
53:13	38:20	103.3	percent	_	25 99:14
65:11	39:8,9,16	patches	12:25	25:1,10	PID
02.11	41:6,10	103:4	24:4 38:9	personall	115:1
page	42:15	patrol	43:18,25	У	112.1
45:4,5,6,	42.15	12:20,21	50:11	36:16	pieces
7,17,23	part	12.20,21	51:9	37:4	98:17,18
47:4,7,	16:19	patterns	61:23		pin
17,20,22	19:13	59:5,11	66:5,8	pertinent	115:1
51:18	23:14	Paul	69:14	113:21	112.1
53:5,9,11	24:25	5:21	84:5	Pets	pistol
54:16,24	25:10	3.71	88:4,6,14	44:17	50:12
56:7	27:20	pay	94:2		100:24
88:24	40:22	115:4	106:17	phase	place
111:2,3	42:2,7,	PC	109:21,	40:12	17:18
2222	17,18	43:6,22,	23,25	54:12	19:1
<b>pages</b> 46:24	54:19,20,	24 44:2,	111:8	65:1	20:14
47:15	22,25	3,6,19,	124:1	phone	21:24
56:5	57:12	20,21	125:5,24	50:16	51:14
30.3	62:12	46:8,9,	126:9,10,	55:20	59:9
pain	63:18	12,13,14,	17 128:16	115:1	109:24
70:22	83:22	17 48:2	perfect	-1	113:7,20
naner	84:8	51:19,22	36:24	photo	114:6,10
<b>paper</b> 29:11	86:21	52:1,12,	80:7	53:6,7,24	124:7,11
39:22	87:7 90:6	14 87:17	80.7	57:5	
37.22	98:2	88:5	perform	photograp	placed
papers	103:19	0015	58:3 60:6	hs	96:17
63:14	111:11	pen	performed	99:15	124:3,12
parallele	115:7,9,	128:2,4	110:4		places
d	16 116:6	penalties		photos	59:15
<del></del>	117:5	6:20	performin	40:5	
72:10	118:18		g	55:22	plaintiff
parameter	partial	people	25:22	63:4	5:17
97:1	53:19	16:20	perimeter	110:22	plan
parameter	72:4	17:15	21:15,17	physicall	22:2,11
_		20:24		y	26:22
<b>s</b> 18:10	particula	22:20	perjury	28:10	40:6
1 × 1 111	r	24:6,11	6:20	77:11	43:23,25
10.10					
parcel	19:18	37:12,13 38:21	person		47:1



48:16	pointed	72:12,13,	prefer	priors	profession
61:23	86:9,10	19 73:16	9:15	17:21	nal
63:18	pointing	89:7 92:4	nwanawa	28:5	11:15
65:2,3	49:5	93:1	prepare 7:14 41:2	45:15	12:14
66:25	118:10	102:21	7.14 41.2	50:7	29:23
67:7	110.10	112:14	present	probable	30:5
68:10,11	points	115:22	52:16	91:16	89:15
79:4	24:18	127:8	108:20		
110:22	poking	position-	110:16	probably	prohibite
121:7	31:10	wise	115:19	12:25	d
planned			pressure	23:6	50:7
16:3	pole	13:25	22:5 75:2	35:17	proof
	81:14	positioni	22.5 /5.2	38:7,8	123:13
planning	police	ng	pretty	39:1	
40:5,12	11:25	127:20,22	13:24	40:11	proper
54:12	25:2,12,		32:17,18	41:18	92:13
65:1	23 26:19	positions	101:5	43:11	94:16
plans	93:20,21	12:15	103:6,10	47:12	property
18:2	102:23	65:21	previous	67:24	52:13,19
44:1,4	103:1,4,	positive	50:13	71:4,16	21 89:21
123:24	5,11	114:9		73:24,25	110:10
	118:16		primarily	74:14	
please	128:12	positivel	12:24	82:23	protocol
5:19 6:4		У	100:22	97:18	125:21
7:9,13	policies	110:1	primary	99:4	protrude
plenty	32:23	possible	100:24	106:13	70:17
41:7	33:3,4	37:18	101:4	113:25	
	policy	58:10		125:4,12,	provide
pocket	29:6		principle	19	7:6 17:18
98:14	32:21	possibly	s	problem	22:4
point	110:20	90:1	116:5,17	122:20	54:20
17:10	113:10	93:24,25	prior		76:11,12 116:8
22:9	116:10	post	7:16 8:3	proceedin	110.8
23:14	120:23	45:3	16:11	gs	provided
62:23	121:12		18:24	129:15	95:3
66:23		potential	19:1	process	providing
71:10	policy/	38:19	21:13	103:20	119:23
73:7	training	pounds	26:17,20	107:22	
74:18	110:19	74:14	29:18		proximit
78:7,9,10	111:11	norrow	50:9	produced	19:15
82:22	position	<b>power</b> 57:21	58:15,23	47:22	psycholog
84:22	11:23	62:22	61:15	producing	ical
85:16	12:8,10,		62:2	47:14	102:9
93:19,22	11 13:12,	practice	87:10		
105:3	19 14:3	125:10	92:10	productio	public
111:21	21:4	preapprov	94:13	n	26:1,5
114:19	65:14		113:2	8:11,19	pull
118:13	66:22	al	118:24		40:5,6
120:5	67:10	120:21	124:25		40.5,6



Kerry Kubia	1	I		Topontan Fonce I	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
74:12	126:3,4	raise	real	94:14	40:2,4,8
79:21	128:17	72:22	35:9,24	102:8	42:11,14
124:4			64:8	107:17,	54:17
	putting	rake		19,20,21	58:2 60:7
pulled	31:20	68:5	realize	120:11	63:16
35:4,9	63:13	Ralph	82:13		
124:12	75:9 81:8	7:11	realized	recently 10:12	record 5:20 7:10
pulling	112:22	   ram	72:6	63:7	10:6 51:8
45:11		67:20,21	really	03.7	55:6,8
46:24	Q	119:13	8:22 9:2	recklessl	88:11
74:12,13			23:2	У	127:1,3,7
75:5	question	ramble	36:16	123:17	127:1,3,7
purpose	19:3	34:11	44:22		129.11
16:19	23:21	rambling	59:8	recollect	recountin
79:24	24:3,5	34:17	102:18	ion	g
82:1	83:20		102:18	33:15	10:18
82:1 85:8,10	94:11	ramming	109:25	recommend	
117:9	97:4	26:22	122:20	ation	reenacted
117.9	112:19	randomly	122.20	120:15,19	108:10
push	123:9	69:18	rear	120.15,19	refer
28:23	124:21	09.10	20:3	recon	47:16
69:23	124.71	rather	97:20	27:21	
114:24	questions	73:19		32:1,19	referring
115:2,3	6:24 7:3	RDOS	reason	34:13,16	47:23
nuahad	10:9	11:9	6:23	36:4,18	refusal
pushed	11:15		35:13	37:3,23	92:21,25
71:8 72:2 81:5	129:4,5,8	reach	78:14	38:17	
81:5 114:15	quick	28:8	reasonabl	41:1	refuse
114:15	35:9,24	read	e	42:3,18	85:12
123:25	64:8	8:5 9:19,	18:8,14,	49:19	92:22,25
123:25		22 29:11	15 19:8	54:20	94:22
124.2	quietly	47:5 49:3	23:15	57:10,14	refused
pushing	124:3	55:25	24:3,23	58:19	85:8
71:3	quite	56:9 85:4	86:22	59:16	
114:22	14:16	86:20	88:19	62:13	reinforce
put	23:12	88:17	89:16	87:7	126:6
18:2	39:17	110:6	92:5,18	109:5,7	related
20:25	77:14	111:18	94:21,24	124:17,22	33:24
	84:25	112:6	95:4	125:14	41:6 42:8
22:5 44:15,17	120:16	115:16	96:1,13	126:19	43:1 44:7
44:15,17 46:9		118:18		reconnais	50:4,5
46:9 51:21	quoted		reasons		51:8
	86:21	reading	26:14	sance	59:13
56:25		49:23	125:12	32:20	81:23
58:20	R	50:2	receive	33:25	91:8
59:9 65:7		ready	95:19	34:20	102:9
73:3 75:7		32:18	107:14,19	35:7	107:15,17
80:10,17,	radius	63:14		36:10	108:8,9,
23 117:25	97:14	03.14	received	37:10	17,22
124:9	100:7		92:11	38:5	109:13,
	I	I	I	I	l



Ī		ı	i		i
14,20	57:13	41:15,22	responsib	47:9	82:16
111:11	77:15	45:8	ility	53:18	rooms
113:21	85:5	53:18	62:11	66:9	78:1
115:7	86:21	54:17		67:15,19	103:13
120:23	88:18,25	57:24	rest	70:12,14,	
relations	120:18	58:19	72:3,5	16 71:15	Rothenbur
	127:10,16	59:4,13	78:1	73:5	g
hip	128:6	60:1,3,14	reticent	74:24	66:15,16
51:13	reporter	65:15	88:24	75:2	rough
relative	36:25	67:3	retrainin	76:17	35:24
127:8	53:1	68:20		79:18	
relays	129:13	71:20	g 105.14.10	80:24	roughly
78:17		76:3	107:14,18	97:6,17	15:25
	represent	80:20	retreated	98:23	round
rely	5:17	82:7 85:1	79:18	100:8,11	62:2
9:10	53:25	89:24	reverb'd	104:6	97:19
remained	113:4	90:2	73:13	106:22	98:4,6
88:24	request	95:14	'	107:3	99:18
	8:11	103:14	review	111:7	
Rembert		109:20,22	8:10 9:25	114:8,20	rounds
50:8,9,	requested	116:7	10:2	121:13	28:17
20,22,23	5:23	117:6,23	57:12	126:22	79:7
remember	32:15	118:24	94:1	rights	104:1,11,
8:20,21,	requests	121:8	108:13	25:1,11,	14
25 9:2,4,	8:19	residence	reviewed	25 26:5	route
5,7 10:18	requireme	s	6:1 7:15,	120:7	34:22,24
30:24	_	24:7	16 8:2		64:5
31:9,15	nts	33:23	10:1,12,	rigorous 27:5	122:17
33:9	92:15	34:20	14 38:14	2/:5	124:14
43:20	rescue	38:8 45:9	111:5	ripping	
45:11	29:17	59:25		23:7 75:6	run
50:17	106:10	60:4	reviewing	robbed	77:24
51:4	rescues	63:22	10:8,11,	106:23	78:7
56:18,19			17	100.23	107:22,24
74:4 77:9	24:16	residents	rifle	rod	108:1
99:13	residence	16:12	50:12	100:4	running
remind	17:12,20	22:25	70:10,24	roll	51:15
6:16	19:3,6	respond	72:22,23	75:16	78:2,4,16
	20:2,21	15:11	73:4 74:9		92:23
rendered	21:1 22:9	83:23	99:19,23	room	107:1
	24:18	84:2	101:2,25	41:7	runs
68:7	24.10			67:25	
68:7	26:25	rognondin	l		1 46:13
68:7	26:25 28:10	respondin	right	70:17	46:13 78:2
68:7 repeat 33:1	26:25 28:10 34:7,8	g	17:9	73:20,21,	78:2
68:7 repeat 33:1 report	26:25 28:10 34:7,8 35:6,12	<b>g</b> 15:15	17:9 20:10	73:20,21, 22 74:1	78:2 <b>Russ</b>
68:7  repeat 33:1  report 38:15	26:25 28:10 34:7,8 35:6,12 36:1,9	g	17:9 20:10 25:18	73:20,21, 22 74:1 76:25	78:2
68:7  repeat 33:1  report 38:15 42:2	26:25 28:10 34:7,8 35:6,12 36:1,9 39:2,19,	<b>g</b> 15:15	17:9 20:10 25:18 27:4,14	73:20,21, 22 74:1 76:25 78:4	78:2 <b>Russ</b>
68:7  repeat 33:1  report 38:15 42:2 46:5,24	26:25 28:10 34:7,8 35:6,12 36:1,9 39:2,19, 20,23	<b>g</b> 15:15 21:25	17:9 20:10 25:18 27:4,14 36:13,20	73:20,21, 22 74:1 76:25 78:4 81:2,3,7,	78:2 <b>Russ</b>
68:7  repeat 33:1  report 38:15 42:2	26:25 28:10 34:7,8 35:6,12 36:1,9 39:2,19,	g 15:15 21:25 response	17:9 20:10 25:18 27:4,14	73:20,21, 22 74:1 76:25 78:4	78:2 <b>Russ</b>



	14:14,20	119:8,11	113:24	71:21,22,	sell
S	22:25		114:4	23 72:20,	90:21
	23:12,24	says	118:7	23 75:1	
	24:13	49:4	122:15	77:11	selling
safety	28:4	85:5,7		78:1,2,12	90:10
17:16	29:17	88:21	second	82:19	semi-
said	37:14	111:15	8:23	88:14,15	barricade
8:2 21:17	38:7,22,	112:8	22:18	92:23	d
43:18	24 39:9,	scenarios	69:8,10,	94:1 98:4	
57:14	23 43:24	107:23,25	12,13,16	99:21	22:14
72:16	49:25	108:2	82:13	102:19	send
82:19	50:6,8		110:5	117:13,18	46:14
86:2 88:4	51:8 57:4	schedule	seconds	125:17	senior
91:15	59:23	11:3,4	73:25	128:20	
93:24	61:22	15:17	82:10		95:23
103:8	70:23	school	83:2	seeing	separated
113:10,23	73:24	11:17	88:21	70:7 77:9	48:12
·	77:23		89:13	99:13	
Sam's	78:25	schools	90:19	seem	sergeant
63:4,10,	81:12	59:12	92:4	23:4	32:13
13	82:4,10,	se	93:24	23.1	54:15
same	12 85:24	44:11	94:3,4,5	seems	63:20,25
6:18,20	88:10		115:19	123:8	66:24
12:8 14:3	89:12	search	119:16	seen	series
15:17	92:7	15:1		17:12	56:21
16:16	95:3,10	19:18	section	18:20,24	
33:24	100:10	23:1,22,	15:4 44:5	20:5	serve
35:3	103:6	25 24:9	51:19	35:16	18:3
39:17	109:20	26:19	85:4	39:17	20:18
46:6	111:14	34:7 42:8	95:22	44:12,13	32:18
69:19	113:24	52:13,19,	110:8	46:4	110:17
72:6,19	113:24	20 58:11,	security	47:13	111:10
94:9	116:3,19	15 59:17	12:4	51:7,15	122:17
95:15		67:12	106:23	64:6,17	124:25
98:22	119:5,14 120:4	87:2	100.23	69:21	served
101:7,9,	123:1	89:2,20	see	78:15	29:25
14,16		91:5	20:13	99:15	32:24
106:20	124:1	92:10	26:3	102:12	33:5,21
107:24	126:8,17	93:20,21	30:21	102:12	54:2
107:24	129:4	94:13,19	31:11	114:7,10	58:24
115:14	saying	103:1,11	35:10	117./,10	91:11,25
125:18	17:8	105:21	37:7 46:6	sees	
	31:12	110:18	48:6,25	46:15	service
Saturday	36:21	114:11	57:16	Segal	15:1,24
11:10	49:9 59:8	115:21	59:5	95:17	serving
15:19	61:5 74:5	118:16	60:3,4		14:5,13
saw	78:18	120:20	61:4,18,	self-	16:12
55:17	90:25	seat	21 63:23,	incrimina	23:1,22,
55-11	91:6	58:20	24 68:23,	ted	25 30:13,
say	103:18	59:20	24 70:8	43:12	19 37:5
13:17	1	I ⊃Э•⊥	1	10.14	1 -7 5, 3

41:2	shooter	show	sighted	93:24	61:13
58:10	106:2	53:19	51:6	94:4	
59:16	,	,		98:24	sniper
92:10	shooting	shower	significa	101:24	63:22
94:19	6:8	90:10,12	nt	102:1	106:11
96:9	28:17,18	showing	100:8	115:19	107:4
118:16	51:14	124:25	similar		soften
	103:21,		18:13	six-month	68:17
set	22,25	shows	19:10	12:19	
21:14	106:11	127:10		size	softened
57:21	123:16	shrap	simultane	73:23	68:17
59:3,9	shootings	98:16	ous	90:14,22	some
66:22	18:19		33:23	92:6	7:15
100:16	90:2	shuffling	34:5,6	93:12	11:15
seven-	106:1,5	74:16		95:11	18:10
week		shut	since		24:11
	short	120:5	105:8	skip	27:5
14:23	31:5 91:1		107:16	41:24	37:20
15:7,8	96:7,8	sic	single	sliding	38:15,17
several	short-	34:14	47:21	81:23,24	43:10
32:5		side	74:1	1	44:13
	barrel	13:5	126:12	slight	56:10,13
shape	50:12	16:21		101:17	57:19
71:6	shorter	19:11	sit	slow	58:1,4,
shattered	18:16	20:3,20	14:2	41:21	12,16
97:13	95:18	45:3	16:14	73:17	60:4
-1		48:12	17:24	78:9	64:15
sheet	shot	65:22	29:5,13	70.9	87:25
45:18	19:2 62:2	66:9,12,	32:23	smack	
shield	64:22	14 68:19	33:2	100:18	88:17
65:25	68:14	76:18	37:22	smacking	96:17
66:2,16,	73:1,7	79:22	50:15	70:23	100:13
17 67:11	90:1		55:24	70.23	107:23
76:9,10	97:17	80:11	89:6	small	108:8,13
78:8	101:23	81:22	95:24	68:15	115:13
	103:25	85:19	103:17	73:24	127:18
shielded	106:7,23,	99:5,7	105:4,18	90:13,24	somebody
67:15,17	24 107:2,	107:3	120:22	98:19	19:2 23:
shock	4,7	119:21	sitting	112:1,2	28:16
68:13	123:16	124:10	117:24	ama 1 1	29:24
79:6,13	shotgun	sides	117.24	small-	30:17,20
	68:15	41:13,14	121:17	caliber	31:9,10,
shoot		sidewalk		88:15	11 35:16
72:14,16	shots		situation	small-	21 46:17
73:4	71:20,24	20:24	20:9	framed	64:22
83:15	72:6,20	66:19	29:21	50:2	71:22
91:9	104:4,17	Sierra	six		73:12
100:25	shoulder	63:23		smaller	74:3,7,1
101:2,3	103:5		12:12	15:6	78:1,4
104:3	103.3	sight	89:12	gnan	81:9
106:6,21	I	110:3	92:4	snap	1 54.7

Kerry Kuota	- Latia 1	Thexander, et al.	·· Lus V ogus IVIC		
84:21,23	36:14	122:13	squads	94:4	51:1
86:2,17			14:22		
90:4,9,20	somewhat	special		starts	stepped
91:13	18:22	12:3	stack	78:17	30:17
92:19,22	53:17	specific	67:19	state	68:9,18
94:21	70:2	10:9	75:25	5:19 7:9	70:15
95:12	107:23	41:25	stacks	94:17	76:15,21
106:7	soon	42:1	49:2		steps
107:9	67:9	110:6		stated	27:5
117:10,19			staged	51:1	82:14,17
121:25	sorry	specifica	75:15,17	statement	83:2
122:1	8:13 14:6	lly	stalemate	9:18	117:1
123:13,18	15:3 16:4	42:19	77:25	55:25	
124:9	23:11	48:23		115:23	stick
126:3	30:6 33:1	56:12,13	stamps	119:1	31:7
128:3	36:21,23	59:21	47:6		41:18
	38:1,10	95:2	stand	statement	66:14
somebody'	39:9,21	108:7	74:16,17	s	67:1,5
s	40:1	112:9	103:6	9:22	68:1,3,4
57:21,23,	44:22	116:14		stating	69:9
25 80:18	46:23	speculate	standard	118:15	86:5,18
someplace	47:3 50:6	125:2	88:19		100:11
17:17	57:3	123.2	93:4	station	still
17.17	65:23	speculati	125:21	20:14,16	14:3
something	87:1	on	standardi	35:9,20,	30:10
8:20	92:20	103:19	zed	22	40:5
13:22	102:13	ano a d	110:19	stationar	55:14
29:18	109:3	<b>speed</b> 118:21			62:5
32:8	112:21	118.71	standards	<b>Y</b> 72:13,19	68:19
46:5,16	122:9	spell	116:11	/2.13,19	70:1,2
51:24	sought	5:19	standing	stay	73:11
61:16	86:23	spend	28:15	79:22	79:2,8,9
62:12	87:8	58:13	75:11	stayed	84:19
73:5	88:13,20	20.12		12:23	93:19
77:22		spent	start	35:23	94:6,10
80:2,3,9	sound	39:1	21:20	97:24	98:2,4,18
82:18	71:12,17	split	28:17		100:25
86:16	86:11	15:5	63:13	staying	101:2,18
89:13	south		68:9	24:14	102:13,
95:17	34:3,14	spoke	started	108:18	16,17
100:6,16	36:4,23	43:20	13:19	110:14	118:12,
104:5	48:13	spot	31:4	step	13,15
113:25	53:8	34:23	68:22	30:21	119:21,
114:24	75:17	44:2	70:15	68:14	22,23
122:1	110:18	11.7	72:7	79:12,13	128:17
125:5,6,		spray	74:3,11	88:2	
21 126:5	southwest	104:7	75:5		stop
sometimes	53:22	squad		stepmothe	26:6
9:4 24:14	speak	13:3,4	starting	r	50:14
34:9	43:8	14:25	68:25	43:13	64:23
51-7					
	l		l	l	<u> </u>

Kerry Kubia		Hexander, et al.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Topontan Tonce I	Department, et al.
	l			1	1
72:12	stuff	115:20	117:5,14	45:14	118:3
73:16	7:15 8:3,	submitted	118:21	52:4,15,	tactical
103:22,25	7,8,16	32:4	surprised	23 64:22	18:1
stopped	9:4 13:6		10:14	87:13	26:22
35:20	33:14	such	56:16	91:17,18	45:24
50:9,13	40:6	25:24		112:25	73:13,14,
51:10,11	45:16	sufficien	surround	sustained	15 74:2
70:18	48:10	t	21:10,11,	97:11	77:15,17,
77:19	53:14	41:3	12 39:3		20 78:3,
93:18	56:24	85:18	45:25	SWAT	8,11,15,
99:5	57:7,9,19	93:11	79:19	12:1,2	18 79:16
103:23	58:1,4,	116:1	80:15	13:9,20,	
104:5	12,14,16,		121:24	21 14:3,	tactics
107:5	17 59:5	Suite	122:5,11	9,13,21,	12:4
113:1	64:8	95:17	surroundi	22 29:24	26:24
	73:11	sunburnt	ng	58:19	110:20
stouter	75:6,7	101:12	37:8 42:5	59:16	take
61:25	101:9		45:20	83:4,24	6:18
stove	109:15,16	superhero		84:2	21:4,24
100:11	112:23	100:12	surveilla	89:15	32:10,11
	114:2,14,	supplied	nce	103:4,5	34:21
straight	23 115:4,	49:17	57:24	110:8,9	60:7
99:19	14		59:4	124:25	61:25
street	stun	supposed	114:11	127:23	62:1,21,
7:11	31:7	64:6	aa.s.a.t	SWAT's	22,25
20:22	41:18	65:13	suspect	110:17	76:21
	66:14	67:2	20:7		80:21
strike	67:1,5	sure	21:16,22	swelling	85:23
77:9	68:1,3,4	6:14 8:8,	23:16	97:23	90:15
strolling	69:9	9,15 23:2	39:7	swing	95:14
37:16	86:18	24:1,7	46:12	45:21	99:15
structure		33:2	60:10	swing-	takeaway
21:13	subject	37:19,21	110:1	_	_
45:19,20	17:19	44:12	113:18,19	shift	36:12
46:11	20:4	52:9	118:22	13:3	takedown
77:23	22:15 28:9	56:23		switched	66:9
79:21	28:9 46:18	58:5	suspect's	100:23	76:20
90:23,24	91:2	60:20	28:5	ava+om	taken
92:6	110:11	86:25	suspected	system 10:7	5:24
93:13		103:9	88:23		18:25
95:10,11	subject's	107:16		21:21	48:8
	17:21	114:13	suspects		55:7,12
stuck	subjects	115:9	18:17	T	118:8
28:18	18:20	surface	19:2		127:2
118:23	51:15	99:1	21:21	table	129:10
119:3	52:4		23:23	118:6	
studio	91:8,19	surprise	38:20		takes
95:16		78:25	41:11	tactic	90:7
	submit	116:6	43:1,4,6,	21:10	126:7
			12,22		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	<u> </u>	I



Kerry Kubia	Latia	Alexander, et al.	v. Las vegas Mei		Department, et al
taking	11,14	17:7 18:9	49:17	48:17,23	54:9
47:2	63:24	27:4	51:18	49:5	63:21
77:22	64:2	31:14	62:20	51:19	64:8,18,
77:22 78:22	65:15,19	34:10	87:11	52:5	25 76:22,
122:10	71:2	36:13	121:18	53:6,23	25 76:22,
122.10	/1.2	38:16	125:9	58:4	87:13
talk	target's	40:13	129:3	62:23	
8:23	20:2	42:2	129.3	65:4,6	90:20,21 98:21
55:18	targota		testing	<u> </u>	
62:25	targets	47:12	13:19	66:19	108:8,15
64:14	90:18	53:6	  -b	67:7	117:23
102:20	task	58:25	than	77:21	118:8
111:23	36:18	62:21	9:18	78:19	128:13
L-113	40:22	63:1 67:7	10:25	80:2,7,	themselve
talked	 	71:9,19	15:15	17,19	s
7:20 23:3	tasked	85:11	18:16	81:5,8	43:14
26:8	49:19	108:4	31:19	83:2,23	78:6
32:21	109:7	telling	37:12	85:2	125:20
39:13	124:22	72:16	39:2 41:5	88:18,24	
43:13,17	tasks		44:14	90:19	then
48:1	42:7	ten	46:19	91:2,7	12:23
56:25		12:24	50:15,18	92:8	13:18
77:7,14,	team	125:17,25	54:7	93:23	14:12
16 82:6	13:4,24	tendon	61:7,12	100:5,7	20:10
84:7,8	15:5	100:20	71:12,17	101:21	21:19,25
97:8	22:10,11		73:19	102:19	22:15,23
120:16	33:19	tendons	79:12	103:10	26:15
124:15	35:1	97:15,16	83:18	104:12	28:22,23,
127:8	42:18	98:10	103:21	105:2	24 34:2,8
talking	45:24	100:2	104:19	113:11,22	35:25
34:10	49:19	term	109:19	115:3,24,	40:10
39:10,24	53:11	46:8	113:11	25 116:19	45:14,18,
45:1	54:11,14	10.0	114:19	118:4	23 46:19
46:6,25	64:11	terms	117:20	119:15	50:4 52:5
48:3,22,	65:2	40:16	120:13	122:18,20	53:9
25 49:23	67:18	47:1 49:8	thank	125:21,23	58:23
55:17	72:3,4,5	52:11	25:8	127:12	63:11
82:13	76:12	87:22	129:2	128:14	65:1,4,
90:8,9	78:19	108:16	143.4	them	12,14
	87:7	109:5	that's		66:2,6
talks	95:25	110:23	8:14,22	20:3,25	67:1,4
85:6,9	teams	120:15	11:6	22:5,6	68:8,13,
88:19	15:6	127:19	23:11	23:8,19	19 69:13,
target		terrible-	29:19	30:21	17,22
_	Technical		32:12	37:2	73:5,11
24:18 35:11	ly	worded	34:12,14	40:18	74:19,22
45:8	101:24	112:18	36:11,25	43:12,13	75:3,8,
		testimony	38:10,12	47:4	14,19
53:21	tell	6:25 7:7	44:18	50:20	76:13,18,
59:13	7:13 8:4	9:10	45:6	51:6,7	22 77:2
60:1,2,	9:5 16:6	16:19	47:21,22	52:1,2,6	78:9

Kerry Kuota					Department, et al.
	l				l
79:13,15,	100:4,12,	things	113:15,22	47:8,13	20:22
18,19	17 101:17	17:23	114:12	48:7,8,15	37:10
81:19	105:14	28:20	115:11	49:18	38:23,24,
82:19	107:8	29:10	116:18,21	50:25	25 114:22
83:13	111:16,17	32:14,15	118:12,25	52:11,18	those
90:20	115:2	36:14	119:3	53:7,16,	17:2
91:19	117:18,24	37:5	120:6	18,23,24	18:10
93:6,14,	118:6	41:17	121:1,8	54:8 58:3	
18 94:5,	123:12	42:13	122:14,25	62:19,24	24:7,15
12,20	127:25	57:22	123:11	64:19	41:14
97:17	128:8	58:2	124:20,21	69:4	47:2
99:18	<u> </u>	59:22,23	125:14	71:10	48:14
100:8	these	62:10	126:15,24	72:21	56:10
102:6,21	8:17	80:7	127:18	73:20,21,	62:5
108:2,3	14:6,25	111:20		22 78:22	67:13
109:4	33:14	114:5,12	thinking	80:3,23	69:7,19
113:11	46:25	115:2,14	69:1	87:2,11,	79:2
114:24	54:3,8	121:5,21,	72:14	17 89:1	84:19
116:3,23	71:19	22 123:21	104:18,20	90:23	90:5
119:5,14	82:1 84:9	125:22	third	91:11,25	91:17
120:15	101:15,19	126:13	45:23	93:2	93:15,17
122:24	105:21		68:22	95:3,19	94:10
124:4,5,	112:12,24	think		96:18	99:24
13	126:11	9:6 15:25	this	97:2 98:1	101:5,16
	they'd	30:10	5:18 6:17	100:3,17,	106:8
there's	108:1	35:20	8:17,19	25 102:6,	108:7,22
16:23		39:12	9:3 10:21	10 106:14	110:24
17:18	they'll	40:24	11:11	107:15,	111:6,20
20:10,23	124:9	41:1,3,5	12:6	16,17	113:12,16
24:20	they've	43:8,12	14:8,12	108:4,5	114:12
27:5	128:11	44:24	15:16	109:2,21,	119:11,25
28:6,7,20		51:14	17:10	22	122:13
31:5	thigh	53:25	18:11,13,	111:12,18	124:8,11
42:13	98:7,8	57:19,23	15 19:9,	112:6	126:2
44:14,17	101:12	58:9,12,	18 23:3,	113:20	128:20
45:15,22	thing	16,18,25	13,16	114:2,6,	though
46:7	8:22	59:14	26:18	16,19,25	24:19
48:14,20	19:14	68:22	27:20	115:8	47:25
49:1,2	28:14	73:7 75:8	29:1,24,	120:23	77:3
53:15,16,	32:6 46:6	84:14,17,	25 30:3,	121:8	103:15
17,19	48:2	23 85:17	15,23	122:14,	107:10
57:20,21	49:11,25	89:8,16	32:1,24	18,25	117:4,9
58:4	53:19	90:5 91:2	33:4	123:3,5	
59:12,22	72:6,19	93:10,23,	34:4,14,	124:20	thought
61:4	77:18	25 95:24	23 36:12	127:6	34:13
69:16,17	94:9	96:1,5,6	37:16	128:4,6,	43:22
71:11	101:7,9,	98:13	38:1	22 129:3,	51:9
90:11	16 106:20	100:6,12	42:19,23	9	87:11,12,
93:9,15	116:24	106:17,18	43:3 44:7		15 89:12
98:17	119:17	109:24	45:8,10	thorough	103:20
	l		l	l	l

Kerry Kubia	Datia 2				
	I		I		
119:23	65:16	14,15	129:3,12	20,21	17,19,20,
thoughts	68:6,7,24	19:9	timeline	17:18,24	21 38:21,
57:17	70:7 73:1	20:22	16:24	18:3,4,	22 39:3,
102:24	74:20	22:10	31:6	12,13,16,	5,13,20
	77:4	23:16,17	106:12	22 19:1,	40:6
thousands	79:17	24:10,20	116:18,20	8,10,11,	41:2,4,6,
47:14,15	80:23	32:14		15,17,19,	7,9,11,
threat	82:7,14	33:24	times	20,22,23	16,19,23,
110:10	83:11,19	39:1	7:21	20:15,16,	24 42:6,8
	84:15,18	51:24	17:11	18,23	43:1,7,8,
three	94:7,25	58:13,20,	20:5 32:5	21:1,3,	11,13,17,
14:9,10	95:21	23 59:1,	68:21	12,13,14,	20 44:4,
48:20	97:9,10	8,9,10	73:13	16,19,21,	7,8,23
90:19	98:6,11,	62:24	84:20	22 22:1,	45:6,7,12
102:3,5	15,24	63:21	90:19	3,5,6,8,	46:4,6,7,
three-	102:6,7	68:19,22	100:14	9,11,12,	14,16,20
	104:1,2,	69:4,15,	101:23,24	13,16,20,	47:4,8,
quarters	14,23	19 79:12	102:1,2	25 23:1,	16,23,25
69:15	107:22,25	84:17	107:8	4,5,8,9,	48:2,6,17
threshold	108:1	85:18	114:7	10,12,19,	49:3,5,16
70:7	116:25	86:4,22	118:5,23	24 24:1,	50:6,8,
82:15	throughou	88:20	119:14,17	5,7,10,	10,14,18,
83:10,11	_	89:17	125:17	11,12,13,	24 51:4,
99:11	t	90:11	tip	17,18,19	8,13,15,
through	15:9,10	91:1	99:19	25:1,2,3,	18 52:6,
6:15	59:4	92:5,18,	101:25	11,12,24	7,9,15,
12:13,18	60:6,8	19,25		26:5,12,	22,25
13:13,14	65:3	93:7,9,12	titanium	13,16,17,	53:1,5,
14:13	78:18	94:6,21,	100:4	20,24	18,21,25
17:2,8	123:17	24 95:4,	to	27:4,6,	54:4
18:10	throw	7,8,16,	5:23,24	10,15,23	55:12,17,
20:6,13	62:8	17,25	6:8,16,24	28:8,11,	18 56:3
21:6	thumb	96:8,12,	7:2,3,6,	15,17,23,	57:8,20,
22:20,22	101:16	19 97:2	14,19,20,	24 29:10,	23 58:3,
23:8,17,	101.10	101:12,17	23 8:9,	13,14,15,	4,6,10,
23 24:2,	Thursday	105:13	10,23,24	18 30:21	15,20,21,
15,17	11:9	107:20	9:4,9,10	31:3,11	22,23,24
27:6,11	15:18	108:3	10:18	32:18,19,	59:3,5,9,
28:17,25	thus	109:11,12	11:5,7,14	22,24	10,12,13,
30:25	116:9	113:24	12:15,20	33:5,7,	18,25
31:2		114:4,12,	13:8,9,	21,22,24,	60:2,3,8,
32:8,12	time	19,25	12,16,19,	25 34:1,	9,10,13,
35:6	12:6,25	115:20	21,22	3,4,6,7,	14,15,19,
37:16	13:7,11	119:9,18,	14:5,11,	8,18,19,	20 61:3,
39:5	14:4,8,	21,23	15,17,20,	21,22,23,	6,7,13,
56:10,15	12,18	120:4	25 15:11,	24 35:4,	14,15,19,
62:6,19,	15:13,16,	121:23	15,18,21,	7,13,14,	20,21,22,
20,23	20,21	122:15	22 16:7,	19,24,25	24 62:2,
63:9	17:13,15	123:3,18	9,11,13,	36:18	5,12,13,
	18:5,7,	124:6,13		37:5,6,7,	20,21,22,
				<u> </u>	

-					
			<b> </b>		
25 63:13,	10,13,14,	13,14,17,	29:5,14	73:3,7	traffic's
16,22,23	17,21,24	21,22	32:23	82:10,12	17:11
64:2,3,7,	83:6,22,	109:8,20	33:2	83:6 93:7	train
8,14,19,	23 84:2,	110:9,14,	50:15	97:12	81:8
20 65:13,	6,10,15,	17	55:24	98:6	83:15
15,19,25	18,21	111:10,	89:6	107:4	103:11
66:1,4,8,	85:3,4,	11,14,21	95:24	113:7	108:3
18,19,21,	11,19,20,	112:20	103:17	119:16	
25 67:1,	24 86:4,	113:2,4,	105:4,18	top	training
2,4,5,14,	11,15,17,	12,16,21,	114:18	20:13	12:22
15,16,19,	20,21,25	22,24,25	120:22	35:16	83:3,24
20,22,24,	87:12,18,	114:1,2,	121:17	97:14	84:2
25 68:5,	24 88:2,	16,23,25	122:5,14	L	92:11
9,11,12,	5,13,14,	115:1,6,	129:3	tore	93:2
13,17,18,	15,17,21	8,15,16,	today's	97:15,16	94:14,23
22,25	89:1,12,	19,20,21,	7:14	total	95:3,19,
69:2,3,8,	24,25	25 116:3,	together	101:24	21,22
10,13,16,	90:1,3,	6,8,13	-	totality	107:16,
20,23	13,15,17,	117:5,9,	13:24	36:5	19,20,21,
70:4,5,	18,19,20,	10,15,19,	18:2	36.5	22 108:11
12,13,15,	21,25	20 118:1,	34:25	tournique	110:20
18,21,25	91:2,8,9,	8,18,21,	36:15	t	116:10
71:4,8,16	20,21,22	24 119:2,	37:6	75:7,9	training'
72:1,11,	92:4,10,	3,5,13,	100:3		s
12,13,14,	12,19	14,16,20	told	tournique	108:5
16,18	93:2,16,	120:3,4,	74:24,25	ts	
73:4,6,	19,24	8,19,23	75:1	75:6	transcrip
10,18,20,	94:1,5,	121:8,11,	87:16	towards	t
21,22	13,15,17,	24 122:5,	too	18:20	9:19
74:3,12,	18,21,25	11,17,21	23:17	31:16	128:7
13,19,20,	95:3,6,	123:1,9 124:5,18,	54:1	72:8	transitio
22 75:4, 11,15,17,	14,15,17 97:7,12,	25 125:5,	77:22,24	74:12	n
20,21,22	18,20	6,10,16	78:22	80:19	13:8
76:10,11,	98:9,20,	126:1,2,	87:12	107:2	
12,15,21,	23 99:1,	5,15,16,	91:1		transitio
23,25	15,20	21,23	96:6,8	town	ned
77:3,12,	100:5,22,	127:6,9,	100:17	15:14	13:14
17,25	23 101:4,	15,22	117:8	63:4,10,	101:4
78:3,5,6,	101.4,	128:2,4,	119:4,10,	13	traveled
7,8,13,16	102:10,	5,6,12,17	15	toy	97:19
79:1,4,7,	17,19,20	129:4,5		109:15	J / • ± 9
9,12,14,	103:15,17		took	track	treatment
16,20,24	104:1,3,	today	6:17		102:9
80:4,8,9,	18 105:3,	5:23 6:8,	11:17	47:25 110:2	trial
10,16,17,	6,7,9,12,	25 7:7	34:15,18	110.7	9:9
18,20,21,	15,22	9:11	38:7	trackers	
24 81:2,	106:17	10:7,25	51:14	124:8	tricep
5,10,14	107:3,15,	14:2	54:1	traffic	97:20
82:2,4,	17 108:1,	16:14	55:11,13	20:23	98:24
				20.72	
		1	I	I	I

cried	83:1	12 43:5	59:15	up	104:15
121:24	91:17	73:4	unit	7:18 8:9	105:6,7
	92:17	92:12		12:11,15	106:11
rip	93:3,6,	94:15	14:21,22	13:17,18	113:25
38:12	10,14,17	114:11	15:13	21:14	114:15
ruck	101:15	115:18	59:6	22:12	117:19,2
63:12	106:15		63:23	27:11	119:13
	128:20	undergoin	75:19	28:12,24,	123:25
ruthful		g	87:25	25 34:5	124:2,9,
6:24 7:7	two-story	102:16	89:9	35:4	25 126:3
ry	95:14	understan	96:1,13	40:5,6	127:6
21:22	type	d	127:23,24	42:1,14	
68:17	14:6		units	44:16,17	upper
98:20	32:24	6:7,21,24	106:11	45:3	97:20
125:4,5	33:4	7:2 8:15	114:22	47:14	upstairs
	42:22,24	16:18	124:17	51:25	31:10
rying	86:24	19:7		52:8	95:13
38:20	101:8	24:25	unknown	58:16	104:2,13
46:4,6		25:10	56:21	60:2,14	
70:25	types	29:14	70:12,13	61:3,20	us
72:14	18:1	37:21	78:4 81:1	62:6	21:5
74:13	typically	51:17	91:22	63:13,19	33:20
82:21	14:15	52:10	108:16	64:22,24	34:21
89:1	73:19	55:15	110:14	65:5,6,25	35:6
SD	91:5	67:7 77:7	111:20	66:2,10,	37:15
95:21	] "	87:1	112:9,12	17,18	75:16,17
75.21		89:20	unknowns	67:14,19,	77:19
urn	υ	92:3	42:4 57:4	20 68:1,	84:17
22:14		111:7	110:12	9,13,14,	91:10
70:15	U.S.	112:4	111:12		107:2
119:8	90:8	113:6		16,17	118:10,1
urning	92:12	117:13	unless	69:3	119:19
53:5	94:15	120:22	29:16	70:12,16	use
55.5		121:13	35:12,15	71:22	
.wo	Uh-huh	124:20	46:13	72:24	8:16
7:23 8:1,	75:24	125:11	57:24	73:4	21:25
8 9:3	89:11	understan	80:14	74:17	26:24
10:12,19	ultimatel		92:21,22	75:15,18,	29:7 40:
14:22		ding	109:25	19,22	78:8
15:6 20:3	У 01.1	16:15	unload	77:1,2,13	95:15
36:3,17	81:1	27:3,24		79:5,6,7,	100:9,10
40:17	98:12	28:2 29:1	65:20	12,13,22	101:1
41:8 45:9	107:4	42:22	unrealist	81:2,5,6,	used
48:15	UMC	43:5	ic	10,11,13,	27:25
49:2,18	75:20	49:22	59:15	17 85:20	28:1 90:3
64:21		52:12,17		90:16	120:19
66:9	unable	58:8	until	93:2,22	
67:1,2,3	19:20	60:24	22:7 32:8	97:19,22,	using
76:19	under	87:10	93:22	24 98:17	17:6
82:14,17	7:5 9:9,	unfair	103:23,24	101:20	41:18
,	1 /		110:3	102:19	62:1,3



ısual	verbiage	VIDEOGRAP	walk	8:23,24	52:14,18
11:3	110:9	HER	12:13	9:12	19,20
	versions	55:5,8	17:2,8	29:13	54:2
usually		126:25	21:6	32:22	56:25
45:6	31:23	127:3	30:25	37:19,21	57:4
utilities	32:5	129:9	31:2 35:6	42:6 43:7	58:11,15
57:22	versus		39:5	49:16	24 59:17
ıtilize	27:25	view	63:5,9	50:6,8	64:14,17
		17:10	83:22	52:9 54:4	67:12
46:20	very	23:14	85:20	62:21,25	86:25
utilizing	10:9	viewed	94:7,25	80:15	87:1,2,4
118:21	20:5,6,22	89:13	97:10	86:25	12,22,23
	30:15		126:17	88:5	89:2,21
	35:12,14	violate		94:25	91:5,11,
V	37:15	25:25	walk-	108:14	12,25
_	48:6,7	violated	through	113:24	92:1,11
acation	58:22	120:7	40:10	126:23	93:20,21
15:14	60:2,15		walked	128:5,17	94:13,19
70110	61:13	violating	6:15 18:9	129:13	103:2,12
<b>/alley</b> 15:10	74:15	26:4	35:21		110:18
12.10	83:9,11,	volume	74:20	wanted	114:12,1
<i>r</i> an	12 85:23	37:12	97:9	13:22	116:22,2
35:3	86:12			60:20	117:1
ariety	90:15,24	voluminou	walking	warrant	118:16
_	95:6	s	20:24	15:1,24	120:20
111:20,25	99:19,23	112:2	36:17	16:8,13	122:8,14
125:12	101:19	vulnerabl	37:16	18:3	16,17,25
various	vest		42:12	19:18	124:25
24:17	74:11,14	e	61:20	20:19	
127:10	99:6,9	108:19	83:17,18	23:1,22,	warrants
/egas	103:3	109:9	99:12	25 24:9,	14:6,13,
7:11		110:16	124:16	21 25:5	15 29:25
/・11	vests		wall	26:9,10,	96:9
vehicle	65:8	l w	19:24	12,19	105:21
13:11	vet		20:11,12	27:2,14,	117:22
34:21,25	115:4		23:18,24	25 28:1,	Washingt
35:3,5		<b>wait</b> 18:5	24:17	22 29:3,	n
39:8,15	vetted		70:17,18	7,9,15	65:24
50:10	32:8	24:21	81:18	30:14,19	67:10,14
51:9	115:7	waited	104:1,14	32:19,24	76:9
53:12	vetting	95:25	124:9	33:5,8,	70.9
64:7	114:22		124.9	10,21,23	watched
65:11,13		waiting	wallet	34:5,7	63:7
106:10,25	video	29:18	98:13	37:6 41:2	****
robiales	10:15,17	Waive	walls	42:8,19,	way
vehicles	63:7	129:14	23:8	23,24	19:23
19:20	129:11,14	1		43:3	27:8,11
41:7,8	video-	wake	28:17	49:23	28:25
65:20	recorded	101:20	104:2,14	50:1,2	42:16
	129:10	1	want	51:25	45:21 65:13



Kerry Kuota					Department, et al.
	]			1	
70:19	23,25	20,22,23	127:3,8	114:21	107:4
71:5,23	36:9	80:13,15,	We'd	115:14	109:13
79:21	37:17,22	16 81:8	41:9	118:15,16	111:18
82:16	38:23	83:8,13,		119:8,9,	119:2,7,
87:25	39:1,2,3,	14,15	we'll	10 121:17	15,16
100:20	4,7,11,	84:7,18	24:17	128:5	121:11
101:14	13,19	85:15	27:23	129:11	122:22
108:9	40:2,3,4,	86:3	51:22	we've	123:12,
111:18	5,6,7,11	88:10	78:7	55:3,22	16,19
112:6	41:7,10,	89:6,18,	114:8	86:24	125:14
115:12	12,16,21	19,20	128:22	106:4,9	126:13,14
120:12	43:17,20	90:16	we're	114:10,13	128:6
we	44:1,13,	91:17	6:19 12:4	117:22	went
6:3 8:16	15,17,19	92:17	15:5,12,		7:15 8:7
9:9,10	45:10,12	94:17,19	13,14,15	weapon	12:18,20
10:6,7,10	46:9,11	95:24	16:9,10,	46:15	13:13,14,
13:5	47:14,16,	96:5	12 17:17,	weapons	16,19
14:2,15,	17,18,23	99:12	22,23	12:3	31:8 32:1
17,18,23	49:21	102:25	18:2	50:14	34:19,20,
15:9,11,	50:15	103:5,6,	21:12,13,		24 35:3,
20 16:9,	51:21,22	7,8,11,12	19 22:8,	wear	25 36:3,
10,14	52:3,4,5	105:4,18	12 23:1,	103:7	8,15
17:7	54:5	106:9,15,	24,25	week	37:23
18:2,17	55:5,8,	20 107:1,	24:5	15:11	40:3,11
19:2,16,	11,12,24 57:5	19,21,22 108:3,11	28:11,18	weird	56:10
19,20,21	58:2,18,	108:3,11	29:18	29:11	63:25
20:7,14,	22 59:6,	110:21	30:10,13,		64:12
18 21:3,	8,22,23	111:15	18 31:12	well	66:2
14,19,20,	60:4,5,13	113:11,	32:18	14:19	68:1,3
25 22:7,	62:4,5,7,	18,19,20,	37:5,18	19:4	73:1
9,13,15	8,21 63:3	23 114:2,	44:23	25:21	74:20
23:1	64:10	3,6,8,9,	45:25	27:8 29:8	79:5 80:3
24:6,14,	65:1,4,5,	24 115:2,	46:6,11	41:16	82:16
15,16	7,8,9,12,	4 116:23	60:2,17	45:5	83:5 86:3
26:8,16,	15,19,21,	118:3,4	65:12,13	50:14	98:10,15
17,18,20,	22 66:18,	119:3,12,	66:22	54:19	104:14
21,24	19,20,21	13,17,21,	73:15,16	61:1 64:23	117:23
28:10	67:4,9,12	23	77:24	66:17	118:4
29:5,8,	68:19	120:12,	78:3	71:15	122:2
11,13 30:16,20	70:2	13,16,22	80:6,9,22 82:12	72:23	124:13
30:16,20	73:16,18,	121:20,	83:12,14,	74:8,12,	Werner
32:7,17,	19,20,22	21,23	15 86:17	25 80:1	33:20
21,23	74:19,22,	122:3,17	91:7	83:8 88:9	35:2
33:2,3,23	23 75:20	123:22,	93:15,19	93:9	54:11
34:6,19,	77:7,19,	23,24	94:6,9,	101:3	64:11
20,21,22,	23,24,25	124:1,2,	10,19	102:3	west
24 35:4,	78:1,2,3,	3,4,6,7,	106:15	103:6	67:17
8,10,19,	6,25	8,9,11	113:12	104:9	
	79:2,4,8,	126:24,25			



		I			
what	85:2,9,	whatnot	83:8,10,	113:16,22	97:13,15,
7:19 8:4,	10,11	90:10	16 89:13	115:14	17 98:9,
24 10:18	86:12,21	when	90:17	117:24	12 99:3,
11:8,20,	87:15	7:25	94:2	125:6	10 100:6
23 12:5	88:19,21	10:11,14	98:15	whether	104:13
13:21,25	89:18	12:14	99:11,12	17:19	114:3
14:9	90:25	13:7	103:21	26:22,23	125:11
15:25	91:3	1	106:7	27:15	127:10
16:6,9,	92:11,15	14:20	108:6		
10,15,24	93:3,9	23:22	112:22	28:12,14	while
17:2,10,	94:13,14,	24:21	124:7	32:12	13:2,15
11,13,21	18,23,25	27:24,25	,	44:2,5	20:18
18:13	95:2,3,8	28:2	where	45:15,21,	34:18
19:8	96:5	29:11	13:5 16:8	25 46:15	39:18
23:11,15	97:1,8,10	31:25	17:16,18	57:15	55:4,19
25:17	102:24	32:3 33:9	18:20	59:12	85:12,13
26:9,21	103:20	39:9,23	19:1,16,	65:7	110:8
27:16	104:18,24	47:16	21,23	77:21,22	112:1
28:5,6	105:13	48:1	20:4,6	95:10	who
30:21	106:8,15	56:15	22:10	101:25	16:10
31:11	•	57:4	28:9,10,	105:19	19:10
	107:24	77:10	14 33:23	109:8	
32:11	109:11,19	82:6	35:11	a a b	31:14
34:14	110:25	85:18	37:18	which	33:19
35:6,11	113:2,11	89:8 95:8	41:6 48:8	12:19	43:20
37:7 39:2	114:3	97:7,9	49:2 50:6	13:3	54:3,9,1
40:16	115:24,25	110:10	51:15	14:23	64:22
42:22,24,	116:20,21	111:25	59:25	20:11,21,	65:22,24
25 43:14	119:10	120:19	64:6	25 22:3	67:11,18
46:25	122:19	122:23	66:14	30:21	74:4
47:3,17,	128:14	124:16	68:23	33:12	76:13
18,22	what's	124.10	72:9	35:1 43:8	85:25
48:2,3,25	11:3,16	whenever	73:16	45:5,21,	91:22
49:5		13:11,18		24 48:11	94:17
50:10	12:2	26:15	74:23 75:5	53:11,23	104:22
52:7	21:6,9	30:16		58:20	105:5
53:6,12	30:14	31:3	77:25	65:25	108:18,2
56:5,6	49:23	34:19	80:16,22,	66:10,15	109:1,19
58:5,25	60:24	39:13	24 81:3,	67:16,18	110:14,2
59:16,20	77:15,17	40:3	8,9,13,22	68:3,5,14	128:5
60:3,24	113:13	58:23	90:6	69:5,7	
62:13	whatever	60:6	93:23	70:8,12	who's
63:11,24	32:9	63:15,19	95:12	71:4	29:24
64:19	40:18	68:6,23	97:2	73:8,15	whoever
65:2 67:8	43:16	69:4,11,	99:7,21	76:15	16:12
70:4	51:25	21 70:19	104:11	80:10	43:16
70:4	69:15	72:15,18	106:6,10,	81:4,22	60:11
		1	21,22	83:2 87:8	
73:18	75:13	73:15	107:7,9,		whoever'
78:12	102:11	75:8,9	10	90:23	30:12
82:8,11	113:18	77:13	111:15,21	93:23	82:3
83:7 84:3	I	78:13	1	95:22	I

whole	20:7,12,	111:10,	wood	126:5,13	102:11,12
14:21	20 21:20	12,22	61:13,14		106:16
15:10	23:4,7	116:22		wrapped	107:19
53:19	24:11	117:24	wood-	70:11	108:25
56:7	25:23	118:15	framed	wraps	109:21
116:24	26:19	119:1,6	61:12	61:10	120:4
110.24	28:16	121:7,11		126:16	123:7,10
why	31:20,22	124:8,11,	wooden	120.10	
15:8			61:11	wrist	128:14,19
22:22,25	37:2,12	22	worded	97:18	year
23:22	38:21	127:16,21	57:15	100:5	13:17
35:17	40:4	within			14:16
80:7,17	41:23	13:5,25	words	writing	15:1
94:19	42:1 44:8	15:13	17:9	31:20	96:24
123:11	45:25	18:19	work	written	97:6,7
	46:10	29:6 42:7	8:6 11:3	43:24	102:11
Williams	47:18	82:14,17	14:18	44:1	106:13
6:9 65:23	49:20	83:1	15:17	110:25	100.12
80:24	50:13,16,	90:18			years
85:11,19	25 51:11,	109:9	16:2 41:2	wrong	8:9 9:3
86:7	23 52:8		63:12	27:4	10:12,19
102:22	56:17	110:19	97:7	36:13	12:12,24
105:5,9,	59:21	116:10	108:1	38:16,22	13:10
19	60:7,22,	127:9,15	worked		14:9,10
	25 61:1,	without	12:20,24		29:25
Williams'	11 63:25	22:4		X	83:3,24
120:6	64:6,7,8,	61:20	working		106:13,15
window	25 65:17	88:22	12:1,6,23	X-RAY	
66:13	67:4,11,		13:2,8,	98:4	yell
67:15,17	20,21	witness	18,24		103:11
68:6,7	70:6,21	25:5,15	29:24		yelled
76:10,12		47:7,10	72:17	Y	73:12
80:3,19,	74:14	59:20			74:2
	75:16	96:5,19	workman's	yards	/4.2
24 81:24	77:12,23	106:4,5	13:13	20:25	yelling
window's	82:8 84:1	112:21	world	21:1	73:14
85:19	88:2,8	117:18	115:12		103:1
	90:13	120:10		yeah	
windows	92:12	121:5,15	worry	11:7 16:3	yes
21:2	94:15	123:3	17:6,8	30:9	6:2,10,22
45:20	96:2,5	125:2	wound	33:18	7:4 9:8,
with	98:22	123.2	97:12	36:22	14 10:1,
6:20	99:20	witnessed	97.12	38:6 41:5	4,20
7:17,21	100:19,	39:15	wrap	42:25	11:13
	21,24,25	106:7	61:2,3,5,	48:20	14:10,14
10:7,18,	101:2,3	107:9	8,10,12	49:1,3	15:23
22,25	103:6		62:14	50:23	16:5,17,
11:1,23	104:20	won't	66:20,21	54:4	23 23:20
12:14	105:20	10:7 17:1	77:8,11	65:17	24:4
14:5,9,13	106:17	38:24	123:21,23	76:4 82:4	25:15
15:18	100:17	wonder	124:24		26:2,7
18:24		35:17	125:17	86:8	27:8,9,22
	110:12,24	1 22.1	TQD.T/	90:11	41.0,3,44



ixerry ixaoia	Latin 1	rickander, et al. v. Las vegas menopontan i once Department, et al.
	I	
28:3,4	116:7	
29:4,12,	119:12	
16 30:24		
32:2	yourself	
36:7,20	25:24	
38:13	85:21	
39:25		
40:15		
42:21		
45:4 46:9		
47:24		
48:4,19,		
24 49:7,		
11,21		
51:21		
52:17,24		
54:23		
55:16		
56:4,7,		
10,23		
57:16		
58:13		
60:23		
63:2,8		
76:6		
81:1,2		
82:5		
83:25		
84:5,11,		
13 86:14		
87:6,9,		
14,20		
91:14		
92:2,8,9,		
16 96:15,		
19 97:12		
109:12		
111:4,8		
112:5		
114:21		
115:9		
117:7,12,		
18 120:25		
121:15		
123:3		
127:25		
127:25		
yet		
45:13		
	I	I